

Tentative Rulings for October 3, 2025
Department 502

For any matter where an oral argument is requested and any party to the hearing desires a remote appearance, such request must be timely submitted to and approved by the hearing judge. In this department, the remote appearance will be conducted through Zoom. If approved, please provide the department's clerk a correct email address. (CRC 3.672, Fresno Sup.C. Local Rule 1.1.19)

There are no tentative rulings for the following cases. The hearing will go forward on these matters. If a person is under a court order to appear, he/she must do so. Otherwise, parties should appear unless they have notified the court that they will submit the matter without an appearance. (See California Rules of Court, rule 3.1304(c).) *The above rule also applies to cases listed in this "must appear" section.*

The court has continued the following cases. The deadlines for opposition and reply papers will remain the same as for the original hearing date.

(Tentative Rulings begin at the next page)

Tentative Rulings for Department 502

Begin at the next page

(46)

Tentative Ruling

Re: ***Danny Williams v. The testate and intestate successors of
Bernice H. Flowers***
Superior Court Case No. 24CECG01936

Hearing Date: October 3, 2025 (Dept. 502)

Motion: Default Prove-Up

Tentative Ruling:

To continue to Wednesday, October 22, 2025, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 502, to allow plaintiff time to file supplemental briefing and a proposed judgment, as explained below. Plaintiff's supplemental declaration and proposed judgment must be filed on or before Wednesday, October 15, 2025 at 5:00 p.m.

Explanation:

Plaintiff Danny B. Williams ("plaintiff") previously filed an application for default judgment on August 29, 2025, and entry of judgment was denied as the defendants to the First Amended Complaint had not yet been properly defaulted.

Plaintiff subsequently filed a request for entry of default of the defendants, and default was entered as requested on September 15, 2025. Plaintiff additionally filed an amended request for court judgment. However, plaintiff did not resubmit a declaration in support of default judgment nor a proposed form of judgment. (Cal. Rules of Ct., rule 3.1800(a).)

The hearing on the application for default judgment is therefore continued to allow plaintiff an opportunity to file his supporting declaration and to lodge a proposed judgment.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: KCK on 10/02/25
(Judge's initials) (Date)