



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

DOD: 9/27/2007		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR , Successor Administrator, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
		Account period: 6/10/08 – 7/18/13		
Cont. from		Accounting - \$523,584.70		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$370,000.00		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	Ending POH - \$161,982.09		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	Administrator (statutory) - \$11,271.69		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PTC	Administrator x/o - \$1,248.00		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	(sale of real property and preparation of taxes)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	Attorney (statutory) - \$11,271.69		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	W/		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	Bond fee (o.k.) - \$1,308.97		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	Court fees - \$76.50		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	(certified copies)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	Closing - \$5,000.00		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters	12/19/07		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is to:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	Manuel Vela, Jr. - \$29,193.39		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	Virginia Vela - \$29,193.39		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	Matthew Vela, Jr. - \$29,193.39		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9202	Evangelia Madrigal- \$43,790.08		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
				Reviewed by: KT
				Reviewed on: 9/16/2013
			Updates:	
			Recommendation:	
			File 2 – Vela	

Atty Shekoyan, James E., of Baker Manock & Jensen (for John R. Panzak, Jr., Deceased Executor;
 Atty Panzak, Gordon, sole practitioner (Self-represented Objector, Beneficiary)

First and Final Account of Deceased Personal Representative (PC 10953)

DOD: 3/12/2010		<p>JAMES E. SHEKOYAN, legal representative for JOHN R. PANZAK, JR., Executor appointed on 8/11/2010, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Account period: 3/12/2010 – 2/15/2013</p> <p>Accounting - \$620,182.86 Beginning POH - \$575,843.31 Ending POH - \$558,887.37 <i>(POH consists of brokerage account and vehicle.)</i></p> <p>Executor - not requested</p> <p>Attorney - not requested</p> <p>Costs - \$1,765.86 <i>(filing fees, publication, certified copies; research by runner; parking fees and travel/mileage to Court)</i></p> <p>Petitioner states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most of Decedent's assets were in the JOHN R. PANZAK LIVING TRUST, which are not part of the probate estate; • GORDON PANZAK, son, filed two litigation matters between himself and the deceased personal representative, JOHN PANZAK, JR., as the Executor of the estate; one of the litigation matters involves the probate estate; the second matter is a civil litigation action filed by Gordon Panzak (Case # 11CECG00789) regarding the Decedent's trust and trust assets; • John Jr. was prepared to commence trial in the civil litigation action, which was scheduled to begin on 12/12/2012; however, on 12/6/2012, Gordon dismissed this case without prejudice, and on the same day, he filed a new civil litigation action (Case # 12CECG03842) citing the same causes of action grievances as alleged in the action he just dismissed, such that the new complaint is a copy of the complaint dismissed the same day [Note: Court records show the Case Management Conference in 12CECG03842 was continued to 10/15/2013, citing the reason "service."] <p align="center">~Please see additional page~</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 9/4/2013. Minute Order dated 9/4/2013 [Judge Cardoza] states Mr. Shekoyan advises the Court that the accounting has been filed. The Court continues the matter to 9/18/2013 to allow counsel to review the objections.</p> <p>Note: Letters of Administration with Will Annexed issued to the Public Administrator on 6/3/2013. Court may set status hearing for the filing of the final account of the successor personal representative on Friday, March 7, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303.</p> <p align="center">~Please see additional page~</p> <p>Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 9/13/13 Updates: Recommendation: File 3 – Panzak</p>
Cont. from 090413			
Aff.Sub.W			
✓ Verified			
✓ Inventory			
✓ PTC			
✓ Not.Cred.			
✓ Notice of Hrg			
✓ Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/S			
Objection			
Vid Rcpt			
CI Report			
✓ 9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Post			
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA			
Citation			
✓ FTB Notice			

Petitioner states, continued:

- The issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined with the issues in the probate estate; as soon as the civil litigation is resolved, John Jr. intended to close the probate estate;
- **SHARON PANZAK**, spouse of John Jr., petitioned this Court to become the successor personal representative citing conflicts of interest in the appointment of Gordon, who also petitioned this Court to be appointed as personal representative;
- On 4/29/2013, the Court appointed the **PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR** as the successor personal representative of this estate;
- All claims filed with the Court or presented against the estate, consisting of claims by Gordon Panzak filed 12/8/2010 for claims such as rent waste, damage to property, conversion of truck, ½ interest in Santa Cruz real property, and various other items of personal property, totaling **~\$1,582,940.00**, were rejected on 2/28/2011;
- The sole beneficiary of the estate is the **JOHN R. PANZAK LIVING TRUST**; Gordon has received the distributions he was entitled to under the terms of the Trust; the remaining assets of the Trust estate are distributed solely to John R. Panzak, Jr.;
- When John Jr. opened the estate brokerage account, he arranged to have the dividends paid into the account distributed to him monthly (*please refer to Schedule D, Distributions to Beneficiary*); Schedule D shows dividends from pre-August/2010 to 2/15/2013 distributed to John Jr. in the sum of **\$61,168.76**;
- John Jr. was entitled to receive the dividends through the Trust estate; additionally, John Jr. was paying the Decedent's bills and probate administration expenses from these assets;
- **Petitioner requests approval of the monthly distributions to John Panzak, Jr.**

Petitioner prays for an Order:

1. Settling, allowing and approving the First and Final Account of the attorney for the deceased personal representative;
2. Confirming and approving all acts and proceedings of the deceased personal representative, including the monthly distributions of the dividends paid to himself totaling **\$61,168.76**; and
3. Authorizing and directing the successor personal representative to pay to Baker Manock & Jensen the sum of **\$1,765.86** for costs advanced to the estate.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

Note: The devisee of the estate pursuant to Decedent's Will admitted to probate on 8/11/2010 is **JOHN R. PANZAK, JR.**, Trustee of the **JOHN R. PANZAK LIVING TRUST**. *Petition* states the remaining assets of the Trust estate are distributed solely to John R. Panzak, Jr. It appears John R. Panzak, Jr. has received payments of **\$61,168.76** from this Decedent's estate prior to court order approving such payments in contravention of Probate Code §§ 11603(a), 11640, and 11641.

Note: *Petition* requests reimbursement of **\$9.72** for parking expenses and mileage to Court, and **\$36.00** for research by a runner service, which pursuant to Local Rule 7.17(B)(3), (5) and (7) are not reimbursable costs, such that the total cost reimbursement amount should be **\$1,720.14**. Proposed order has been interlineated to reflect costs allowed of **\$1,720.14**.

~Please see additional page~

Beneficiary's Objections to Inventory and Appraisal and Beneficiary's Objections to First and Final Accounting, and Declaration in Support filed by GORDON PANZAK on 9/3/2013 states:

- John Panzak, Sr. died on 3/12/2010; John Panzak, Jr., became Executor of the estate [on 8/11/2010], and in turn died on 2/15/2013;
- No inventory and appraisal was filed until November of 2012, when the Court ordered it to be done;
- The account filed with the Court was not furnished to Beneficiary Gordon Panzak; no accounting was filed until the one presently before the Court;
- Gordon is a named beneficiary of the estate, and was entitled to copies of the accounting and notice of actions by the Executor; none were given;
- In reviewing the November 2012 documents filed with the Court, the Executor lied by declaring that the only beneficiary of the estate was the **JOHN PANZAK TRUST**; both John R. Panzak, Jr., and Gordon Panzak were to share the personal property of the estate;
- John Panzak, Jr., sold the pick-up truck which is the subject of a separate creditor's claim and action by Charles Panzak;

Beneficiary Gordon Panzak's Objections filed 9/3/2012, continued:

- The current *First and Final Accounting* shows that John R. Panzak, Jr., **embezzled** [partial emphasis in original] the proceeds from the sale, thereby committing a felony under Penal Code § 484, et seq.
- It is a fair inference that the remaining personal property was also embezzled by John R. Panzak, Jr., since it was not listed;

Inventory and appraisal and First and Final Accounting are incomplete and were presented so with intent to defraud the Court, the Beneficiary, and to cover up the theft of certain property and funds; John Panzak, Sr., died in possession of the following property which is not reflected in either document:

1. At least one Savings Account;
2. At least one Checking Account;
3. At least one Certificate of Deposit;
4. Antique furniture;
5. Guns;
6. A new pick-up truck (the inventory shows the truck is still in the estate, when in fact it was sold and the proceeds were embezzled).

First and Final Accounting contains many grievous lies in the narrative part, as follows:

1. Paragraph 5 omits the numerous items stated in this objection, and hence is false and fraudulent by omission;
2. Paragraph 11 states all debts of Decedent have been paid; where is the accounting? What debts? How much? When Paid? The Accounting filed in November 2012 stated all debts of Decedent had been paid as of November 2012 if not sooner, yet the excuse given in Paragraph 26 for the Executor's embezzlement is that the money was needed to pay the Decedent's expenses; if they were paid in November, clearly the last 4 payments to John Panzak, Jr., listed in Schedule D are embezzled funds since all expenses of John Panzak Sr. were paid no later than October 2012; the last payment was made on the same date John Panzak, Jr. lay on his deathbed and is highly questionable;

~Please see additional page~

Beneficiary Gordon Panzak's Objections filed 9/3/2012, continued:**First and Final Accounting contains many grievous lies, continued:**

3. Paragraph 15 states all taxes were paid; there is no accounting of those funds;
4. Paragraph 17 states John Panzak, Sr. had accounts in interest-bearing accounts when he died; where are the accounts? Paragraph 17 is ambiguous in that "John" could refer to the Executor John Panzak, Jr. as opposed to Decedent; the trust accounts set up should be included in the account and inventory, especially in light of the embezzlement;
5. Paragraph[s 19 and 20] restate the same lie that has been published by John Panzak Jr. and his lawyers several times in these proceedings [that the beneficiary of the estate is the successor trustee of the **JOHN R. PANZAK LIVING TRUST**]; why do they persist in that lie? Gordon Panzak is a beneficiary of the estate;
6. In Paragraph 20, Attorney Shekoyan tries to cover up a massive embezzlement by John Panzak, Jr. by creating a series of lies and by blurring the distinction between John Panzak Sr, the Decedent, and John Panzak, Jr., the Executor;
 - (a) The Estate and Trust [*emphasis in original*] are the subjects of litigation on Creditor's Claims that exceed the value of the combined entities; NO [*emphasis in original*] distribution to any beneficiary should have been made while the issue is pending; any such transfer is, per se, done with the intent to defraud Creditors;
 - (b) Attorney Shekoyan refers to "John" as opening a Merrill Lynch Account; again, does he mean John Panzak Sr. or John Panzak Jr.? No Merrill Lynch Account is listed in the inventory or the accounting; In Paragraph 5, Attorney Shekoyan states the Merrill Lynch account was in the estate and set up by John Panzak, Sr., not John Panzak Jr., but neither account is listed;
 - (c) John Panzak, Jr. had a right to set up a probate trust account and pay the Decedent's bills; he did not have a right to embezzle the funds to himself; no accounting has been done for those expenses or of any Estate Trust Account; there was never a petition for distribution from the estate to John Panzak, Jr. or any other person;
 - (d) Per the account filed in November 2012, there were no longer any expenses of John Panzak Sr. to pay; at least the last 4 payments of Schedule D were therefore embezzled;

Litigation: The Estate was engaged in litigation for over a year; no claim for those attorney fees has been made; the estate would be the entity to pay the fees;

- The Will of Decedent does not [*emphasis in original*] allow for the hiring of an attorney for litigation;
- The Trust of the Decedent does not allow for the hiring of an attorney for litigation;
- The proper procedure would have been for the Estate and/or Trust to file a petition for instructions in regards to the litigation before incurring the expenses;
- This would have brought the matter to the direct scrutiny of the Court and would have assisted in a rapid conclusion to the litigation by Settlement; this was not done;
- It is obvious that Shekoyan and Paloutzian conspired to prolong the litigation and to have John Panzak Jr. launder the money to them;
- On 3 separate occasions, Paloutzian referred to John R. Panzak, Jr. in his personal capacity [*emphasis in original*] as his client, as opposed to John Panzak Jr.'s status as Executor or Trustee; this shows the funds embezzled by John Panzak Jr. went to Paloutzian as fees bypassing the Estate and Court scrutiny;

~Please see additional page~

Beneficiary Gordon Panzak's Objections filed 9/3/2012, continued:

Litigation, continued:

- The payments to John Panzak, Jr., listed in Schedule D start with the commencement of litigation; the sum total is close to the amount of attorney fees due Paloutzian;
- No claim or lien for fees due to the litigation is reflected in the documents filed; Shekoyan states they have been paid, yet they are not reflected in those documents; no petition for instructions was filed; no lien for fees was filed; no petition for distribution from the Estate was filed [emphasis in original];
- The money goes to John Panzak Jr. and is laundered to Shekoyan and Paloutzian to avoid Court scrutiny and to defraud Creditors and needlessly prolong litigation;
- Schedule D and Paragraph 20 reflect a preferential payment to a beneficiary in deference to creditors and to avoid scrutiny of the Court and without Court permission;
- The pick-up truck payment listed on Schedule D should in no way be ratified by the Court as the Court would become accessory after the fact to the commission of the felony of embezzlement by John Panzak, Jr., which was done with the connivance of his attorneys Shekoyan and Paloutzian.

Beneficiary Gordon Panzak prays that the Court:

1. Reject the Inventory and appraisal;
2. Order that the missing assets be located, inventoried and appraised;
3. Reject the First and Final Accounting;
4. Order all accounts, assets, transactions and supporting documents be produced;
5. Order that the Public Administrator and/or Beneficiary be authorized to audit the accounts of the Estate of John Panzak, Sr., including the documents showing payments of attorney fees to Baker, Manock & Jensen for litigation;
6. Order that the Public Administrator and/or Beneficiary be authorized to examine all financial records of John Panzak, Jr. from 3/12/2010 to present;
7. Order that no fees or costs be authorized to Shekoyan given the false and fraudulent manner in which the accounting and inventory were presented.

Note: Proof of Service filed 9/3/2013 by Gordon Panzak shows a copy of the *Beneficiary's Objections* was served on Attorney James Shekoyan and the Public Administrator on 9/3/2013.

(1) Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Accounting and (2) for Allowance of Compensation for Ordinary Services

DOD: 11/23/2010		MARIAN J. MOSLEY , Administrator, is petitioner.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A Withdrawal of Request for Special Notice from the Franchise Tax Board was filed 12/23/2011 however since then a new request for Special Notice was filed on 08/27/2012. Attached to the request for Special Notice is a creditor's claim in the amount of \$570.34. 2. Need Allowance or Rejection of Creditor's Claim for Franchise Tax Board pursuant to California Rules of Court 7.401 3. Need Allowance or Rejection of Creditor's Claim for Credit First National pursuant to California Rules of Court 7.401. 4. Need Property On Hand Schedule pursuant to California Rules of Court 7.550b(4). 5. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition to be served on the Franchise Tax Board pursuant to their request for Special Notice filed on 08/27/2012. 6. Need Order.
		Accounting is waived	
Cont. from		I&A - \$80,000.00	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	POH -	
✓	Verified	Administrator - Waives	
✓	Inventory	Attorney - \$3,200.00 (Statutory)	
	PTC	Costs - \$1,555.00 (filing fee, probate referee, publication, certified copies)	
✓	Not.Cred.	Distribution pursuant to intestate succession:	
✓	Notice of Hrg	Marian J. Mosley – 100%	
✓	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters	06/01/2011	
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
✓	9202		
	Order	x	
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LV	
		Reviewed on: 09/16/2013	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 4 – Hanson	

Petition of Administrator for Admission of Holographic Will to Probate and for Confirmation of Children as Beneficiaries [Prob. C. 6122; 6451; 8226]

DOD: 6/27/2012		<p>PUBLIC ADMINSTRATOR, Administrator, is petitioner.</p> <p>Petition states Petitioner was appointed to distribution any assets in accordance with the Decedent's Will dated September 21, 1990.</p> <p>An original holographic Will dated January 15, 2005 was located and deposited on 4/17/2013 by petitioner.</p> <p>The 1990 Will which was previously admitted to probate states that all property goes to John C. Broome, II ("Jack"). The 2005 Will sought to be admitted to probate states that each of the decedent's children, Jack, Derek and Stephanie, are to receive \$1 each, and the rest of his possessions are to go to his wife Fanny Broome. Subsequent to executing the 2005 Will, the decedent divorced his wife. Pursuant to Probate Code §6122, the distribution to Fanny Broome is invalid; thus his estate would be distributed pursuant to intestate succession.</p> <p>The Decedent' was survived by three biological children, as noted above. These three children were later adopted by their mother's husband. Petitioner asserts that the children fall within the exception of severance of parent child relationship as set forth in Probate Code §6451 (a)(1) and (2).</p> <p>At one point during the proceedings, the decedent's former wife asserted that since the children were adopted, the decedent's siblings would be his intestate heirs. Both of them, Claudia Broome and David Broome have signed disclaimers, which includes their agreement with petitioner's argument in favor of the children being the rightful heirs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Please see additional page</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
Cont. from			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Proof of Holographic Instrument.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail W/		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
		<p>Reviewed by: KT</p> <p>Reviewed on: 9/16/13</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 6 - Broome</p>	

Petitioner prays for an Order:

1. Admitting the Decedent's holographic Will dated 1/15/2005 to probate, thereby revoking admission of the 1990 Will;
2. Determining that the three children are the heirs of this estate.

7 **Mark T. Felmus (CONS/E)**
 Atty **Wright, Janet L (for Petitioner, Jeremy Felmus)**
 Atty **Johnson, Summer (for Petitioner, Jeremy Felmus)**
 Atty **Poochigian, Mark S. (for Proposed Conservatee Mark T. Felmus)**

Case No. 13CEPR00104

**Status Conference
 Petition for Approval of Settlement Agreement**

	MARK T. FELMUS , proposed conservatee, is petitioner.		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Petitioner states JEREMY FELMUS , son, petitioned to have the PUBLIC GUARDIAN appointed as conservator of the estate of his father, MARK T. FELMUS .		
	On 2/7/2003 the court appointed the PUBLIC GUARDIAN (ex parte) as temporary Conservator of the estate.		
	MARK T. FELMUS , conservatee, filed a Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Temporary Conservatorship.		
	Among the allegations contained in the petition was that Mark T. Felmus was subject to undue influence from Jamie N. Pearcy ("Jamie"), who is now Mark's wife. Specifically, the Conservatorship petitions allege that Jamie wrongfully procured (i) a conveyance of an undivided 1/2 interest in Mark's residence from Mark to Jamie, and (ii) an assignment of the proceeds of a Lincoln Financial Life Insurance policy having a death benefit of approximately \$500,000.00 from Mark to Jamie. Mark disputed the allegations of the Conservatorship petition.		
	Please see additional page		
Cont. from 090413			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	W/	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	X	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: KT
			Reviewed on: 9/13/2013
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 7 - Felmus

Settlement Agreement:

Mark and Jeremy have entered into a Settlement Agreement ("the Agreement") effective as of July 18, 2013. The Agreement is conditional upon approval by this court. The Settlement Agreement is also conditional upon, among other things, (i) Jamie's conveyance of Jamie's Undivided Interest to Mark, and (ii) Jamie's assignment of the Policy Proceeds to Mark.

Petitioner hereby requests that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement. Approval of the Settlement Agreement would be in the best interest of Mark. Moreover, it would allow Mark and Jeremy to end their dispute regarding the proposed conservatorship.

Pursuant to Paragraph 8 of the Settlement Agreement, following the Court's approval of the Settlement Agreement, and upon receipt by the parties of certain certifications required under paragraphs 6(a) and 6(b) of the Settlement Agreement, the parties intend to jointly request that the Court enter a further order vacating the Order Appointing temporary Conservator and the Order After Hearing (extending the temporary conservatorship). Petitioner requests that the Court entertain an ex parte joint request of the parties following court approval of the Settlement Agreement, and upon receipt by the parties of the certifications required under paragraphs 6(a) and 6(b) of the Settlement Agreement.

Wherefore, Petitioner prays for an Order:

1. Approving the Settlement Agreement;
2. Authorizing the parties to jointly file a request (by motion or otherwise) for vacation of the Order Appointing Temporary Conservator and the Order after Hearing, and providing that such request shall be considered ex parte.

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

Age: 84	TEMP EXPIRES 9-18-13	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Court Investigator advised rights on 3-19-13</u></p> <p><u>Voting rights are affected - Need minute order.</u></p> <p><u>Note:</u> Petitioners, relatives, and attorneys Bagdasarian (for Petitioners) and Sanoian (for the proposed Conservatee) have met in mediation and reached agreement, in part, that conservatorship is in the proposed conservatee's best interest, but agreed to mediate further. Agreements dated 3-5-13 and 5-6-13 are in the file for reference.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> The 5-6-13 agreement indicated further mediation on 7-22-13; however, nothing further has been received.</p>
Cont. from 032813, 052313, 080113	STANLEY GREENBERG and CHERYL TAYLOR , Son and Daughter, are Petitioners and request appointment as Co-Conservators of the Person with medical consent powers and dementia medication and placement powers.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Voting rights affected	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	Capacity Declaration was filed 2-26-13.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	A second Capacity Declaration was filed 6-24-13.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	Petitioners state their mother suffers from advanced Alzheimer's Disease and dementia. She resides at a care facility in Fresno. Petitioners are agents under a Power of Attorney dated 12-5-06 and an Advance Health Care Directive dated 12-5-06. Two of the conservatee's other three children, Michele Torres of Gilroy and Nadine Walker of Fresno, claim the care the Conservatee is receiving at her current placement, Serenity Living Care, Inc., is not satisfactory and have threatened to remove her to a residence or some other facility. Petitioners have been made aware from speaking with their mother and staff that while the proposed Conservatee shows an interest in knowing about her personal residence, if she is moved, she may become very confused and agitated. The Conservatee is the settlor of a living trust in which her assets are located. Petitioner Stanley Greenberg is the trustee. Petitioners do not believe any movement is in the proposed conservatee's best interest and request upon appointment to obtain authorization to keep her in a living arrangement suited for her condition.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv. w		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice	Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on 3-19-13.	
		<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 9-16-13</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 8 - Greenberg</p>

Age: 6	SABRINA PRINDIVILLE and KURT PRINDIVILLE, non-relatives, are Petitioners. Petitioners were appointed guardians on 08/04/2011.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order of 08/07/2013: The petitioners are directed to cure the defects in the Examiner notes.</p> <p>The following issues still remain:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need proof of service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Petition for Termination on the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Desmond Rowton (Father) • Alisa Adams (Mother) • Angela Urias (Paternal Grandmother) • Robert Adams (Maternal Grandfather) • Mary Hutchins (Maternal Grandmother)
Cont. from 080713	Father: DESMOND ROWTON Mother: ALISA ADAMS	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Paternal grandfather: Presumed deceased Paternal grandmother: Angela Urias	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.	Maternal grandfather: Robert Adams Maternal grandmother: Mary Hutchins	
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen	Court Investigator Julie Negrete's report filed 07/31/2013.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LV
		Reviewed on: 09/13/2013
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 10 – Rowton

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 3-24-13		<p>TOM MIYAKE, Brother and named executor without bond, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Full IAEA – ok</p> <p>Will dated 11-16-10</p> <p>Residence: Clovis, CA Publication: Fresno Business Journal</p> <p>I&A filed 7-3-13 indicates \$392,127.43 cash plus personal property valued at \$1,600.00 (vehicles, boat)</p> <p>Probate Referee: Rick Smith</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Note: The Court will set a status hearing for Friday 9-12-14 for filing of the first account or petition for final distribution.</p> <p>(Petitioner has already filed a Final Inventory and Appraisal.)</p>	
Cont. from 061213, 071013				
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Petition to Administer Estate			
✓	Aff.Mail			W
✓	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			
			Reviewed by: skc	
			Reviewed on: 9-16-13	
			Updates:	
			Recommendation:	
			File 11 – Miyake	

Age: 3 months		<p>TEMP GRANTED TO COMPETING PETITIONER HELEN JOHNSON ON 7-24-13 EXPIRES 9-18-13.</p> <p>MELVA PALMS, a non-relative, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Father: Unknown - Notice dispensed per Minute Order 6-26-13</p> <p>Mother: LANDREA TOWNSEND - Personally served 6-24-13</p> <p>Paternal Grandparents: Unknown Maternal Grandfather: Not listed Maternal Grandmother: Katrina Reaves</p> <p>Petitioners state the child needs Ms. Palms to act on his behalf to ensure he won't become a CPS case due to lack of anyone being able to prove where he has been placed by his mother. Instead of being safe surrendered he has been given to a family friend who will love him.</p> <p>DSS Social Worker Keith Hodge filed a report on 9-11-13.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Although temporary guardianship was granted to this Petitioner Melva Palms on 6-26-13, the child has been in the care of the Competing Petitioner Helen Johnson since June 2013.</p> <p>1. If this petition goes forward, need notice to maternal grandparents pursuant to Probate Code §1511.</p>	
Aff.Sub.Wit.				
✓	Verified			
Inventory				
PTC				
Not.Cred.				
✓	Notice of Hrg			
	Aff.Mail			X
Aff.Pub.				
Sp.Ntc.				
✓	Pers.Serv.			W
✓	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
Objections				
Video Receipt				
✓	DSS Report			
✓	Clearances			
✓	Order			
Aff. Posting				
Status Rpt				
✓	UCCJEA			
Citation				
FTB Notice				
Reviewed by: skc				
Reviewed on: 9-16-13				
Updates:				
Recommendation:				
File 12A – Townsend-Palms				

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 3 months		<p>TEMP GRANTED TO EXPIRES 9-18-13.</p> <p>HELEN JOHNSON, Third Cousin, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Father: Unknown - Notice dispensed per Minute Order 6-26-13</p> <p>Mother: LANDREA TOWNSEND</p> <p>Paternal Grandparents: Unknown Maternal Grandfather: Not listed Maternal Grandmother: Katrina Reaves</p> <p>Petitioner states the mother is temporarily unfit to raise the child. He was living with Melva Palms, who is not related, and no one inside the family knows her besides the mother. On 6-24-13, CPS placed the child with Ms. Johnson.</p> <p>Court Investigator Samantha Henson filed a report on 9-11-13.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. The Court may require notice to all family members per Probate Code §1511.</p>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory				
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			X	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			X	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			X	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Clearances				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation				
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice				
				Reviewed by: skc	
				Reviewed on: 9-16-13	
				Updates:	
				Recommendation:	
			File 12B – Townsend-Palms		

Pro Per Frierson, Emma (Pro Per Petitioner, maternal aunt)

**Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person
(Prob. C. 2250)**

Age: 9 years		<p align="center"><u>General Hearing set for 11/5/2013</u></p> <p>EMMA FRIERSON, maternal aunt, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Father: UNKNOWN</p> <p>Mother: TANYANNA GARDNER; <i>consents and waives notice.</i></p> <p>Paternal grandfather: Unknown Paternal grandmother: Unknown</p> <p>Maternal grandfather: <i>Not listed</i> Maternal grandmother: <i>Not listed</i></p> <p>Petitioner states a temporary guardianship is needed to meet the child's medical and educational needs. Petitioner states the child's mother had asked her to keep the child because the mother has no steady place to live, and when CPS got involved with the child's mother due to a different issue, the child told CPS he wanted to live with Petitioner forever. Petitioner states she has been taking care of the child for over 3 months with her income, and she is home to care for him after school so she does not need anyone else to watch him, and he knows he is expected to make good grades and have good behavior in school, and he has.</p> <p>Petitioner requests to be excused from giving notice to the father because he is unknown.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If Court does not excuse notice as Petitioner requests, need proof of five (5) court days' notice by personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian, or Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence for:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unknown father. <i>UCCJEA form filed on 9/4/2013 does not provide residence information for the last 5 years as required.</i> <i>Need Attachment 3 explaining affirmative answer to Item 3 of Confidential Guardian Screening form filed on 9/4/2013 re: felony or misdemeanor.</i> 	
Cont. from				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			X
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			X
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: LEG		
		Reviewed on: 9/16/13		
		Updates:		
		Recommendation:		
		File 14 - Gardeley		

Pro Per Young, Jami A. (Pro Per Petitioner, Non-relative Godmother)

Pro Per Young, Kristopher (Pro Per Petitioner, Non-relative Godfather)

**Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person
(Prob. C. 2250)**

Age: 3 years		<p style="text-align: center;"><u>General Hearing set for 11/6/2013</u></p> <p>KRISTOPHER YOUNG and JAMI YOUNG, child's Godparents (non-relatives), are Petitioners.</p> <p>Father: DAVID W. BROWN</p> <p>Mother: CHERICE L. McMILLIAN</p> <p>Paternal grandfather: Gary Brown Paternal grandmother: Margaret Peterson</p> <p>Maternal grandfather: Allen J. McMillian, III Maternal grandmother: Terry Herrold</p> <p>Petitioners state the child's mother is unavailable and unable to care for the child due to drug abuse, homelessness, and illegal activity, and the child's father is serving a long-term incarceration at Corcoran State Prison. Petitioners state the child needs to go to a doctor for current physical and immunizations, and also needs to be enrolled in preschool. Petitioners state they have been a steady important role to the child since her birth, they have provided her with all her living necessities since her birth, and she is part of their family.</p> <p>Petitioners request to be excused from giving notice to the mother because her whereabouts are unknown, and the last physical address of the mother was her mother's home, and the home occupants state they do not know where she is; mutual friends and family do not know the mother's whereabouts.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>4. <i>If Court does not excuse notice as Petitioner requests, need proof of five (5) court days' notice by personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian, or Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence for:</i></p> <p>2) Cherice L. McMillian, mother;</p> <p>3) David W. Brown, father.</p>	
Cont. from				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			X
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			X
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
		<p>Reviewed by: LEG</p> <p>Reviewed on: 9/16/13</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 15 – McMillian</p>		