

Tentative Rulings for February 24, 2026
Department 503

For any matter where an oral argument is requested and any party to the hearing desires a remote appearance, such request must be timely submitted to and approved by the hearing judge. In this department, the remote appearance will be conducted through Zoom. If approved, please provide the department's clerk a correct email address. (CRC 3.672, Fresno Sup.C. Local Rule 1.1.19)

There are no tentative rulings for the following cases. The hearing will go forward on these matters. If a person is under a court order to appear, he/she must do so. Otherwise, parties should appear unless they have notified the court that they will submit the matter without an appearance. (See California Rules of Court, rule 3.1304(c).) *The above rule also applies to cases listed in this "must appear" section.*

The court has continued the following cases. The deadlines for opposition and reply papers will remain the same as for the original hearing date.

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| 20CECG02573 | <i>Alexis Garcia v. Fabiola Garcia</i> is continued to Thursday, February 26, 2026, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 503. |
| 23CECG01114 | <i>Sheryl Smith v. Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center</i> is continued to Thursday, February 26, 2026, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 503. |
| 24CECG02433 | <i>Ramella Guidry v. Kids Kare Schools, INC.</i> is continued to Tuesday, March 3, 2026, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 503. |
| 23CECG05294 | <i>Jose Munoz Nunez v. Youngstown Grape Distributors, Inc.</i> is continued to Tuesday, April 7, 2026, at 3:30 p.m. in Department 503. |

(Tentative Rulings begin at the next page)

Tentative Rulings for Department 503

Begin at the next page

(29)

Tentative Ruling

Re: **Bardales v. Alva**
Superior Court Case No. 25CECG00732

Hearing Date: February 24, 2026 (Dept. 503)

Motions (x2): Petitions to Compromise Minors' Claims

Tentative Ruling:

To grant the petitions and sign the orders. No appearances necessary.

The court sets a status conference for Wednesday, May 20, at 3:30 p.m., in Department 503, for confirmation of deposit of claimant Jennifer's funds into the blocked account, and of claimant Jose's funds having been disposed of as set forth in the petition. If petitioner files the Acknowledgment of Receipt of Order and Funds for Deposit in Blocked Account (MC-356), and a declaration or other documentation confirming the account with CalABLE has been set up and funded, at least five court days before the hearing, the status conference will come off calendar.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312, and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5(a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

Tentative Ruling

Issued by: JS on 2/23/2026.
(Judge's initials) (Date)

