

Tentative Rulings for October 2, 2025
Department 502

For any matter where an oral argument is requested and any party to the hearing desires a remote appearance, such request must be timely submitted to and approved by the hearing judge. In this department, the remote appearance will be conducted through Zoom. If approved, please provide the department's clerk a correct email address. (CRC 3.672, Fresno Sup.C. Local Rule 1.1.19)

There are no tentative rulings for the following cases. The hearing will go forward on these matters. If a person is under a court order to appear, he/she must do so. Otherwise, parties should appear unless they have notified the court that they will submit the matter without an appearance. (See California Rules of Court, rule 3.1304(c).) *The above rule also applies to cases listed in this "must appear" section.*

25CECG03966 *Tejinder Sandhu v. GK Orchards, LLC*

The court has continued the following cases. The deadlines for opposition and reply papers will remain the same as for the original hearing date.

25CECG00469 *Garcia v. Singh et al.* is continued to Wednesday, October 8, 2025, 3:30 p.m. in Dept. 502.

(Tentative Rulings begin at the next page)

Tentative Rulings for Department 502

Begin at the next page

(20)

Tentative Ruling

Re: ***Limon v. Zarucchi et al.***
Superior Court Case No. 23CECG05095

Hearing Date: October 2, 2025 (Dept. 502)

Motion: By Plaintiff to Compel Responses to Requests for Admission Set Three

Tentative Ruling:

To deny. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2033.280.)

Explanation:

When a party fails to respond to requests for admissions, the proper motion is for deemed admissions, not to compel a response. (See Code Civ. Proc., § 2033.280, subd. (b).) Here plaintiff moves “For an Order to Compel Defendant, DAVID OWEN JR. ZARUCCHI (hereinafter ‘Defendant’) to answer (respond without objections) the Request for Admission, Set Three, served on May 15, 2025, within 10 days of the Court's ruling on this motion to compel” and for sanctions. (See Notice of Motion.) Because plaintiff requests relief for which there is no statutory basis, the motion is denied.

The court also notes that plaintiff requests \$1,000 in monetary sanctions without providing the court any information about the attorney fees incurred in making the motion. (See Fanucchi Decl., ¶ 6.) This would not have been granted, even if plaintiff filed a viable motion.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: KCK on 10/1/25
(Judge's initials) (Date)

(29)

Tentative Ruling

Re: **Lopez v. Singh**
Superior Court Case No. 24CECG03395

Hearing Date: October 2, 2025

Motion: Petition to Compromise Minor's Claim

Tentative Ruling:

To grant the petition to compromise claimant Nicolas Duenas's claim. Orders signed. No appearances necessary.

The court sets a status conference for Tuesday, January 6, 2026, at 3:30 p.m., in Department 502, for confirmation of deposit of claimant's funds into the blocked account. If petitioner files the Acknowledgment of Receipt of Order and Funds for Deposit in Blocked Account (MC-356) at least five court days before the hearing, the status conference will come off calendar.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312, and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5(a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: **KCK** on **10/1/25** .
(Judge's initials) (Date)

(29)

Tentative Ruling

Re: **Lopez v. Singh**
Superior Court Case No. 24CECG03395

Hearing Date: October 2, 2025

Motion: Petition to Compromise Minor's Claim

Tentative Ruling:

To grant the petition to compromise claimant Salvador Duenas's claim. Orders signed. No appearances necessary.

The court sets a status conference for Tuesday, January 6, 2026, at 3:30 p.m., in Department 502, for confirmation of deposit of claimant's funds into the blocked account. If petitioner files the Acknowledgment of Receipt of Order and Funds for Deposit in Blocked Account (MC-356) at least five court days before the hearing, the status conference will come off calendar.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312, and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5(a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: **KCK** on **10/1/25** .
(Judge's initials) (Date)