Cajiga, Fresno County Public Defender 1 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 300 2 Fresno, California 93721 3 Peter M. Jones, SBN # / PD # Garrick Byers, SBN # PD # 4 Attorneys for Marcus Delon Wesson 5 Telephone: (559) 488-3546 6 Facsimile: (559) 262-4104 E-mail: pjones@co.fresno.ca.us 7 gbyers@co.fresno.ca.us 8 9 10

FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOMODE, 2004, 9:00 A.M. 04 HAY 26 PM 2: Est. time 15 minutes Def. is in custody.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF FRESNO CENTRAL DIVISION

CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARCUS DELON WESSON,

Defendant

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case No.: F049017856

Request that the Court Find Good
Cause to Continue the Trial
For About 60 Days From the
Last Day of the "1382" Deadline.

The current deadline for trial under Penal Code section 1382, subdivision (a), is 60 days from arraignment. Arraignment was on April 27, 2004. Sixty days after that is Saturday, June 26, 2004, and the next court day, which is therefore the last day of the "1382" deadline, is June 28, 2004. (Trial is currently set for June 21, 2004). Sixty days after June 28 is Friday, August 27; Monday August 30 is the date actually being sought.

I. The Reason for This Motion.

Defense counsel have received, since the last court hearing, last Thursday, May 20, 2004, about 5000 pages of discovery, plus, for the first time, extensive forensic results, as well as 57 audio tapes, five video tapes, and five CD–ROMs. Defense counsel had, at the time of the preliminary hearing, only 467 pages of discovery. To date, however, the defense has now received a grand total of about 8000 pages of discovery, the above audio–visual material, plus 15 CD–ROMs with hundreds of photographs.

I, Peter M. Jones, the lead attorney for this case, have determined that the defense attorneys cannot be ready for a full death penalty trial in this case on the date set, June 21, 2004, nor by the first court day that is 60 days from arraignment, which is June 28, 2004.

Mr. Wesson, from the day public defender was appointed, March 25, 2004, has declined to waive any time. See, e.g., Transcript of March 25, 2004, page 11, line 19 to page 12, line 9, and Court Minutes of April 7, 2004, text paragraph 8 and related Transcript. As of this writing, Mr. Wesson still declines to waive time.

But, if the full trial proceeds as currently scheduled (motions in limine June 14, 2004, trial June 21, 2004, 60th day June 28, 2004), Wesson would be deprived of the effective assistance of counsel. Defense counsel has not yet been able to even read, view and analyze all of the material provided in the last week, nor been able to undertake all of the responsive work that this volume of material obviously requires, and related tasks.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

Defense counsel have diligently worked to prepare this case. Lead counsel Peter M. Jones, as well as second counsel Ralph Torres, and motions attorney Garrick Byers, have devoted major portions of their time since the public defender's office was appointed on March 25, 2004, to the preparation of this case.

Moreover, essential prosecution discovery has still not been completed. For example, the gunshot residue test results for Sebhrenah April Wesson, and Elizabeth Breani Kina Wesson, have not yet been disclosed to the defense. (Gunshot residue test results for Defendant Marcus Wesson have been disclosed; they were negative.)

For another example, the defense has not received a statement in aggravation as required by Penal Code section 190.3, paragraph 4, nor any discovery related to the penalty phase, as required by Penal Code section 1054.1 and People v. Mitchell (1993) 5 Cal.4th 1229.

In addition, on information and belief, the prosecution investigation is very actively ongoing, so an unknown amount of additional material remains to be disclosed.

The logistics of preparing and presenting an effective defense in this case have been explained to Mr. Wesson. He still declines to waive time.

This motions follows.

Defense Counsel Requests the Court To Find Good Cause to Continue II. the Case Approximately 60 Days From the Current Trial Date, to About August 30, 2004.

1 2

During this time defense counsel will prepare for the case, and also investigate, and, if necessary prepare and submit a change of venue motion.

This amount of time is the minimum that will be needed for trial preparation. Presently, only approximately 60 days from the current statutory last day is requested because, since Mr. Wesson declines to waive time, the court should not find good cause for any longer period of time then is necessary. The defense will make diligent efforts to be prepared in this time frame.

It is settled law that defense counsel can consent to setting the trial beyond the 60–day statutory time limit of Penal Code section 1382, so long as this is done for the benefit of the defendant, and "[except] where representation by counsel is so ineffective that it can be described as a 'farce and a sham'...." *Townsend v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 774, 781.²

Accordingly, defense counsel requests the court to find good cause to continue the case under Penal Code section 1382, subdivision (a), first sentence.

See also Penal Code section 1050, subdivision (i): "A continuance shall be granted only for that period of time shown to be necessary by the evidence considered at the hearing on the motion."

See also *New York v. Hill* (2000) 528 U.S. 110 [120 S.Ct. 659, 145 L.Ed.2d 560] holding that defense counsel may waive the defendant's right to trial within the time limits of the Interstate Agreement on Detainers, even though the defendant does not expressly assent, pointing out, *inter alia*, at 528 U.S. at 115, that "only [defense] counsel is in a position to assess whether the defense would even be prepared to proceed any earlier." See generally, Witkin & Epstein, *California Criminal Law* (3d ed. 2000), Ch. XIV, Sec. 321 "Waiver [of the Defendant's statutory speedy trial rights] By Counsel."

III. Conclusion.

7 8

The court should find good cause to continue the trial, and set new dates approximately as follows: (1) pretrial motions on July 16, 2004, (2) trial confirmation August 12, 2004, (3) in limine motions August 16, 2004, and (4) jury trial August 30, 2004. Defense counsel hereby consents to those dates.

Respectfully Submitted,

5/24/04 Date

Peter M. Jones

Garrick Byers

Attorneys for Marcus Wesson

AFFIDAVIT OF PROOF OF SERVICE (2009, 2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California)
)
County of Fresno)

Comes now the undersigned, who hereby declares as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and am employed in the county aforesaid. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is Public Defender's Office, County of Fresno, 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 300, Fresno, California 93721.

On the 26th day of May, 2004, I served a copy of the attached REQUEST THAT THE COURT FIND GOOD CAUSE TO CONTINUE THE TRIAL FOR ABOUT 60 DAYS FROM THE LAST DAY OF THE "1382" DEADLINE on the office of the District Attorney's Office of Fresno County, by delivering and depositing a true copy thereof with an employee of said office. A courtesy copy was also delivered to The Honorable R. L. Putnam, via his bailiff or clerk in Department 53 of the Fresno Superior Court, or to the department's mailbox at the courthouse, if Department 53 was dark at the time of delivery.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 26, 2004.

1. 1. 1. 1.	,	1
	1. 1.	p.tt

RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS ACKNOWLEDGED.	RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS ACKNOWLEDGED.	RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS ACKNOWLEDGED.
DATE:	DATE:	DATE: