



## ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

**Order to Show Cause**

<b>DOD: 11/27/05</b>	<b>ANITA CHOPERENA</b> is Administrator with Limited IAEA.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. <b>The Court may require clarification regarding the time frame for closing the estate given the fact that the sale has fallen through, and may set a status hearing for the filing of the petition for final distribution as appropriate.</b>
	Current bond is \$106,000.00.	
	On 7/30/15, the Court confirmed the sale of real property for \$922,500.00 and set status hearing re proof of additional bond in the amount of \$550,000.00 for 8/27/15.	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<p><b>Minute Order 8/27/15:</b> No appearances. The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Thomas Keene and Anita Choperena as to why Mr. Keene should not be sanctioned for his failure to appear, and as to why Ms. Choperena should not be removed as Administrator for failure to file proof of additional bond. Both Mr. Keene and Anita Choperena are ordered to be personally present in Court or appear by Court Call on 9/17/15.</p> <p><b>Declaration of Thomas J. Keene Concerning OSC filed 9/9/15 states</b> in preparing the Report of Sale and Petition for Order Confirming Sale of Real Property he came to realize that the bond would have to be increased once the sale went through. His understanding from the purchase and sale agreement was that escrow was open and a sum was already on deposit, but it would not be fully funded until the Court approved terms the sale. He recalls that prior to the hearing on the Report of Sale and Petition, his secretary had made arrangements with the bonding company to increase the bond before escrow closed.</p>	
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
<b><u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u></b>		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 9/14/15</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 1 – Choperena</b></p>

**Page 2**

**Mr. Keene states** he now recalls that at the hearing, Judge Kazanjian had indicated that there would be some sort of status hearing regarding increase of the bond, but he did not write down the date. When he got back to his office, he had forgotten about the hearing and did not communicate to his secretary to calendar the date.

Before he left the courthouse on 7/30/15, it was agreed that when the almond crop was harvested, possession of the property would be turned over to the buyer, even if escrow had not closed, so that he would be responsible for the trees. Mr. Parker (real estate agent) indicated that escrow would be opened within a couple of working days. Mr. Keene was surprised because he thought escrow had opened and a deposit had already been made to it by the buyer. However, he did not say anything because he assured him that the sale was going to go through.

On Thursday 8/6/15, Mr. Keene received an email from his client which appeared to have been sent to a number of people saying that she was down to 9 acre feet of water and that, "This additional water will sustain the trees until more is available. Ms. Gutierrez will advise me about new owner being able to assume. Comments please, before 4pm Friday, August 7, 2015."

Mr. Keene replied immediately that he did not understand because this amount would be adequate to keep the trees healthy until harvest was complete and after that it would be the buyer's responsibility. He received a reply from Tom Parker that the initial email was sent to Mr. Keene by mistake and was intended for the buyer's real estate agent. He also said in another email that day that the buyer had a 17 day period for inspection and could back out at any time.

On Monday 8/10/15, Ms. Choperena came to see Mr. Keene without an appointment. She was confused because she heard from Mr. Parker that the deal might not go through and she did not know whether to buy enough water to keep the trees going until the end of the year and she had to make the decision that day. Mr. Keene called Mr. Parker, who said he was waiting for a call. He called back later and said that the buyer was backing out. Mr. Keene then advised Ms. Choperena to buy the water. She asked if the buyer had made the deposit. Mr. Parker said no. When pressed as to when the escrow was opened, he gave conflicting answers. Ms. Choperena told Mr. Keene that she had signed a paper in Mr. Parker's office the week before demanding that the buyer make the deposit. This is the first Mr. Keene had heard of such a paper.

Mr. Keene then reviewed the documents attached to the Report of Sale and satisfied himself that they did in fact say that escrow was opened when they were signed and the buyer would make deposit within a day of opening escrow. Mr. Keene then advised his client that she should seriously consider pursuing litigation against Mr. Parker and his broker. The matter is still under discussion.

Mr. Keene takes full responsibility for his failure to appear on 8/27/15 since he is responsible for it not being on his calendar. However, on 8/27/15, his physical situation was such that he would not have physically been able to make appearance even if he was aware of it.

See also Declaration of Julia Chacon-Larson, Mr. Keene's secretary.

**SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES**

**Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Thursday, September 17, 2015**

**Declaration of Anita Choperena Concerning Order to Show Cause filed 9/9/15 states** she does not recall being told to come to court for a hearing on 8/27/15. Ms. Choperena recalls conversation between Mr. Parker and Mr. Keene regarding opening escrow, and recalls that Mr. Keene said bond needed to be in place before escrow closed. Mr. Keene assured her that everything had been arranged with the bonding company and that the increased bond would go into place when needed. She did not think more about the bond after that. The harvest had just started and was to be completed in the next few days.

On 8/5/15, Mr. Parker told her that the buyer had not deposited his initial payment into escrow. At his request, she signed a Notice to Buyer to Perform (attached), which was subsequently sent to the buyer. On 8/10/15, she went to see Mr. Keene because Mr. Parker suggested that the transaction was not going to close and she had to decide whether to buy more water. When they learned that the buyer had decided to back out, she signed the Cancellation of Contract, Release of Deposit, and Cancellation of Escrow document prepared by Mr. Parker (attached). Nothing was said that day about bond.

Harvest was completed 8/15/15. At present, the estate has not been paid the first installment for the sale of the almonds because the harvest needs to be inspected by the Dept. of Agriculture before it is accepted by the company that the estate sells the nuts to. She expects payment before the hearing on 9/17/15. The final payment will not be due until 12/15/15.

Ms. Choperena states if she is removed as Administrator, she wants to make sure that whoever takes over pays her her promissory note before title is distributed to her grandchildren or when the property is sold while it is still in probate.

**First and Final Account and Report of Status of Administration of Administrator, Petition for Approval of Mutual Compromise and Settlement Agreement Regarding Distribution of the Estate and for Settlement thereof; for Allowance of Statutory Attorney's Fees; for Extraordinary Attorney's Fees for Reimbursement of Costs Advanced and for Final Distribution**

<b>DOD: 05/12/13</b>	<b>ROSANNA TOROSIAN</b> , Administrator, is Petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b> <b>CONTINUED FROM 08/27/15</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notice of Hearing filed 07/21/15 and 08/31/15 indicates that the attorney representing several of the heirs was mailed notice, however, pursuant to CA Rule of Court 7.51(a)(1) notice must be mailed individually and directly to the person entitled to notice in addition to the attorney (Probate Code § 1214). Therefore, need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> for: Antonio Simone, Elisa Simone, Vittoria Simone, Giuseppe Simone, Giuseppina Simone, Sebastiano Simone, Angela Simone, Cinzia Simone, Francesco Sicoli, Sebastiano Sicoli, Maria Pia Sicoli, Angela Sicoli, Gianni Sicoli, Emilio Sicoli</li> <li>2. The Order has incorrect distribution amounts to the beneficiaries listed. Need revised Order.</li> </ol>
	Account period: <b>05/12/13 – 07/15/15</b>	
	Accounting: <b>\$462,010.12</b>	
	Beginning POH: <b>\$439,443.82</b>	
	Ending POH: <b>\$421,062.95</b>	
<b>Cont. from 082715</b>	Administrator: <b>\$12,014.00</b> (statutory)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Administrator costs: <b>\$355.14</b> (for costs related to maintenance or real property, decedent's dog, and filing fees)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>	Administrator creditor's claim: <b>\$17,900.40</b> (pursuant to Settlement Agreement between Administrator and the heirs)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>	Attorney: <b>\$12,014.00</b> (statutory) (\$9,611.00 to Gary Bagdasarian and \$2,403.00 to John Garland, Executor of the Estate of Joanne Sanoian)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>	Costs: <b>\$435.00</b> (filing fees)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>	Attorney X/O: <b>\$1,000.00</b> (per Local Rule for the sale of real property – to Joanne Sanoian)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Closing: <b>\$2,730.83</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b> w/	On 11/26/13, Administrator filed a Creditor's Claim against the estate in the amount of \$59,668.00 for compensation for 10 years of service to decedent handling and assisting in many tasks related to running of the household and transportation. On 02/19/14, the heirs of the estate filed an objection to the Creditor's Claim. The parties reached an agreement as reflected in the Mutual Compromise and Settlement Regarding Distribution of the Estate dated 10/10/14. Petitioner requests that the Court approve the Settlement Agreement (attached to Petition as Exhibit "A").	
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b> 08/14/13		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		

Continued on Page 2

**Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is to:**

Rose Torosian	-	\$43,283.98
Antonio Simone	-	\$47,332.80
Elisa Simone	-	\$47,332.80
Vittoria Simone	-	\$47,332.80
Giuseppe Simone	-	\$47,332.80
Giuseppina Simone	-	\$47,332.80
Sebastiano Simone	-	\$15,777.60
Angela Simone	-	\$15,777.60
Cinzia Simone	-	\$15,777.60
Francesco Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80
Sebastiano Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80
Maria Pia Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80
Angela Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80
Gianni Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80
Emilio Sicoli	-	\$7,888.80

<b>DOD: 2/21/13</b>	<b>CARL JOHN PETERSON</b> , Executor with Full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Minute Order 8/27/15</u>: Counsel requests three weeks to file verified declarations addressing the defects.</p> <p>As of 9/14/15, only one of the defects (receipt for settlement funds) has been cured. The following issues remain noted:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SEE PAGE 2</u></p>
	<b>Accounting is waived.</b>	
	<b>I&amp;A: \$704,585.00</b>	
<b>Cont. from 082715</b>	<b>POH: Not stated</b>	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<b>Executor (Statutory): \$17,081.70</b>	
✓ <b>Verified</b>	<b>Executor (Extraordinary): \$930.00</b> (for 31 hours @ \$30/hr in connection with the special administration, will contest, sale of real property, as itemized on Exhibit J)	
✓ <b>Inventory</b>	<b>Executor: \$3,049.00</b> (Reimbursement for expenses paid from personal account as itemized on Exhibit H, including \$1,300.00 to Attorney Boyajian, \$33.00 parking ticket while in court, gardener, photo lab, utilities, postage)	
✓ <b>PTC</b>	<b>Attorney (Statutory): \$17,081.70</b>	
✓ <b>Not.Cred.</b>	<b>Attorney (Extraordinary): \$32,500.00</b> (for 130 hours @ \$250/hour in connection with the special administration, will contest, sale of real property, as itemized on Exhibit I)	
✓ <b>Notice of Hrg</b>	<b>Juanita Sobania: \$3,727.80</b> (Reimbursement for payment of Decedent's obligations owed at her death, as itemized on Exhibit G)	
✓ <b>Aff.Mail</b> w/o	<b>Reserve: \$500.00</b> (for accountant fee)	
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	<b>Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will:</b>	
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	Tambra Peterson: Proceeds from the sale of the residence in the amount of \$256,000.00, plus \$10,000.00 specific devise, plus vehicle, contents of residence	
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	Matthew Peterson: \$10,000.00	
<b>Conf. Screen</b>	Florinda Torres: \$5,000.00	
✓ <b>Letters</b> 7/11/14	John Torres: \$5,000.00	
<b>Duties/Supp</b>	Cynthia Ann Wallert: \$10,000.00 plus \$2,800.00 for services rendered to the decedent prior to her death per attached contract.	
<b>Objections</b>	Balance as follows: One-half (\$70,500.85) to Tambra Peterson and one-half (\$70,500.85) to John Torres and Florinda Torres.	
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
✓ <b>9202</b>		
✓ <b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
✓ <b>FTB Notice</b>		

Page 2

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**

1. Attorney Boyajian did not sign the petition itself and also did not sign his request for extraordinary compensation.
2. The petition does not state the assets on hand pursuant to Probate Code §10954 and Cal. Rule of Court 7.550(b)(4). Therefore, Examiner is unable to confirm the proposed distribution.
3. The provisions of the lost will provide that Tambra Peterson is to receive a specific cash gift of \$20,000.00 in addition to the residence and personal property; however, Petitioner's prayer and order indicate \$10,000.00. Need clarification or corrected order.
4. Petitioner requests reimbursement for \$1,300.00 paid to Attorney Boyajian. Need clarification, as this payment appears to be a violation of Probate Code §10830 et seq., and Cal. Rule of Court 7.700 (no compensation in advance of court order). If this amount was used for costs of administration, need itemization.
5. Petitioner proposes to pay Cynthia Ann Wallert \$2,800.00 based on a contract to clean Decedent's house, in addition to her specific devise of \$10,000.00. The contract attached as Exhibit F to this petition is a handwritten note dated 6/26/12 that states: "To whom it may concern, I Natalie Gomes pay Cynthia AF Wallert \$100.00 per week for domestic services." The Court may require further clarification or itemization regarding the proposed payment of \$2,800.00, since no Creditor's Claim was filed in the estate by Ms. Wallert.
6. The Court may require clarification as to why the decedent's sister, Juanita Sobania, was paying the estate's expenses in connection with the real property instead of the Executor. (See request for reimbursement of \$3,727.80.)
7. The proposed order is not in compliance with Local Rule 7.6.1.F. Some portion of the order must appear on the page upon which the judge's signature is affixed.

**Probate Status Hearing Re: Accounting**

<b>DOD: 4-4-13</b>	<b>BETTY A. PATE</b> , Daughter, was appointed as Executor with Full IAEA without bond on 7-24-13 and Letters issued on 8-2-13.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>Minute Order 7/2/15:</b> The Court reviews a letter from the IRS dated 5/29/15 stating that a refund would be issued within 45 days. Counsel requests an additional two months due to the repeated delays in the issuance of the funds.  <b>Update:</b> Status report filed 9/8/15 states the tax matter has been concluded and Counsel has commenced preparation of the final petition, which should be ready for filing the week of 9/14/15.  <b>1. Need first account or petition for final distribution.</b>
<b>Cont. from 091914, 111914, 010815, 070215</b>	At the hearing on 7-24-13, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the first account or petition for final distribution.	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Inventory and Appraisal filed 4-24-14 indicates a total estate value of \$644,428.38 consisting of real property, an Installment Note secured by deed of trust with assignment of rents, and a vehicle.	
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>	The first account or petition for final distribution is now due. Probate Code § 12200.	
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	<b>Status Report filed 9-16-14 states</b> the only real property of the estate has been sold and the only impediment to closing the estate is settlement with the California Franchise Tax Board. The Executrix has taken the position that no taxes are due to the State from the sale and is discussing said issue with the Board. Upon resolution, the estate should be in a position to close.	
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>	<b>Status Report filed 11-14-14 states</b> there have been continuing efforts by the estate representative to conclude this issue with the tax board. The attorney is informed and believes that once this issue of tax liability is resolved, the estate should be in a position to be closed.	
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> skc
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 9/14/15
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 7 - Guidi</b>

Probate Status Hearing Re Filing of First or Final Account

DOD: 09/16/13	CRAIG GAMMEL, nephew, was appointed as Administrator with full IAEA and bond set at \$270,000.00 on 04/15/14. Bond was filed 02/07/14 and Letters were issued on 05/20/14.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from 072315, 090315	Inventory & Appraisal, Final, filed 12/17/14 - \$418,244.00	<b>CONTINUED FROM 09/03/15</b> <b>Minute Order from 09/03/15 states: If accounting is filed 2 days before the hearing, status may come off calendar.</b>  <b>As of 09/14/15, nothing further has been filed.</b>  1. Need First & Final Account and Petition for Distribution and/or current verified status report.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Inventory & Appraisal, Corrected, filed 03/30/15 - \$465,561.00	
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 09/14/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 11 – Gammel

14  
 Attorney  
 Attorney  
 Attorney

**Shirley Adams (CONS/PE)**  
 Krbechek, Randolph (for Kismet Burroughs – Son – Petitioner)  
 Boyett, Deborah K. (Court appointed for Proposed Conservatee)  
 Horton, Lisa (for Paulette Adams – Sister – Objector)

**Case No. 15CEPR00272**

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob.

C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

		See petition, objections for details.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>Court Investigator advised rights on 4/14/15</p> <p>Voting rights affected – need minute order.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Petitioner resides in Altadena, California.</p> <p><u>Update:</u> Objection to Petition was filed 9/11/15 by Paulette Adams, daughter. See additional pages.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u></p>	
Con from 050415, 062215, 072215				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail			w
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
✓	Pers.Serv.			w
✓	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
✓	Objections			
✓	Video Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order			x
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
✓	Citation			
	FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: skc		
		Reviewed on: 9/14/15		
		Updates:		
		Recommendation:		
		File 14 - Adams		

Page 2

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**

1. Attachment 1f is not sufficient to request dementia powers under probate Code §2356.5. Mandatory Judicial Council Form GC-313, Attachment Requesting Special Orders Regarding Dementia, must be used to request powers under Probate Code §2356.5. Amendment may be required, since this attachment is mandatory, contains mandatory information, and was not included with service.
2. Need proof of personal service of Citation with a copy of the petition, and all appropriate attachments, as noted above, at least 15 days prior to the hearing on proposed Conservatee, Shirley Adams pursuant to Probate Code §1824.

Note: A "Proof of Service" filed 5/26/15 indicates service of a citation and petition, but the Citation has not been filed, and it does not appear that #1 above has been cured or that such attachment was served.

3. Need Capacity Declaration with Dementia Attachment. The Capacity Declaration filed in open court on 6/22/15 does not contain the dementia attachment (Mandatory Judicial Council Form GC-335A) in support of the apparent request for dementia powers (see #1 above).
4. The Court may require clarification as to the necessity for authority to sell the Proposed Conservatee's former residence without Court confirmation, proper publication and noticed hearing, with reference to Probate Code §§ 2540(b) and 2543. The petition does not indicate any urgency.
5. Need order.
6. Paulette Adams, sister, states not all relatives are listed and given notice. Need complete verified list of all relatives within the second degree and notice per §1822, which should include all appropriate attachments, as noted above.

<b>Anna Hepner</b> <b>DOD: 4/24/08</b>	<b>JERRY PRUDEK</b> , Beneficiary, is Petitioner.  <b>Petitioner states GLENN J. HEPNER, JIMMY A. HEPNER, GEORGE HEPNER, JR., and DOLLIE SIMPSON</b> have served as successor trustees since the death of their mother. The trust holds various acreage in Fresno County. Other assets are unknown.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>Minute Order 7/22/15:</b> Counsel represents that the parties are participating in mediation with Mr. Jacobson and requests a continuance. Mr. Krbechek states that he will notify Mr. Teixeira of the date.
<b>Cont. from 060815, 072215</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>		<b>Note:</b> See Page B re status of mediation and agreement.
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>	Petitioner alleges that the co-trustees have reviewed a proposal for distribution as set forth on Exhibit B. No action has been taken to effect such distribution due to lack of agreement among the co-trustees.	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>	The beneficiaries are Glenn J. Hepner, Jimmy A. Hepner, and George Hepner, Jr., each as to a 1/4 interest, and Dolly Simpson and Jerry Prudek each as to a 1/8 interest. Jerry Prudek is successor to Evelyn Prudek.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Probate Code §17200(b)(7) provides that proceeding to compel account may be commenced if the trustee has failed to submit a requested account within 60 days after written request of the beneficiary and no account has been made within six months preceding the request.</li> </ol>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>	Petitioner requests distribution in accordance with the First Amendments, which provides that "if my children are unable to agree on how the property is to managed or divided, then the property shall be sold and the proceeds divided in the proportions indicated above."	Petitioner states his proposed distribution was reviewed, no action taken, but does not state whether written request for account was made. If not, this petition may be premature.
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		
	<b>Petitioner prays for relief against the co-trustees as follows:</b>	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An order compelling the successor trustees to account for any trust assets collected or received by them;</li> <li>2. An order settling the accounts and passing upon the acts of each of the co-trustees;</li> <li>3. An order determining to whom property shall pass or be delivered upon termination of the trust;</li> <li>4. An order for termination of the trust;</li> <li>5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, equitable, and proper.</li> </ol>	



Attorney French, G. Dana (for Administrator David Hernandez)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 3/3/2015		<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><b>OFF CALENDAR</b>  <i>Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 9/8/2015.</i></p>
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 9/14/15
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 28 – Hernandez