## <u>Tentative Rulings for April 25, 2024</u> <u>Department 503</u>

For any matter where an oral argument is requested and any party to the hearing desires a remote appearance, such request must be timely submitted to and approved by the hearing judge. In this department, the remote appearance will be conducted through Zoom. If approved, please provide the department's clerk a correct email address. (CRC 3.672, Fresno Sup.C. Local Rule 1.1.19)

There are no tentative rulings for the following cases. The hearing will go forward on these matters. If a person is under a court order to appear, he/she must do so. Otherwise, parties should appear unless they have notified the court that they will submit the matter without an appearance. (See California Rules of Court, rule 3.1304(c).) The above rule also applies to cases listed in this "must appear" section.
The court has continued the following cases. The deadlines for opposition and reply papers will remain the same as for the original hearing date.
(Tentative Rulings begin at the next page)

# **Tentative Rulings for Department 503**

Begin at the next page

(37)

## <u>Tentative Ruling</u>

Re: City of Fresno v. Jose Ramirez

Superior Court Case No. 23CECG05019

Hearing Date: April 25, 2024 (Dept. 503)

Motion: Status Conference

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

To continue the status conference to Tuesday, July 9, 2024, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 503. The Receiver is to prepare and file a status report 10 days prior to the continued hearing.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling						
Issued By:	jyh	on	4/23/24			
	(Judge's initials)		(Date)			

(27)

#### **Tentative Ruling**

Re: Monica Snavely v. Alexander Kidd

Superior Court Case No. 23CECG00732

Hearing Date: April 25, 2024 (Dept. 503)

Motion: Expedited Petition to Approve Compromise of Minor's Claim

## Tentative Ruling:

To deny without prejudice. In the event that oral argument is requested minor is excused from appearing.

#### **Explanation:**

As identified in the Intended Ruling issued on April 2, 2024, when the court orders a minor's net settlement to be deposited into a blocked account, it must be at a financial institution in this state. (See Prob. Code, § 3611, subd. (b).) Here, the original petition stated that the selected financial institution is located in North Carolina. The amended petition seeks to cure this issue by allowing petitioner to hold the minor's proceeds "in trust." However, despite the promise to hold the proceeds in trust, the amended petition is otherwise silent on the description of the proposed trust, i.e. the absence of trust description prevents the court from evaluating its sufficiency. Therefore, the amended expedited petition is denied.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling						
Issued By:	jyh	on	4/23/24			
-	(Judge's initials)		(Date)			