

Tentative Rulings for March 25, 2026
Department 501

For any matter where an oral argument is requested and any party to the hearing desires a remote appearance, such request must be timely submitted to and approved by the hearing judge. In this department, the remote appearance will be conducted through Zoom. If approved, please provide the department's clerk a correct email address. (CRC 3.672, Fresno Sup.C. Local Rule 1.1.19)

There are no tentative rulings for the following cases. The hearing will go forward on these matters. If a person is under a court order to appear, he/she must do so. Otherwise, parties should appear unless they have notified the court that they will submit the matter without an appearance. (See California Rules of Court, rule 3.1304(c).) *The above rule also applies to cases listed in this "must appear" section.*

23CECG01828 *Rodriguez v. Sanchez et al.* (**Department 502**)

The court has continued the following cases. The deadlines for opposition and reply papers will remain the same as for the original hearing date.

25CECG00927 *Shayna Feuersinger v. Ida Rogers* is continued to Wednesday, April 29, 2026, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 501.

(Tentative Rulings begin at the next page)

Tentative Rulings for Department 501

Begin at the next page

(47)

Tentative Ruling

Re: **Kalos Specialized Services, Inc v Charis Wallace**
Superior Court Case No. 24CECG04476

Hearing Date: March 25, 2026 (Dept. 501)

Motion: by Plaintiff for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint

Tentative Ruling:

To grant.

If oral argument is timely requested, such argument will be entertained on Friday, March 27, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 501.

Explanation:

To grant, with the exception that the court does not grant the request to direct the clerk to file the document (the proposed Second Amended Complaint) that is attached to the motion filed, nor does it grant the request to deem the Second Amended Complaint filed as of the date of the order. Instead, plaintiff must separately file the Second Amended Complaint within 10 days from the clerk's service of the minute order granting this motion. New allegations/language must be set in **boldface** type. Defendants are to file their responsive pleadings within 30 days thereafter.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: DTT on 3/23/2026.
(Judge's initials) (Date)

(46)

Tentative Ruling

Re: **Ray Thacker v. Jose Anguiano**
Superior Court Case No. 23CECG02079

Hearing Date: March 25, 2026 (Dept. 501)

Motion: by Plaintiff Ray Thacker to Verify Defendant's Military Status

Tentative Ruling:

To deny, without prejudice.

If oral argument is timely requested, such argument will be entertained on Friday, March 27, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department 501.

Explanation:

Plaintiff Ray Thacker ("plaintiff") moves the court for an order verifying the current and actual military status of defendant Jose D. Anguiano ("defendant"). Plaintiff brings this motion under California Military and Veterans Code section 401 and the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act ("SCRA"), 50 U.S.C. section 3931. However, the court is unaware how each of these code sections confers the authority to "verify" a party's military status, nor how they allow the court to make the orders and directives requested by plaintiff. Plaintiff refers to these laws, but fails to cite to the portions authorizing the court to grant the relief requested.

Further, plaintiff offers no evidence to support granting the motion. Plaintiff merely contends that there is "uncertainty" that defendant is actively serving in the military, but fails to provide any supporting evidence. The entirety of plaintiff's motion appears to be based in speculation.

Due to the lack of authority and evidence, the court intends to deny the motion, without prejudice.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued By: DTT **on** 3/23/2026 .

(Judge's initials) (Date)