



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Fourteenth Account Current and report of Conservator; Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorney

Age: 55	PUBLIC GUARDIAN , Conservator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: A status hearing will be set as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thursday, 08/17/17 at 9:00am in Dept. 303 for filing the fifteenth account.
	Account period: 07/01/13 – 06/30/15	
	Accounting - \$193,653.02	
	Beginning POH - \$177,630.03	
	Ending POH - \$139,537.78	
Cont. from	Conservator - \$664.20 (7.83 staff hours @ \$76/hr. and .72 Deputy hours @ \$96/hr.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Attorney - \$1,250.00 (less than allowed per local rule)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Bond fee - \$113.18 (ok)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	1. Approving, allowing and settling the fourteenth account current;	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.	2. Authorizing the conservator and attorney fees and commissions; and	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	3. Authorizing payment of the bond fee.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w/	Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a report on 06/03/15.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2620(c)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 1 – Ortiz

Attorney Pascuzzi, Susan L. (for Petitioner Minnie Jean Mayfield-Johnson, Administrator)
 Attorney Jaech, Jeffrey (for Carmelita Miles, heir)

Petition for Settlement of Second and Final Account; Petition for Final Distribution and for Allowance of Compensation for Ordinary and Extraordinary Services

DOD: 7/19/1996		MINNIE JEAN MAYFIELD-JOHNSON daughter and Administrator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Continued from 9/2/2015 at the request of counsel. The following issues from the last hearing remain: 1. Paragraph 7 of the <i>Petition</i> states no notice is required under Probate Code § 9202(b) since letters were issued prior to 7/1/2008. However, the date of issuance of letters does not affect subsection (b) of § 9202, thus the <i>Petition</i> must include a statement regarding notice to the Director of the CA Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board.
		Account period: 1/1/2014 – 7/24/2015	
		Accounting - \$57,110.17	
		Beginning POH - \$51,410.17	
		Ending POH - \$55,623.36 <i>(real property on Pottle and \$15,623.36 cash)</i>	
Cont. from 090215			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	Administrator - \$2,308.95 <i>(statutory)</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	Attorney - \$2,308.95 <i>(statutory)</i>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	Attorney - \$11,243.00 <i>(per Declaration and itemization filed 7/27/2015; for unlawful detainer trial and resulting stipulation; negotiations and re-negotiations for sale of real property; settlement of ownership issues; oversee lease agreement to benefit of estate;)</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	Closing - \$662.46 <i>(remaining cash following deduction of administration expenses from total cash on hand that will rent payments of \$900.00 (consisting of payments of \$300.00 per month for the months of August, September, and October [2015].))</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	Petitioner states:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During the administration, the ownership of the real property on Pottle Avenue in Fresno was disputed by the occupant of the real property, WANDA F. SMITH, the wife of Decedent's late son (Ernest Smith, Sr.), and an heir to the estate by reason of the assignment of beneficial interests from CRYSTAL SMITH, CHERRELL SMITH, ROSETA SMITH, CARMELITA MILES and ERNEST SMITH, JR.; 	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	N/A	
~Please see additional page~			
			Reviewed by: LEG
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 2 – Mayfield

Petitioner states, continued:

- **WANDA F. SMITH** claimed title by adverse possession; the property was subsequently sold to Wanda Smith for **\$85,000.00** through a settlement agreement; Wanda Smith was unable to obtain financing and defaulted on the agreement;
- A second settlement agreement was entered by which Wanda Smith was granted a least with an option to purchase the property for **\$50,000.00** (price reflects market downturn), which was approved by this Court on 3/11/2009; Wanda Smith did not exercise the option and it expired on 8/11/2011;
- Upon the option expiration, and based on the depressed real estate market and lack of marketability of the real property, the lease agreement with Wanda Smith has remained in place; Petitioner believes Wanda Smith has made all real property tax payments, loan payments to the City of Fresno, and all rental payments as agreed; *[Note: Income Receipts, Schedule A, shows rent payments from Wanda Smith have been received of \$5,700.00 from 1/1/2014 to 7/24/2015];*
- To close the administration of the Estate, **LEE McCAIN**, son, **LaTOYA MAYFIELD**, granddaughter, and **MINNIE MAYFIELD-JOHNSON**, daughter (Petitioner), have each agreed to assign their interests in the real property on Pottle Avenue to **CARMELITA MILES** for the sum of **\$5,000.00** each; *[Assignments filed on 5/29/2007];*
- The assignments are effective at such time as **\$15,000.00** has been deposited to the **BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN** Trust Account *[Attorney JEFF JAECH serves as attorney for Wanda Smith]*, to be released to the assignors upon entry of the order distributing an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ (**75%**) interest in the property to **CARMELITA MILES** and an undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ (**25%**) interest to **WANDA F. SMITH**, who are to receive the real property from the estate subject to a loan in favor of the City of Fresno in the amount of **~\$24,000.00** and any taxes and assessments due and payable;
- The amount necessary to pay closing expenses is **\$15,860.90**, while the amount on hand is **\$15,623.36**; however, there is due the estate the sum of **\$300.00** for rent due 8/1/2015, and the first of each month thereafter until the estate is distributed which will leave enough cash on hand available for distribution; Petitioner requests the remaining balance of cash on hand by reason of additional rent payments until final distribution be held as a reserve account for final expenses;
- In the event **CARMELITA MILES** is unable to perform under the terms of the Assignment of Interest filed *[on 8/27/2015]*, the real property should be distributed to **LEE McCAIN, LaTOYA MAYFIELD, MINNIE MAYFIELD-JOHNSON**, and **WANDA F. SMITH** in order that the administration of the estate be closed.

Distribution pursuant to intestate succession; to Assignment of Interest in Decedent's Estate filed on 5/29/2007, 6/23/2015, and 8/27/2015; and upon verification that the [\$15,000.00] consideration for the assignments has been deposited to the BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN Trust Account, is to:

- **WANDA F. SMITH** – undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ interest (25%) in real property on Pottle Avenue.
- **CARMELITA MILES** – undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ interest (75%) in real property on Pottle Avenue.

~Please see additional page~

2 Second Additional Page, Ellen Mayfield (Estate) Case No. 05CEPR00446

Note: *Assignment of Interests* filed 8/27/2015 provides that **CARMELITA MILES** shall deposit the sum of **\$15,000.00** to the Trust Account of **BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN** at least 3 business days prior to the court hearing on the Petition for Final Distribution [set for 9/2/2015], and that **BAKER, MANOCK & JENSEN** shall pay to each of the Assignors the sum of **\$5,000.00** within 5 business days of entry of the Order for Final Distribution distributing an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ (**75%**) interest in the property to **CARMELITA MILES**, who shall have no obligation to pay the consideration as provided [in the *Assignment of Interests*] until and unless such Order is entered. *Assignment of Interests* also provides that **WANDA F. SMITH** will continue to pay rent to the Estate in the amount of **\$300.00** per month until the entry of the Order.

Declaration of Carmelita Miles Regarding Administrator's Lack of Entitlement to Fees filed 9/28/2015 states:

- She (Carmelita Miles) is an heir of the estate and she makes this declaration for the purpose of showing why Minnie Mayfield should not be awarded any fees as the Administrator of this estate;
- When Decedent died in 1996, she had been living at her home on Pottle Avenue ("the property");
- Decedent's daughter, Minnie Mayfield, was also living on the property;
- Later, Minnie vacated the property;
- When Carmelita's mother, Wanda Smith, discovered that the property was vacant, she boarded the house and began tending to the yard at her own expense as was required by the City of Fresno;
- In ~1997, Loanstar Mortgage Services initiated foreclosure proceedings on a debt that was later serviced by Bank of America; Wanda Smith paid **~\$1,854.00** to bring the loan current and to stop the foreclosure;
- If Wanda Smith had not paid this amount, the property would have been sold at a foreclosure sale, and there would be nothing in the estate;
- In about 1999, Wanda Smith and Carmelita moved into the property and they have been living there ever since; Wanda Smith has been paying all the property taxes and utilities since the Decedent died, and she paid on the mortgages against the property;
- About 9 years after Decedent's death, Minnie Finally filed a petition to administer the estate; she believes she did so only with the hope that she would be able to move into the property; after Minnie was appointed, she sought to evict them from the property;
- Throughout the entire time from the Decedent's death over 19 years ago, Minnie has practically done nothing with respect to the care of the estate's only asset, the property;
- Rather, all of the care, all of the property taxes, debt service, and other expenses have been paid by Wanda Smith and herself;
- Accordingly, Minnie has not provided any services of value to the estate and to the heirs, and she does not deserve to receive any compensation for being named as the administrator;
- Further, her compensation may be reduced under Probate Code § 12205 for her unreasonable delay in closing the estate.

Fourth Account Current and Report of Successor Conservator and Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Successor Conservator and Attorney

Age: 75	PUBLIC GUARDIAN , Successor Conservator of the Person and Estate, is Petitioner.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Note: A status hearing will be set as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thursday, 08/17/17 at 9:00am in Dept. 303 for filing the fifth account.
	Account period: 07/01/13 – 06/30/15	
Cont. from	Accounting - \$135,272.64	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$98,219.76	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Ending POH - \$8,313.85	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Conservator - \$2,875.84 (10 staff hours @ \$76/hr. and 22.04 deputy hours @ \$96/hr.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	Attorney - \$1,250.00 (less than allowed per local rule)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.	Bond fee - \$135.00 (ok)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w/	4. Approving, allowing and settling the fourth account current;	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	5. Authorizing the conservator and attorney fees and commissions; and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.	6. Authorizing payment of the bond fee.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.	Court Investigator Charlotte Bien filed a report on 10/03/14.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2620(c)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 3 - Vogt

Petition for Order Approving Sale or Real Property

		RICHARD D. WELLS , Trustee, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Petitioner states the trust owns certain real property located in the County of Los Angeles, City of Long Beach.	
Cont. from		Petitioner proposes to sell said parcel of real property to Urban Hotel Group, LLC for the sum of \$88,000.00, pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
		Petitioner believes that the proposed sale is in the best interest of the Trust, in that said parcel of real property, a 25' x 150' parking lot, is being sold for \$88,000.00. Urban Hotel Group LLC, has just purchased from the City of Long Beach the 50' x 150' parking lot adjoining the Trust's lot to the North for a total consideration of \$112,000.00.	
		Filed concurrently herewith, are consents of beneficiaries of said trust, by which consents the said beneficiaries ratify, consent to and join in the presentation of this petition. The said number of beneficiaries joining in this petition represent more than six of the nine original interest in this trust estate, either in and of themselves or acting through the right of representation, and accordingly conforms with the requirements for approval of the proposed sale as set forth in the trust instrument.	
		Wherefore Petitioner prays for an order of this court that Petitioner, Richard D. Wells, as Trustee of the Trust created under the Las Will and Testament of James M. Wells, deceased is authorized to sell the real property located in the County of Los Angeles, City of Long Beach to Urban Hotel Group LLC pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement.	
		Reviewed by: KT	
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 4 – Wells	

DOD: 8/15/13		<p>ALBERT FRANCO, Son and Co-Conservator, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Petitioner states that after their mother's death, Co-Conservator Patricia Leonard wanted him to take care of everything. He took over paying all bills for their mother's house in Fresno and has been dealing with this conservatorship. Ms. Leonard will not appear regarding this matter and when this conservatorship is dissolved, Petitioner will be the one to petition the court for the probate estate.</p> <p>Account period: 6/1/12 – 8/31/13 Accounting: \$121,910.31 / \$122,070.50 (Accounting does not balance) Beginning POH: \$92,518.86 Ending POH: \$90,639.43 (\$639.43 cash plus non-cash assets including real and personal property)</p> <p>Petitioner states the estate could not afford the cost of an accountant as noted to this court and the prior court. Petitioner has provided the bank statements.</p> <p>Petitioner waives compensation.</p> <p>Petitioner states the conservators had on file a bond totaling \$181,280.00. After the prior accounting, the court stated the bond was no longer necessary and it was canceled.</p> <p>Petitioner prays for an order that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Notice of hearing on this account, report and petition be given as required by law; The Court make an order approving, allowing and settling the attached account and report of the Co-Conservators as filed; Further, due to the fact that the Conservatee died on 8/15/13, that the Court order this Conservatorship closed and dismissed. 	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 9/17/15: Continued to allow time for the defects to be cured.</p> <p>As of 10/12/15, nothing further has been filed.</p> <p><u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u></p>	
Cont. from 091715				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
		<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 10/12/15</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation: File 5 – Kozera</p>		

Page 2 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need amended accounting due to, but not necessarily limited to, the following issues:
 - Account does not balance. Charges and Credits should match.
 - Beginning Property On Hand does not correspond to the Ending Property On Hand figure from the Second Accounting.
 - Need mandatory schedules, including summary, receipts, disbursements, etc.
 - The Conservatee passed away 8/15/13. Therefore, this should be a third and final account, containing two account periods: one for the period until her death, and one for the subsequent period after her death. See Probate Code §2620(b).
 - Petitioner requests termination of the conservatorship, but does not request distribution of the assets or indicate whether an estate has been opened.
2. The Court may require the participation and verification of this account by Co-Conservator Patricia Leonard, as she has not formally been removed Co-Conservator.
3. Petitioner states the bond was canceled after the prior accounting because the court said bond was no longer necessary; however, the Order on Second Account filed 2/21/13 states the current bond of \$181,280.00 is sufficient. It does not cancel bond. Need clarification regarding the status of the bond.
4. Need Notice of Hearing.
5. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing on all relatives at least 15 days prior to the hearing pursuant to Probate Code §§ 2621, 1460(b)(6).
6. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing pursuant to Probate Code §1252 on Attorney Leigh W. Burnside due to the Request for Special Notice filed 8/25/11.
7. Petitioner's Declaration re bank statements states that the conservatorship technically stopped when the Conservatee died and the probate estate started, and he will account for and deal with the probate estate assets when he files the probate case. He and his sister are the only heirs.

The above statement is not a correct representation of how to deal with the transition from conservatorship estate to probate estate. See above #1, and also Probate Code §§ 2620(b) and 2630 with regard to accounting for the period subsequent to the conservatee's death. Petitioner may wish to seek legal advice from an attorney regarding how to proceed.

6 Angelina Tokina Pacheco & Robert Andrew Ortiz Jr. (GUARD/P)
Case No. 13CEPR00097

Petitioner: Sabrina Garcia (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<p>THERE IS NO TEMPORARY. No temporary was requested.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
		<p>SABRINA GARCIA, maternal aunt, is petitioner.</p>	<p>This petition as to ROBERT ANDREW ORTIZ, JR. only.</p>
Cont. from		<p>Please see petition for details.</p>	<p>1. Need Notice of Hearing.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	<p>Court Investigator Report filed on 10/8/15</p>	<p>2. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: a. Robert Ortiz, Sr. (father)</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		<p>3. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: a. Paternal grandparents b. Maternal grandparents</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>4. Petition does not list the names and current addresses for the paternal and maternal grandparents.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		<p>5. UCCJEA is incomplete. Need minor's residence information for 2010 through 8/2015.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: KT
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 6 – Pacheco/Ortiz

8 Margaret Stever (Estate)

Case No. 14CEPR00593

Attorney Gleason, Mark J. (for Robert Bruce Stever – Administrator)

Probate Status Hearing Re: First Account and Final Distribution

DOD: 10/20/1999	ROBERT BRUCE STEVER , was appointed as Administrator with full IAEA authority, without bond on 08/14/2014.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.</p>
	Letters issued on 08/15/2014.	
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 09/04/2014 shows an estate valued at \$125,000.00.	
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.	Minute Order of 08/14/2014 set this status hearing for the filing of the First Account and/or Final Distribution.	
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail	Report of Status of Administration filed 10/09/2015 states the estate cannot be distributed and closed for the following reason: it is the desire of the Petitioner and all heirs to sell the real property before transferring one-third intestate interest to the decedent's husband's estate filed under case no. 14CEPR00593. Petitioner listed the real property with a broker in February 2015. The real property is currently on the market for sale. The real estate agent receives approximately one call a week, but no offers have been made. The price has been reduced to \$381,045 (39,900) per acre. Petitioner anticipates it will take another year before the administration of the estate can close.	
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LV
		Reviewed on: 10/12/2015
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 8 – Stever

Probate Status Hearing re: Filing Inventory & Appraisal

DOD: 11/28/14	<p>CRAIG COSTI, brother, was appointed Administrator with full IAEA and bond set at \$100,000.00 on 2/19/15.</p> <p>Bond was filed on 1/26/15.</p> <p>Letters issued on 2/23/15.</p> <p>I & A, corrected partial no. 1 was filed on 7/16/15 showing a value of \$330,000.00.</p> <p>I & A, final was filed on 8/27/15 showing a value of \$341,928.16.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 10/1/15. Minute order states Counsel represents that the wrong box was inadvertently checked on the filed inventory. If a corrected inventory and appraisal is filed at least two days prior, then no appearance is necessary on 10/15/15.</p> <p>1. Inventory and appraisal filed on 8/27/15 indicates it is a final inventory however #3 states the property listed along with all prior inventories filed is only a portion of the property that has come to petitioner's knowledge or possession. Petitioner must include all property that has come to petitioner's knowledge or possession.</p>
Cont. from 061815, 100115		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 11 - Costi

Final Account and Report of Executor and Petition for Its Settlement, and Allowance of Compensation to Executor and Attorney for Ordinary Services and for Final Distribution

DOD: 02/3/15		<p>THEODORE M. HAW, Executor, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Account period: 02/03/15 – 08/29/15</p> <p>Accounting: \$143,500.00 Beginning POH: \$140,000.00 Ending POH: \$80,496.86</p> <p>Executor: \$4,103.50 (statutory)</p> <p>Attorney: \$4,103.50 (statutory)</p> <p>Closing: \$500.00</p> <p>Distribution, pursuant to Decedent's will and Probate Code § 21110, is to:</p> <p>Ardis Wong - \$23,929.95 Andrea West - \$23,929.95 Andrew Wong - \$23,929.96</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
Cont. from			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail w/o		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters 04/28/15		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
		<p>Reviewed by: JF</p> <p>Reviewed on: 10/12/15</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation: SUBMITTED</p> <p>File 12 – Haw</p>	

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing Proof of Bond

	<p>GLORIA ANN BARRAGAN, Mother, filed a Petition for Creation and Funding of Pooled Special Needs Trust on 6/2/15.</p> <p>On 9/3/15, INLAND COUNTIES REGIONAL CENTER, INC., was appointed Trustee of the Adrian Luis Barragan Special Needs Trust on 9/3/15.</p> <p>A Supplemental Declaration filed 8/25/15 stated the trust consists of assets totaling \$137,996.87 as of 8/1/15 and calculates bond including assets, interest, and cost of recovery at \$180,400.00.</p> <p>At the hearing on 9/3/15, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the bond.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Need bond of \$180,400.00 from Inland Counties Regional Center, Inc., Trustee.</p>
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 13 – Barragan

Attorney
Attorney

Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee – Petitioner)
Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Objectors Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman)
Petition to Approve: (1) First Account and Report; and (2) Second and Final
Account and Report; and Petition for Instructions [Prob. Code §17200(b)(5), (b)(6)]

Ruth Buchman DOD: 12/9/01	<p>JERI BUCHMAN WEIL, Trustee of the Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Account period: 3/19/14 – 12/31/14 Accounting: \$466,358.12 Beginning POH: \$459,090.70 Ending POH: \$102,042.75</p> <p>Account period: 1/1/15 – 5/31/15 Accounting: \$103,977.51 Beginning POH: \$102,042.75 Ending POH: \$ 70,763.11</p> <p>Receipts, Disbursements, Distributions, etc., are detailed in the petition.</p> <p>Trustee waives compensation.</p> <p>Attorney: \$26,584.71 (\$16,357.21 during the first account period and \$10,227.50 during the second account period, detailed in attorney's declaration.</p> <p>Petitioner reimbursed herself \$12,788.56 during the second account period for funds advanced to the attorney during the first account period because the trust did not recover any assets until November 2014.</p> <p>Attorney Magness was paid \$10,227.50 for services and costs during the second account period, as detailed in the attorney's declaration.</p> <p>William Patterson, CPA, was paid \$1,315.00 during the first account period and \$50.00 during the second account period.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Note:</u> On 9/2/15, Jan van Lienden and Jill Allison Buchman filed a Petition for Relief from Breach of Trust (Page C of this calendar).</p> <p><u>Minute Order 9/8/15:</u> Continued to meet up with the Petition for Relief from Breach of Trust filed 9/2/15.</p>				
Roy Buchman DOD: 12/13/13						
Cont. from 072815, 090815						
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified						
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory						
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC						
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w						
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.						
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.						
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.						
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen						
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters						
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Objections						
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt						
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report						
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order						
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting						
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt						
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA						
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation						
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice						
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>Reviewed by: skc</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reviewed on: 10/12/15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Updates:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Recommendation:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>File 14A – Buchman</td> </tr> </table>		Reviewed by: skc	Reviewed on: 10/12/15	Updates:	Recommendation:	File 14A – Buchman
Reviewed by: skc						
Reviewed on: 10/12/15						
Updates:						
Recommendation:						
File 14A – Buchman						

Petitioner states: Ruth and Roy Buchman established the Buchman Trust on 4/7/99. Ruth died in 2001 and under its terms, the trust was divided into two subtrusts: The Survivor's Trust and the Bypass Trust. Roy acted as trustee of both subtrusts until his death on 12/13/13.

On 10/4/07, Roy amended the Trust Agreement to name his new wife, Mary Ruth Buchman as successor trustee to the Survivor's Trust and to provide a pecuniary bequest to Mary Ruth. Roy died 12/13/13.

Upon assuming the role of trustee of the Bypass Trust, Petitioner learned that Mary Ruth had somehow closed all of the Bypass Trust accounts and comingled the Bypass Trust and Survivor's Trust assets. Petitioner engaged legal counsel to recover the assets belonging to the Bypass Trust, and through their respective counsel, Petitioner and Mary Ruth were able to negotiate a deal whereby the Bypass Trust was made substantially whole and in November 2014, assets were returned to the Bypass Trust's accounts.

Both subtrusts were to terminate upon Roy's death. Following recovery of the Bypass Trust's assets, Petitioner distributed a significant portion of the trust's assets to the beneficiaries thereof. Mary Ruth also made a preliminary distribution from the Survivor's Trust, but held back approx. 25% of the Survivor's Trust assets.

During the Second Account Period, Petitioner's counsel inquired of Mary Ruth's counsel over her plans to distribute the balance of the Survivor's Trust estate. Mary Ruth's counsel stated it was being held as a reserve in case suit was ever brought to recover real or perceived damages caused by the misappropriation of the Bypass Trust's assets. He suggested that all affected parties enter into a settlement agreement and mutual release and upon execution thereof, the Survivor's Trust assets would be distributed.

A draft agreement was prepared by Petitioner's counsel. Almost immediately after circulating the draft agreement, Mary Ruth fired her second attorney. The other trust beneficiaries then implied that Petitioner or her attorney were somehow guilty of wrongdoing by circulating such a document. Beneficiary Jan Van Lienden also demanded that Petitioner provide a quarterly accounting, which led to the instant petition.

Petitioner states Mary Ruth has conditioned the distribution of the balance of the Survivor's Trust upon an agreement by the remainder beneficiaries that they not sue her. To date, Mary Ruth has not prepared or submitted a formal fiduciary accounting, so it is possible that she continues to hold assets that rightfully belong to the Bypass Trust. Petitioner is in doubt as to whether she, as trustee of the Bypass Trust, should incur expense to compel Mary Ruth to account for her actions as trustee of the Survivor's Trust, to confirm that the Bypass Trust has recovered all asset wrongfully taken by Mary Ruth, and to compel the final distribution of the Survivor's Trust.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Alternatives: Petitioner states any of the individual beneficiaries of the Survivor's Trust could file such petition on their own behalf, but the beneficiaries of the Bypass Trust could also allege that Petitioner violated her fiduciary duty as trustee of the Bypass Trust for not taking this action on their behalves, as such action would require that they individually bear the expense for such action. Further, if the accounting reveals that the Bypass Trust should recover additional assets, then the beneficiaries could allege that Petitioner violated her fiduciary duty by not recovering same. Of course, the ultimate distribution whether under the Survivor's Trust or the Bypass Trust would be the same, as the remainder beneficiaries and their respective shares are identical.

Therefore, Petitioner requests that this court instruct Petitioner to either file the petition on behalf of the Bypass Trust against the trustee of the Survivor's Trust, or alternatively, not to file such petition, leaving the burden on the individual beneficiaries to seek such relief.

If the Court instructs Petitioner to compel the trustee of the Survivor's Trust to account, then Petitioner will comply with said instructions and termination of the Bypass Trust will be delayed until that action is resolved. If this court instructs Petitioner to not file such petition, then Petitioner plans to terminate the Bypass Trust and distribute \$15,000.00 to each beneficiary, holding \$15,000.00 as a reserve to cover final costs of administration.

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

1. **The First Account and Report of Petitioner be settled, allowed and approved as filed;**
2. **The Second Account and Report of Petitioner be settled, allowed and approved as filed;**
3. **That all acts and proceedings of Petitioner as trustee be confirmed and approved;**
4. **That this Court instruct Petitioner, acting in her capacity as trustee of the Bypass Trust, to either file, or not file, a petition to compel Mary Ruth to account for her actions as trustee of the Survivor's Trust, to confirm that the Bypass Trust has recovered all assets wrongfully taken by Mary Ruth, and to compel the final distribution of the Survivor's Trust; and**
5. **For all other orders that are just and proper.**

Examiner's Note: If Petitioner is instructed to file a petition to compel Mary Ruth to account, such petition should be filed as a separate case for the Survivor's Trust pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.2.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Objection filed 7/27/15 by Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman states Petitioner's actions, specifically her need to "be in control," have wasted approx. \$50,000.00 of trust assets in payment of attorneys' fees that would not have been needed but for Petitioner's demand to serve as trustee of the Bypass Trust. Following their father's death in December 2013, his surviving spouse, Mary Ruth Buchman, informed Objectors that her attorney advised her that she was the successor trustee of the Survivor's Trust and the Bypass Trust. After receiving trust documents, Morgan Stanley recognized Mary Ruth as trustee of both trusts, confirmed that the beneficiaries were identical, and recommended that the accounts be consolidated. Mary Ruth informed Objectors that the broker combined the accounts without her prior approval. The broker received \$3,400.00 commission on the combination of the accounts which he was subsequently forced to return to the trust.

In March 2014, Petitioner determined that since the Bypass trust was irrevocable, the amendment that appointed Mary Ruth as successor only applied to the Survivor's Trust and the prior appointment of Petitioner still applied to the Bypass Trust. Mary Ruth assured Objectors that she and her counsel would cooperate with Petitioner's request that the Bypass Trust assets be transferred to Petitioner.

However, the accounting indicates that Petitioner continued to spend tens of thousands in attorneys' fees accusing Mary Ruth of misappropriating funds, which in turn forced Mary Ruth to spend thousands from the Survivor's Trust to defend herself.

As successor trustee of the Bypass Trust, Petitioner had a fiduciary duty to take actions to preserve the trust assets for all beneficiaries, and spending in excess of \$27,000 to aggressively attack Mary Ruth without cause was not consistent with this fiduciary duty. Petitioner and Objectors are equal beneficiaries of the residue of the Survivor's Trust and Bypass Trust. While Petitioner had a duty to take appropriate steps to ensure the Bypass Trust assets were accounted for, she did not need to spend this much wrestling for control of assets that were all to be distributed to the same beneficiaries.

Objectors provide facts and specific objections and respectfully request that the Court deny the petition as to approval of payment of attorneys' fees, deny Petitioner's request that all acts and proceedings of Petitioner as trustee be confirmed and approved, and deny Petitioner's request for instructions in its entirety.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Petitioner's Reply filed 8/17/15 states Objectors' argument that Petitioner's acts as trustee were for her own benefit and "need to be in control" and were a waste of trust assets completely lacks substance. Jeri accepted the trusteeship and has dutifully acted in such capacity. When she accepted the trusteeship, she discovered that all assets held at Morgan Stanley had been moved to the Survivor's Trust and were under the control of Mary Ruth. She then undertook to unwind the transfer and ensure the assets were protected for the beneficiaries. Objectors take issue with her successful recovery of the Bypass Trust assets and characterize her efforts as aggressive. Apparently, Objectors would have had her do nothing to recover the assets transferred to the Survivor's Trust. According to Objectors, since the beneficiaries are the same, such recovery was unnecessary. This position ignores reality. If Jeri had taken no action to marshal the Bypass Trust assets, Mary Ruth *could* have absconded with the funds. Certainly, had the assets disappeared, Objectors would now be suing Jeri. Such a position creates a catch-22 and files in the face of Jeri's well established fiduciary duties. Jeri notes that the attorney for Mary Ruth and Objectors are the same law firm.

Petitioner states Objectors mischaracterize the efforts undertaken by Jeri to regain control of the assets and contend that somehow Jeri's actions needlessly increased attorneys' fees. See Reply for specific inaccuracies including reference to communications, etc. Petitioner states Objectors put much stock in the fact that Mary Ruth was advised by counsel to combine the trust assets. Assuming, *arguendo*, that this assertion is even true, it does not obfuscate the fact that commingling assets was wrongful and violated the terms of the trust. At best it creates a malpractice claim by Mary Ruth against her attorney in the event she is surcharged or found liable for damages to the Bypass Trust.

Petitioner states she is bound by her fiduciary duties to administer the trust according to its terms, take steps to control and preserve assets, keep property separate, enforce claims. Objectors would have Jeri ignore her fiduciary duties, allowing another person to control Bypass Trust assets, and essentially do nothing to carry out her duties, and apparently would rather risk having assets dissipated than pay attorneys fees to ensure they are protected.

Jeri did not benefit by serving as trustee. She waived all compensation. Objectors do not state how Jeri benefitted. The accounting confirms that Jeri even advanced her own funds to recover assets. See Reply for replies to specific objections.

Petitioner states Objectors fail to point to any grounds for refusing to approve the First or Second Accounts. Objectors mischaracterize Jeri's actions to perform her fiduciary duties as her "desire for control," which is disingenuous and should not distract the Court from granting the relief requested in the petition. There is no legal argument that the fees expended were not for the benefit of the beneficiaries. Assets were recovered and promptly distributed. Jeri's petition should be approved as prayed.

14B

L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust Case No. 15CEPR00609

Attorney
Attorney

Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee – Petitioner)
Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Objectors Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman)

Status RE: Trial Setting

	JERI BUCHMAN WEIL filed Petition to Approve: 1) First Account and Report; and 2) Second and Final Account and Report; and Petition for Instructions on 6/17/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: On 9/2/15, Jan van Lienden and Jill Allison Buchman filed a Petition for Relief from Breach of Trust (Page C of this calendar). 1. Need status re date.
Cont. from 090815	JAN VAN LIENDEN and JILL BUCHMAN filed Objections on 7/27/15.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	At the hearing on 7/28/15, the Court directed counsel to come on 9/8/15 prepared with an agreed upon Tuesday date for trial assignment.	
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 14B – Buchman

14B

14C
Attorney
Attorney

L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust **Case No. 15CEPR00609**
Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman – Petitioners)
(Associated counsel for Petitioners: Summer Johnson of Dowling Aaron Incorporated)
Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee)
Petition for Relief From Breach of Trust

Ruth Buchman DOD: 12/9/01	JAN VAN LIENDEN and JILL BUCHMAN, Beneficiaries, are Petitioners.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
Roy Buchman DOD: 12/13/13	Petitioners state their sister, JERI BUCHMAN WEIL , is trustee of the Bypass Trust. Background: Upon their mother's death in 2001, the Buchman Trust was divided into two subtrusts, the Bypass Trust and the Survivor's Trust. Roy Buchman served as trustee of both subtrusts. The Bypass Trust was irrevocable and the Survivor's Trust remained fully revocable by Roy. The Bypass Trust provided that the remaining assets were to be divided equally to Petitioners and Jeri.	1. Notice of Hearing was not served directly on the trustee Jeri Buchman Weil pursuant to Probate Code §1214 and Cal. Rule of Court 7.51. Only on her attorney, Marcus Magness was served.
	Roy married Mary Ruth Buchman on 5/15/05 and in 2007 executed an amendment fully restating the terms of the Survivor's trust, which, among other things, distributed their residence and a specific cash gift of \$200,000 to Mary Ruth and named Mary Ruth as successor trustee. He also executed a will naming Mary Ruth as executor. The remaining Survivor's Trust assets were to be divided equally to Petitioners and Jeri.	2. Need order. Local Rule 7.1.1.F.
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input type="checkbox"/> Order	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
	At Roy's death in December 2013, his attorney John Barrus was deceased so Mary Ruth met with his partner. Petitioners believed Mary Ruth was the successor trustee of both subtrusts. On 1/21/14, Petitioners and Jeri received an email from Mary Ruth provided her attorney's information and encouraging her to call him with any questions.	
	On or about 1/23/14, Mary Ruth received a call from AJ Safavi regarding combining the trust accounts. He informed her that the legal department at Morgan Stanley had approved the combination and he was moving forward. Unbeknownst to Mary Ruth at the time, Mr. Safavi received a \$3,400 commission on the account transfer that he was subsequently forced to return.	
	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 14C- Buchman

Page 2

Petitioners state (Cont'd): On 2/10/15, Petitioners and Jeri received an email from Mary Ruth stating that she now had access to Roy's trust accounts, that she mailed payments to the Central Valley Monument company, and that hopefully the trust would be closed as soon as possible after 6/21/14.

Four months into the trust administration, Jeri's attorney asserted *for the first time* that Jeri was in fact the successor trustee of the Bypass Trust. Unfortunately, instead of agreeing to work with Mary Ruth, Jeri demanded the assets be separated back into two separate subtrust accounts and that Jeri be in control of the Bypass Trust assets. On 4/6/14, Jeri forwarded Petitioners an email from 4/4/14 from Mary Ruth stating she would like to transfer the Bypass Trust information to Jeri as soon as possible, that she was happy to hand it over, that she regretted Jeri had been deprived of taking care of the Bypass Trust, and that she wished the attorney had read things more carefully.

Although it was clear that Mary Ruth was willing to cooperate, Jeri was so aggressive in her approach that Morgan Stanley froze all accounts and it took months to sort through the financial institution's bureaucracy and complete the separation of assets. In August 2014, four months later, Attorney Magness sent Petitioners an email stating that if all goes according to plan, Morgan Stanley will divide the assets and Jeri will have control of the assets that would have been in the account had the commingling not occurred. Petitioners state this was the exact same situation that Petitioners were in on 2/10/14 when Mary Ruth informed them that she hoped to distribute soon after 6/21/14. The only difference was that Jeri's name was on the account and Mr. Magness had billed the Bypass Trust approx. \$10,000 for more than 31.1 hours of attorney time. An additional \$2,794 for 8.1 hours was billed before Jeri finally obtained control of the account.

Petitioners state but for Jeri's actions, the trust assets could have been distributed promptly to the remainder beneficiaries and significant attorney fees would not have been needed.

Notwithstanding the fact that Jeri's petition states that the ultimate distribution would be the same, Jeri spend tens of thousands of dollars from the Bypass Trust on attorney fees and forced Mary Ruth to spend Survivor's Trust assets on attorney fees to unwind Morgan Stanley's unauthorized combination of the accounts and extricate the Bypass Trust assets into a separate account under Jeri's control. None of these fees would have been necessary if Jeri had simply cooperated with Mary Ruth to complete the trust administration. More than \$50,000 that would have been distributed to Petitioners and Jeri has been paid in attorneys' fees from the trusts and Petitioners individually all because of Jeri's actions.

Petitioners state by September 2014, the attacks by Jeri and her husband against Mary Ruth's character became so severe that Mary Ruth retained the Law Offices of Nuttall and Coleman to obtain counsel regarding a possible defamation and harassment suit against Jeri and her husband Doug Weil. A cease and desist letter was sent in September, with response by Jeri's attorney. A second letter was sent confirming that the letter was sent to Jeri and Doug individually and not as trustee, with evidence of their defamatory and harassing behavior.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Petitioners state (Cont'd): Finally, in March 2015, after preliminary distributions were made from both trusts, counsel for Jeri and Mary Ruth discussed entering into a settlement agreement. Mr. Magness drafted an agreement which was forwarded to Petitioners on 4/1/15. The recitals in the agreement included many erroneous and unnecessary statements, including reference to disputes as to Roy's care prior to his death. Further, the mutual release included language to protect Jeri in her individual capacity from claims by Mary Ruth, Jan, and/or Jill that were unrelated to Jeri's actions as trustee of the Bypass trust.

Petitioners state contrary to Jeri's allegations, Mary Ruth never agreed to sign the Magness agreement. A new agreement was then drafted by Boutin Jones Inc., at Mary Ruth's request, which was sent to Mr. Magness and Petitioners on 5/27/15.

Petitioners state Morgan Stanley was responsible for the commingling, not Mary Ruth. The Boutin agreement contained standard release language. Mr. Magness responded that the Boutin agreement was not acceptable and contained misstatements of fact and "leaves open the possibility of litigation." Jeri chose to be the sole party to refuse to sign the Boutin agreement and instead filed her petition for approval of accounts.

Petitioners state Jeri's actions are inconsistent with that of a prudent trustee. A prudent trustee, after confirming the status of the Bypass Trust assets, would have worked cooperatively with Mary Ruth to carry out the distribution of trust assets according to the terms of the trust.

Petitioners state Jeri breached her duty of loyalty because she failed to act in the best interests of the trust. She and her counsel should have recognized that there was no benefit to Mary Ruth and no detriment to the other beneficiaries that the trust accounts had been combined by Morgan Stanley. After Mary Ruth's specific bequest of \$200,000, Jan, Jill and Jeri were to share equally in the remaining assets. Jeri chose to incur significant fees and delay administration so she could have complete and independent control of the Bypass Trust assets. Her sisters should not bear the cost of Jeri's detrimental actions. Jeri placed her own interest above those of petitioners.

Petitioners state Jeri is personally responsible for the attorneys' fees she incurred. Authority cited. Jeri spent trust assets arguing that Roy's amendment did not affect the appointment of trustee as to the Bypass Trust and she had a right and duty to obtain separate control of Bypass Trust assets. The only person that benefitted from this litigation was Jeri – she was able to be "in control" and obtained a platform and funds to allow her to further humiliate Mary Ruth. Petitioners did not benefit from the attorneys' fees incurred and in fact were harmed by Jeri's actions and should not be forced to bear the cost of her attorneys' fees. Further, Jeri should not be allowed to use trust assets to oppose this petition.

As a proximate result of the trustee's breach of trust, the assets that would have been available to the beneficiaries has decreased by more than \$50,000. But for Jeri's actions, less than \$5,000 would have been paid to attorneys before the residue was distributed.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Petitioners request:

1. Trustee Jeri Buchman Weil be enjoined from breaching her trust by using Bypass Trust assets to pay attorneys' fees to oppose this petition;
2. Trustee Jeri Buchman Weil be enjoined from breaching her trust by using Bypass Trust assets to pay attorneys' fees pursuing actions in her capacity as beneficiary of the Survivor's Trust;
3. The Court set aside Trustee's prior payments to her attorneys from trust assets;
4. Trustee be compelled to redress her breach of trust by payment of money damages in the amount of \$50,000 or more according to proof, plus interest, to reimburse the beneficiaries for trust assets spent on attorneys' fees and costs;
5. Trustee be compelled to waive compensation during the entire trust administration; and
6. The Court make all other further and proper orders.

Amended Petition for Letters of Administration. Authorization to Administer under the Independent Administration of Estates Act

DOD: 5/26/15	JOHN DARK , creditor, is petitioner and request appointment as Administrator with bond set at \$55,000.00 .	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Need proof of service of the Notice of Petition to Administer the estate on the person or persons having legal custody, (and with whom she resides), of the minor beneficiary, Sydney Benlien. California Rules of Court, Rule 7.51 (d). – Note: A proof of service was filed showing service on Andrea Thomas, Guardian of Sydney Benlien, however, it does not indicate that the Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate was served, as required.</p> <p>Note: If the petition is granted, status hearings will be set as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wednesday, November 18, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the bond. • Wednesday, March 16, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the inventory and appraisal. • Wednesday, December 14, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the first account or petition for final distribution. <p>Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior the date set the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.</p>
Cont. from 100115		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Full IAEA – o.k.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Decedent died intestate.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Residence: Fresno	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	Publication: Fresno Business Journal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	Estimated value of the estate:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	Real property- \$55,000.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
	Reviewed by: KT	
	Reviewed on: 10/15/15	
	Updates:	
	Recommendation:	
	File 15 – Benlien	

Attorney: Alfred A. Gallegos (for Petitioners Christino Romero and Julia Romero)

Attorney: Hallie S. Ambriz (for Objector/father Edgar Bautista)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		THERE IS NO TEMPORARY	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 9/8/15. Minute order states parties have reached an agreement; Mr. Gallegos requests that the matter come off calendar and represents that upon resolution within the Family Law matter, he will file a dismissal. The Court continues the matter with the understanding that no appearance is necessary on 10/15/15 if a dismissal is filed.</p> <p>1. Proof of service on the paternal grandmother, Guadalupe Bautista does not indicate that the Notice of Hearing was served with a copy of the petition as required.</p>
		CHRISTINO ROMERO and JULIA ROMERO, maternal grandparents, are petitioners.	
		Please see petition for details.	
Cont. from 090815		Objections of Father, Edgar Bautista filed on 7/17/15 and 8/4/15	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Court Investigator Report filed on 8/31/15	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> W/O	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 16 – Bautista

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Nickolas, 5	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 10/15/15	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: CONTINUED FROM 09/24/15 1. Need proof of service by mail at least 15 court days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person</i> <u>or</u> <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice</i> <u>or</u> <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> for: a. Fidel Gutierrez (paternal grandfather) – Per proof of service filed 09/24/15, served with a <i>Notice of Hearing</i> only, need proof of service of both notice of <i>Hearing</i> and copy of the <i>Petition</i> b. Velia Gutierrez (paternal grandfather) Per proof of service filed 09/24/15, served with a <i>Notice of Hearing</i> only, need proof of service of both notice of <i>Hearing</i> and copy of the <i>Petition</i> c. Maternal grandfather d. Rosa Saldana (maternal grandmother) – <i>Declaration of Tiffany Bell</i> states they have been unable to locate an address for Rosa Saldana after a diligent search
Matthew, 5	SONIA GUTIERREZ-COVARRUBIAS, paternal aunt, is Petitioner.	
	Father: RENE GUTIERREZ-COVARRUBIAS – personally served on 08/25/15	
	Mother: VERONICA MORENO – personally served on 08/21/15	
	Paternal grandfather: FIDEL GUTIERREZ – Served by mail with <i>Notice of Hearing</i> only in 08/26/15	
	Paternal grandmother: VELIA GUTIERREZ – Served by mail with <i>Notice of Hearing</i> only in 08/26/15	
	Maternal grandfather: NOT LISTED	
	Maternal grandmother: ROSA SALDANA – <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> filed 09/24/15	
	Petitioner states [see file for details].	
	Court Investigator Dina Calvillo filed a report on 09/21/15.	
Cont. from 092415		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	x	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.	w/	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 17 – Gutierrez

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person

		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 10/15/15	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		DEBY CONTRESTANO , niece, is petitioner.	
Cont. from 091015			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	Please see petition for details.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	Court Investigator Report filed on 9/3/15	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	W/	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	W/	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: KT
			Reviewed on: 10/15/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 18 – Main

19 Rodolfo Cortez Castillo (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00746

Petitioner Castillo, Marilynn De La Cruz (Pro Per – Daughter)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA

DOD: 07/05/2015	MARILYNN DE LA CRUZ CASTILLO, daughter, requests appointment as Administrator without bond.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order of 09/24/2015: Ms. Castillo is to bring the bond quote she received and to check into additional bonding companies. The Court may consider appointing her with limited authority.</p> <p>Note: Petitioner filed an Inventory and Appraisal (partial no. 1) however it has not been appraised by the Probate Referee.</p> <p>Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thursday, 03/17/2016 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal and • Thursday, 12/15/2016 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first account and final distribution. <p>Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.</p>
	All heirs waive bond.	
	Full IAEA – o.k.	
Cont. from 091715, 092415		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Decedent died intestate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Residence: Fresno	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	Publication: The Fresno Bee	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	Estimated value of the Estate:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w/	Personal property - \$48,014.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	Real property - \$700,000.00	
	Less encumbrances - \$198,000.00	
	Total - \$550,014.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen	Declaration filed by Marilynn De La Cruz Castillo, Petitioner, on 09/23/2015 includes a document attached entitled Agreement to Administer Estate of Rodolfo Cortez Castillo. Also attached is a copy of an unsigned Last Will and Testament of Rodolfo Cortez Castillo.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LV
		Reviewed on: 10/12/2015
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 19 – Castillo

DOD: 07/15/15		<p>GIULIANO DICICCO, named Executor without bond, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Full IAEA – OK</p> <p>Will dated 10/13/10</p> <p>Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal</p> <p>Estimated Value of the Estate: Personal property - \$ 5,000.00 Real property - 15,000.00 Total - \$20,00.00</p> <p>Probate Referee: RICK SMITH</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>CONTINUED FROM 09/17/15 Minute Order from 09/17/15 states: Counsel is to come prepared with agreed upon dates if ready for trial setting on 10/15/15.</p> <p>Note: As of 10/12/15, no objection and/or will contest has been filed.</p>
Cont. from 091715			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit. S/P		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail w/o		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF	
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 20A – Stamoulis	

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of Objection and Trial Readiness

DOD: 07/15/15	<p>On 08/03/15, GIULIANO DICICCO, son-in-law/named Executor without bond, filed a Petition for Probate requesting to be appointed as Executor of the estate without bond.</p> <p>Minute Order from hearing on 09/17/15 set this matter for a status hearing and states: Counsel is to come prepared with agreed upon dates if ready for trial setting on 10/15/15.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Note: As of 10/12/15, no objection and/or will contest has been filed.</p>		
Cont. from				
Aff.Sub.Wit.				
Verified				
Inventory				
PTC				
Not.Cred.				
Notice of Hrg				
Aff.Mail				
Aff.Pub.				
Sp.Ntc.				
Pers.Serv.				
Conf. Screen				
Letters				
Duties/Supp				
Objections				
Video Receipt				
CI Report				
9202				
Order				
Aff. Posting				
Status Rpt				
UCCJEA				
Citation				
FTB Notice				
			Reviewed by: JF	
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15	
		Updates:		
		Recommendation:		
		File 20B – Stamoulis		

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Age: 1		<p align="center"><u>NO TEMPORARY IN PLACE;</u> <u>TEMPORARY DENIED ON 08/27/15 DUE</u> <u>TO NO APPEARANCES</u></p> <p>TESSIEJARRA MACK, paternal grandmother, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Father: D'ANDRAE MARTIN, SR.</p> <p>Mother: TRACEY MCBETH</p> <p>Paternal grandfather: SMILEY MARTIN</p> <p>Maternal grandparents: UNKNOWN</p> <p>Petitioner states [see file for details].</p> <p>Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 10/08/15.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i>. 2. Need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person</i> <u>or</u> Consent & Waiver of Notice <u>or</u> Declaration of Due Diligence for: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. D'Andrae Martin, Sr. (father) – <i>personal service needed</i> b. Tracey McBeth (mother) – <i>personal service needed</i> c. Smiley Martin (paternal grandfather) – <i>service by mail ok</i> d. Maternal grandparents – <i>service by mail ok</i> 	
Cont. from				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			x
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: JF		
		Reviewed on: 10/12/15		
		Updates:		
		Recommendation:		
		File 21 – Martin		

Petitioner: Sarah Melcher (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

		GENERAL HEARING 11/3/15.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 9/24/15. Minute order states Examiner notes are provided in open court.</p>
		<p>SARAH MELCHER, maternal grandmother, is petitioner.</p> <p>Please see petition for details.</p>	
Cont. from 091015, 092415			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	W/	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: KT
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 22 – Luna

Petition by Trustee for Leave to Resign, Waiver of Accounting, and for Appointment of Successor Trustee

Al Sanoian	EVELYN SANOIAN , Trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need order.
DOD: 11/3/97	Petitioner states Al Sanoian and Evelyn Sanoian were initial co-trustees of the Sanoian Trust. As a result of the death of Al Sanoian on 11/3/97, Evelyn Sanoian became the sole trustee of the Exemption Trust.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Article XIV of the Sanoian Trust provides that the successor trustees to Evelyn are first Joanne Sanoian, then Jerry Sanoian, and finally Donna Lee Perry. Joanne Sanoian passed away 3/22/14. Jerry Sanoian and Donna Lee Perry both decline to act and consent to appointment of BRUCE BICKEL without bond.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Evelyn Sanoian, current trustee and petitioner herein, does not feel that she is capable to continue to act as trustee. Bruce Bickel is a licensed fiduciary familiar with the assets of the trust. Pursuant to the waivers of bond by income beneficiary Evelyn Sanoian and all remainder beneficiaries, request is made that the Court waive bond for Mr. Bickel as trustee.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	w	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input type="checkbox"/> Order	x	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
	Petitioner requests that:	
	1. The Court appoint Bruce Bickel as successor trustee of the Al Sanoian Family Exemption Trust dated 11/3/97;	
	2. The Court waive any bond requirement of the successor trustee;	
	3. The Court waive any accounting by Evelyn Sanoian as trustee; and	
	4. The Court make all further and proper orders that the Court may deem necessary.	
	Reviewed by: skc	
	Reviewed on: 10/12/15	
	Updates:	
	Recommendation:	
	File 23 – Sanoian	

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator

See petition for details.			<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Court Investigator advised rights on 9/25/15</p> <p>Voting rights affected – need minute order.</p>
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
✓	Pers.Serv.	W	
✓	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
✓	Video Receipt		
✓	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
✓	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: skc
			Reviewed on: 10/12/15
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 25 – Nutt