1 **Edward L. Myers (Estate)** **Case No. 03CEPR00979**

Atty **Sullivan, Robert L. (for Monique M. Hutchings – Administrator with Will Annexed**

Order to Show Cause RE: Failure to File a Final Account or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 04/05/03	**EDWARD L. MYERS, JR.** and **MONIQUE M. HUTCHINGS,** were appointed as Co-Administrator's with Will Annexed on 08/19/03.	**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**
	Letters of Administration with Will Annexed were issued on 08/19/13.	**CONTINUED FROM 04/14/15** **Minute Order from 04/14/15 states: Counsel is admonished regarding the late filed status report. If the petition is not filed at least two court days prior to 06/16/15, then a status report must be filed at least two days prior and appearance by counsel and client are required.**
Cont. from 021015, 041415	**Inventory & Appraisal,** partial No. 1 filed 04/08/04 - $707,312.97	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	**Inventory & Appraisal,** final filed 04/21/04 - $16,968.64	
Verified	**Inventory & Appraisal,** partial No. 1 corrected filed 11/10/04 - $877,312.97	
Inventory	**Petition for Preliminary Distribution and Statutory Fees** filed 03/16/05 was granted on 06/02/05.	
PTC	**Ex Parte Petition for Amended Letters** granted 05/30/13 ordered that Monique M. Hutchings is the sole Administrator following the death of Edward L. Myers, Jr. **and set this matter for status.**	
Not.Cred.	**Amended Letters of Administration with Will Annexed** were issued on 06/05/13.	
Notice of Hrg	**Minute Order from Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a Final Account or Petition for Final Distribution** held on 01/09/15 set this matter for an Order to Show Cause and states: There are no appearances in this matter. The Court issues an Order to Show Cause as to why the Co- Administrators should not be removed for failure to file the Final Account or Petition for Final Distribution. Mr. Sullivan and the Co-Administrators are each ordered to be personally present in court on 02/10/15. **Clerk's Certificate of Mailing** attached to the Minute Order indicates that a copy of the Minute Order was mailed to Robert Sullivan on 01/09/15.	
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		**Reviewed by:** JF
Status Rpt		**Reviewed on:** 06/11/15
UCCJEA		**Updates:** 06/15/15
Citation		**Recommendation:**
FTB Notice		**File 1 - Myers**

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

Status Report filed 06/12/15 states: most of the property was distributed to the beneficiaries in a preliminary distribution by order of this Court on 06/02/05. The estate contains a bank account with a balance of approximately $150,000.00 at this time. The Court also allowed the Co-Administrators to form an LLC to hold the remaining assets of the estate, namely, four parcels of environmentally contaminated real property. Pursuant to the Court's order, the Elm & Church, LLC was formed and currently holds a bank account with a balance of $50,000.00 as well as the four parcels of real property. At the time of decedent's death, an environmental contamination problem related to previously installed underground fuel storage tanks had been discovered and was in the process of being analyzed and remediated by the Decedent utilizing Krazan & Associates ("Krazan").

Prior to forming Elm & Church, LLC, the Administrator and her counsel retained environmental specialist Alexandra Bannon who advised that due to the contamination problems of the Property, it was imperative to keep the beneficiaries of the estate out of the chain of title to the Property in order to avoid personal liability under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA).

The environmental contamination on one of the parcels has been cleaned up, but the remainder of the property has undergone no remediation whatsoever, and by reason of its close proximity to the remediated parcel, is suspected of being environmentally contaminated.

The Administrator has made diligent efforts to sell the property during the past two years, and at one time had the property in escrow for sale. However, the buyer failed to perform.

The Administrator continues to try to sell the property and is working with brokers to that end. However, to date, no such sale has materialized. Accordingly, the Administrator requests that, in accordance with the advice of the estates environmental counsel, that the administration of the estate be allowed to continue until a sale of the property can be effected.

2 Lyn Dell Johnson (Estate) Case No. 06CEPR01160

Petitioner/Administrator: Doris Bradshaw (pro per)

Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for Settlement and for Final Distribution and for Payment of Statutory Fees to Personal Representative.

DOD: 5/12/14		
Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	**Verified**	
✓	**Inventory**	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	**Notice of Hrg**	
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
✓	**Letters**	1/28/15
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✓	**Order**	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

DORIS BRADSHAW, Successor Administrator, is petitioner.

This estate was re-opened in order to complete the processing of the claim on behalf of the decedent in the Black Farmers Discrimination Litigation.

Account period: 1/28/15 – 5/1/15

Accounting	-	**$62,508.91**
Beginning POH	-	**$0**
Ending POH	-	**$50,008.91**
Administrator (statutory)	-	**$2,500.36**
Closing	-	**$1,000.00**

Petitioner proposes to pay the outstanding creditor claims that were approved but not paid totaling $2,865.56.

Proposed Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is:

Andrew Hatchett	-	$6,234.71
Deloris Johnson	-	$6,234.71
Joyce Johnson	-	$6,234.71
Clemmie Johnson Jr.	-	$6,234.71
Debra Johnson	-	$6,234.71
Pamela Johnson	-	$6,234.71
Patrick Johnson	-	$6,234.71

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. This estate was re-opened using a fee waiver. Filing fees are considered costs of administration and therefore must be paid prior any distribution to heirs. Filing fees owed include $435.00 to re-open the estate and an additional $435.00 for this Final Distribution petition. **The total filing fees owed are $870.00.**

2. After payment of the filing fees, distribution to each heir will be $6,110.43. Need amended petition to include the filing fee and revised distribution. The amended petition will need to be served on all parties.

3. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing on Hollis PL Johnson (creditor)

4. Order does not comply with Local Rule 7.6.1. The order must include the names of each creditor with the specific amount each is to receive in satisfaction of their claim.

Reviewed by:	KT
Reviewed on:	6/10/15
Updates:	
Recommendation:	
File 2 - Johnson	

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

3 Ruby Taylor (Det Succ) Case No. 10CEPR00692

Petitioner Emery, Debra M. (pro per – daughter)
Petitioner Wadford, Regina (pro per – daughter)

Amended Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

DOD: 06/23/09			**DEBRA EMERY** and **REGINA WADFORD,** daughters, are Petitioners.	**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**
				<u>CONTINUED FROM 05/12/15</u> **Minute Order from 05/12/15 states: Examiner notes provided in open court. The Court direct that if a new petition is filed, it is to be set on 06/16/15 as well.**
			40 days since DOD	**As of 06/11/15, nothing further has been filed and the following notes remain:**
Cont. from 051215				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		No other proceedings.	**<u>Note:</u>** Petitioners previously filed a Petition to Determine Succession in 2011, however they did not appear at the hearing and the matter was taken off calendar.
✓	**Verified**		I & A – **not attached to Petition.**	
	Inventory			Need amended Petition with all relevant attachments due to, but not limited to, the following: 1. Petitioners are using an outdated form. Form DE-310 was revised July 1, 2012. The most current form should be used. 2. The Petition is not marked at item 9(a)(3) regarding issue of a predeceased child. 3. Petitioners do not attach an Inventory & Appraisal to the Petition. It is noted that an Inventory & Appraisal was filed 01/25/11 in connection with Petitioner's previous petition; however, a copy of the Inventory & Appraisal being relied upon for this Petition should be attached. 4. The Petition is missing attachment 11 which is to contain the legal description and APN of the property seeking to be passed with this petition. 5. The Petition is missing a copy of the will, which should be attached. It is noted that a will dated 08/19/97 was included with the previous petition, however a copy of the will being relied upon for this petition should be attached. 6. Need *Notice of Hearing* and proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of *Notice of Hearing* to all persons listed in item 14. 7. Need Order.
	PTC		Will dated – **not attached to the Petition.**	
	Not.Cred.			
	Notice of Hrg	x	Petitioners request Court determination that real property located at **[NEED ATTACHMENT 11]** pass to then pursuant to decedent's will **[NEED COPY OF WILL]**.	
	Aff.Mail	x		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order	x		
	Aff. Posting			**Reviewed by:** JF
	Status Rpt			**Reviewed on:** 06/11/15
	UCCJEA			**Updates:**
	Citation			**Recommendation:**
	FTB Notice			**File 3 – Taylor**

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

4 **Azariyah Dominique White (GUARD/P)** **Case No. 11CEPR00688**

Petitioner Shalon Moon (Pro Per – Mother – Petitioner)

Guardian Dianna Jeanne Decoste (Pro Per – Paternal Grandmother – Guardian)

Petition for Termination of Guardianship

			See petition for details.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✔	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	X	
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✔	CI Report		
	9202		
✔	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

1. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1460(b)(5) on all relatives:
   - Dianna Decoste (Guardian)
   - Amir White (Father)
   - Paternal Grandfather
   - Marvell Moon (Maternal Grandfather)
   - Virginia Moon (Maternal Grandmother)
   - Siblings age 12 or older

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 6/10/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 4 - White

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

5 David Corrales, Jr. & Isaiah Corrales (GUARD/P) Case No. 12CEPR00939

Pro Per **Molina, Rosa H. (Pro Per Petitioner, Co-Guardian)**

Petition to Appoint Guardian of the Person

			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 6/16/2015	**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**
			ROSA H. MOLINA, paternal grandmother, is Petitioner. ***~Please see Petition for details~*** **Court Investigator's *Report* was filed on 6/9/2015.**	**<u>Note</u>:** *Petition for Termination* filed on 6/30/2014 by Co-Guardians **JEANNETTE ESTRADA** and **ALEXANDER ESTRADA**, paternal aunt and uncle, (initially appointed on 12/13/2012) was granted on 9/2/2014 *[Judge Oliver]*, and the Court ordered a CPS referral per *Minute Order* of 9/2/2014. **<u>Note</u>:** *Minute Order* dated 4/27/2015 from the temporary petition states the Court finds due diligence as to Angelica Alegria, mother, for today's hearing. Petition is granted to preserve the status quo. 1. Need proof of personal service of the *Notice of Hearing* with a copy of the *Petition for Appointment of Guardian*, or *Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice*, or a *Declaration of Due Diligence*, for: • Angelica Alegria, mother; • David F. Corrales, father. 2. Need proof of service by mail of the *Notice of Hearing* with a copy of the *Petition for Appointment of Guardian*, or *Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice*, or a *Declaration of Due Diligence*, for: • Camillo Corrales, paternal grandfather.
Cont. from				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	**Verified**			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	**Notice of Hrg**			
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.	X		
✓	**Conf. Screen**			
✓	**Letters**			
✓	**Duties/Supp**			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	**Order**			
	Aff. Posting			**Reviewed by:** LEG
	Status Rpt			**Reviewed on:** 6/12/15
✓	**UCCJEA**			**Updates:**
	Citation			**Recommendation:**
	FTB Notice			**File 5 - Corrales**

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

6 **Ivone Carlson (Estate)** **Case No. 13CEPR00294**

Atty Hinshaw, Caroline K. (for Mark Reiff – Executor – Petitioner)

Atty Teixeira, J. Stanley (for Scott Raven – Objector)

Petition for Instructions

DOD: 3-29-13			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
				Minute Order 5/12/15: Mediation has been arranged and is scheduled for 5/21/15. If the matter is settled and no hearing is necessary, both attorneys shall contact the clerk via email so that the matter can come off calendar on 6/16/15.
Cont from 020315, 031015, 051215				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			Status Report filed 6/10/15 by Attorney Hinshaw states a note memorandum settlement was reached, providing that Justice Dibiaso act as the informal binding dispute resolution neutral with respect to the settlement. The transaction contemplated by the settlement is scheduled to occur by 6/22/15. Ms. Hinshaw requests continuance of status hearing subsequent to that date in the hope that the status hearing will be rendered moot by a final settlement.
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail	W		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			Status Report filed 6/11/15 by Attorney Teixeira states he sent an email on 6/8/15 inquiring whether any objections to the notice of proposed action had been received and informing that escrow would open upon receipt of the long form agreement. Email response indicated that she had not yet reviewed the agreement and would advise in a few days.
	Duties/Supp			
✓	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			Note: The Order Settling Executor's First Account entered 12-8-14 authorized continuation of the administration for up to one year. A status hearing was set for 1-11-16 for the filing of the Second and Final Account.
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 6/10/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 6 - Carlson

7 Loeffler Family Trust Case No. 13CEPR00736

Attorney Bagdasarian, Gary G. (for Linda Plitt – daughter)
Attorney Barkinskaya, Jennie (for Conservatee)
Attorney Jenkins, Shani D (for Mick Loeffler – son)
Attorney Downing, Marcella and McLaughlin, William T. (for Diane Huerta – daughter/Petitioner)
Attorney Johnson, Summer A (for Bruce Bickel – temporary conservator of the estate/trustee)

Probate Status Hearing

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

On 08/19/13, **DIANE HUERTA,** daughter of Trustor's Fred and Kathleen Loeffler, filed a Petition to Determine the Validity of the Trust Modifying the Trust, Removing Trustees, Appointing Trustees, Instructing the Trustee, Compelling Redress of Breach of Trust, and Preliminary Injunction and Prohibition of Further Distributions to Mick Loeffler.

Since 08/19/13, the parties have engaged in numerous settlement talks, mediation, and several hearings have been heard before this court.

On 04/28/15, Diane Huerta, filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to Disqualify Conservatees' Attorneys of Record. The matter was heard on 06/10/15 and the Court has taken the matter under submission.

Status Report filed 06/11/15 by Jennie Barkinskaya, attorney for Fred and Kathleen Loeffler, states: The Loefflers do not object to Petitioner Diane Huerta's request to not vacate the trial and hearing dates this matter and the related conservatorship matters. The parties are awaiting the Court's ruling on Diane Huerta's Motion to Disqualify Conservatees' Attorneys of Record.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Reviewed by: JF
Reviewed on: 06/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 7 - Loeffler

8 Marilyn Tutunjian Bypass Trust (Trust) Case No. 13CEPR00912

Attorney **Simonian, Jeffrey D., for Trustee Karnie H. Tutunjian**

Probate Status Hearing for Failure to Submit the Order After Hearing for Hearing on 12/2/2013

DOD: 11/3/2009		
Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	X
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

KARNIE H. TUTUNJIAN, surviving Settlor, acting Trustee, and sole Beneficiary of the **MARILYN TUTUNJIAN BYPASS TRUST**, filed a *Petition for Termination of Irrevocable Trust* on 10/15/2013.

***Minute Order* dated 12/2/2013** shows the petition was granted, with the Order is to be signed ex parte.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need proposed Order Terminating Irrevocable Trust pursuant to *Minute Order* dated 12/2/2013 granting the *Petition*.

Note: Follow-up email communications to the Attorney regarding submission of the proposed order have proved unsuccessful since the first attempt on 3/3/2014.

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 6/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 8 - Tutunjian

9 2013 Walter Edward Eastwood Revocable Trust Case No. 14CEPR00069

Attorney LeVan, Nancy J. (for Susan Brown – Trustee – Petitioner)

First and Final Account of Trustee; Petition for Allowance of Fees for her Attorney; Reimbursement to Trustee for Out of Pocket Expenses Petition; Reimbursement of Labor and Materials and for Distribution and Termination of the Trust

DOD: 3/21/14		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	Notice of Hrg	
✓	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✓	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

SUSAN BROWN, Trustee with bond of $74,000.00, is Petitioner.

Account period: 8/23/13 – 4/4/15
Accounting: $236,090.27
Beginning POH: $205,417.82
Ending POH: $111,831.88 (cash)

Trustee: $772.22 (reimbursement for payments made for the trust, filing fees, other expenses)

Trustee states the decedent's home was sold to the Kerbys, who purchased materials and provided labor to bring the home up to code into a condition where it could be sold. In addition to what the trust has paid them up to this point, the trustee requests authorization to pay the Kirbys an additional $3,488.98.

Attorney: $1,500.00

Petitioner requests distribution to the named five beneficiaries in the amount of $21,214.13 each and termination of the trust.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

<u>Note</u>: This trust was created via substituted judgment in Conservatorship case 12CEPR00922 on 8/20/13.

1. **Need itemization of $722.22 reimbursement requested to Petitioner.**
2. **Need itemization for attorney fee request of $1,500.00.**
3. **Need itemization of $3,488.98 reimbursement requested to the Kerbys (buyers of the home). (Disbursements schedule reflects various payments to the Kerbys.)**
4. **Need clarification re disbursements of $3,600 and $2,000 to Petitioner for repay loans to estate.**
5. **Many of the disbursements for the house appear to be a family or affiliate relationship to petitioner; however, the petition does not disclose any relationships pursuant to Probate Code §1064(a)(4). Need clarification.**

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 6/10/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 9 - Eastwood

10 Paris Phillips (GUARD/P) Case No. 14CEPR00351

Atty Ruiz, Richard A. (for Rose Hess – Paternal Great-Grandmother – Petitioner)
Atty Phillips, Robert (Pro Per – Father)
Atty Weikel, Jennifer (Pro Per – Mother – Objector)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: Minute Order 5/5/15 states the matter is not being set for trial at this time. The Court set this hearing (5/15/15) for reconsideration of temporary and general guardianship.
Cont. from 040715				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
	Notice of Hrg			
	Aff.Mail			
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 6/10/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 10A - Phillips

11 Josiah Gayten & Nevaeh Dominguez (GUARD/P) Case No. 14CEPR00508

Atty Alegria, Eddie (Pro Per – Petitioner – Cousin)

Atty Dominguez, Pablo III (Pro Per – Father of Nevaeh – Objector)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Neveah Age: 2 yrs DOB: 02/12/2013			**TEMPORARY AS TO NAVAEH EXPIRES 06/16/2015**
			EDDIE ALEGRIA, cousin, is petitioner.
			Please see petition for details
Cont. from 081414, 101414, 011315, 022415, 040715, 051215			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	x	
	Aff.Mail	x	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	x	
✓	**Conf. Screen**		
✓	**Letters**		
✓	**Duties/Supp**		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✓	**CI Report**		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	**UCCJEA**		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

The following issues remain:

1. Need Notice of Hearing.

2. Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for:
   - Pablo Dominguez, III (Father of Nevaeh) – Unless the Court dispenses with notice

Note: Declaration of Due Diligence filed 12/11/2014 states she does not know him. The day he came to take the child he gave her his number but because she never got an answer she assumed it was the wrong number.

   - Chrissy Quair (Mother)-Unless the Court dispenses with notice.

Note: Affidavit of Unsuccessful Service filed 05/29/2015 Deputy Tom Cozby states this service was unsuccessful because the defendant moved.

3. Need proof of service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for:
   - **Paternal Grandfather of Nevaeh (Not Listed)**
   - **Maylou Dick (Paternal Grandmother)**
   - **Maternal Grandfather (Not Listed)**
   - **Maternal Grandmother (Not Listed)**

Reviewed by: LV

Reviewed on: 06/10/2015

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 11 – Gayten & Dominguez

12 Larry A. Borunda (Estate) Case No. 14CEPR00781

Attorney Warson, Starr (for Roy Borunda – Administrator – Petitioner)

First and Final Account and Report of Personal Representative; Petition for Settlement, for Allowance of Statutory Services, and for Final Distribution of the Estate

DOD: 07/26/2013		
Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	**Verified**	
✓	**Inventory**	
	PTC	
✓	**Not.Cred.**	
✓	**Notice of Hrg**	
✓	**Aff.Mail**	w/
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
✓	**Letters**	11/14/14
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
✓	**9202**	
✓	**Order**	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
✓	**FTB Notice**	

ROY BORUNDA, Administrator, is petitioner.

Account period:

Accounting	-	**$134,043.26**
Beginning POH	-	**$133,492.26**
Ending POH	-	**$92,567.29**
Executor (Statutory)	-	**$5,021.30**
Attorney (Statutory)	-	**$5,021.30**
Closing	-	**$7,000.00**

Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is to:

Rafael Regalado - **$9,189.23**
Paul Regalado - **$9,189.23**
Ruth Leal - **$9,189.23**
Gloria Moreno - **$9,189.23**
Martha Mulkern - **$9,189.23**
Adolph Borunda - **$9,189.23**
Roy Borunda – reimbursement for advanced costs by personal representative in the amount of **$11,200.00** for funeral costs, filing fees, and Petition to Determine Succession; **$9,189.23**

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Petition does not provide a definitive account period. Accounting should begin at the time letters issue.
2. Order does not comply with Local Rule 2.1.15. Need new order.

Note: Consistent with the Court's recent practice, if Court determines an informal accounting of the closing reserve is warranted, Court will set a Status Hearing as follows:

> **01/12/2016 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303** for an Informal Accounting of the **$7,000.00** Closing Reserve.

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the document noted above is filed 10 days prior to the date listed, the hearing will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required. **Filing of the informal accounting of closing reserve will not generate a new hearing date.**

Reviewed by: LV
Reviewed on: 06/12/2015
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 12 – Borunda

13 Sylvia Valencia (Estate) Case No. 14CEPR00857

Attorney: Rodriguez, Frankie D., for Petitioner Primavera Damme, Administrator

Probate Status Hearing Re: Proof of Bond

DOD: 8/1/2013	**PRIMAVERA DAMME**, daughter, was appointed Executor with Full IAEA with bond of **$175,000.00** on 4/14/2015.	**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**
		OFF CALENDAR
Cont. from 060215	***Minute Order* dated 4/14/2015** from the hearing on the petition for letters of administration set the matter for a Status Hearing on 6/2/2015 for filing of the proof of bond.	***Proof of Bond* in the sum of $175,000.00 filed 6/10/2015.**
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		**Continued from 6/2/2015.** *Minute Order* states counsel represents that bond will issue in 10 – 14 days. Should the bond not be posted, both Counsel and Primavera Damme are ordered to be personally present in Court on 6/16/2015.
Bond X	*Letters of Administration* filed on 4/24/2015 were **revoked** on 5/28/2015 due to the Petitioner not having filed proof of bond.	
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		~~1. Need proof of bond to be filed with the Court in the sum of **$175,000.00** pursuant to Probate Code § 8480.~~
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		**Reviewed by:** LEG
Status Rpt		**Reviewed on:** 6/12/15
UCCJEA		**Updates:**
Citation		**Recommendation:**
FTB Notice		**File 13 - Valencia**

14 Christopher Neal Constructive Trust Case No. 14CEPR01004

Attorney: Ryan Michael Janisse (for Petitioner Christopher Neal)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Account

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

CHRISTOPHER NEAL petitioned the Court requesting the Court:

1. Compel Jennifer O'Lane to provide an accounting of her actions as agent under the power of attorney;
2. Compelling Jennifer O'Lane to deliver Petitioner's property to him;
3. Finding that Jennifer O'Lane breached her fiduciary duty to Petitioner under the power of attorney;
4. Requiring Jennifer O'Lane to pay double damages;
5. Finding Jennifer O'Lane and Michael O'Lane are constructive trustees of the Petitioner's property;
6. Requiring Jennifer O'Lane to pay Petitioner's reasonable attorney fees and costs in this matter.

On 12/18/14 the Court made an order requiring Jennifer O'Lane to prepare and file and account of her actions as agent under the power of attorney within 60 days of the 12/18/14 hearing date.

Minute order dated 5/12/15 states Mr. Barco represents that the accounting has not been completed due to Ms. O'Lane's high risk pregnancy. He further represents that the accounting will be filed within 30 days. Mr. Janisse requests that the matter be set for trial. Ms. O'Lane and her counsel are ordered to be personally present in court on 6/16/15. If the petition is not file, the Court will consider imposing sanctions.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Account of Attorney-in-Fact filed and set for hearing on 7/27/15.

1. **Need accounting or current written status report** pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 which states in all matters set for status hearing verified status reports must be filed no later than 10 days before the hearing. Status Reports must comply with the applicable code requirements. Notice of the status hearing, together with a copy of the Status Report shall be served on all necessary parties.

Reviewed by: KT	
Reviewed on: 6/10/15	
Updates:	
Recommendation:	
File 14 - Neal	

15 Raymond Pirie (CONS/PE) Case No. 14CEPR01089

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian)
Atty Bagdasarian, Gary (Court appointed for Proposed Conservatee)
Atty Petty-Jones, Teresa (for Objector Anna Young)
Atty Leifer, William H. (for Sunshine Home Care and Evelyn Babakhanifar)

Application for Order to Show Cause Re: Contempt

Age: 95		
DOB: 8-10-19		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

TEMP EXPIRES 6/16/15.

FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN filed Petition for Appointment of Conservator of the Person and Estate on 12/3/14.

ANNA LISA YOUNG, Niece, filed Objections on 1/9/15.

Court Trial was set for 6/16/16 at 8:30 am per Order filed 4/15/15.

On 5/18/15, Teresa Petty-Jones, attorney for Ms. Young, filed this Application for Order to Show Cause Re: Contempt as to Sunshine Home Care, Evelyn Babakhanifar, all parties herein, and their attorneys of record for refusing to comply with a deposition subpoena. The matter was set for 6/16/15 at 8:30 a.m. (along with the trial).

Opposition to this OSC was filed by Sunshine Home Care and Evelyn Babakhanifar on 6/8/15.

Pursuant to Stipulation for Continuance, Court Trial will be continued to a date TBD. However, the stipulation does not include this OSC. Therefore, this OSC remains set for 6/16/15 at 8:30 am.

Application for OSC Re Contempt states Sunshine and Evelyn Babakhanifar, its custodian of records, refused to comply with a duly issued deposition subpoena.

Declaration of Teresa B. Petty-Jones filed 5/18/15 states on 2/24/15 she caused to be served a Deposition Subpoena on the Custodian of Records for Sunshine Home Care seeking medical and billing records of Raymond Pirie, the proposed Conservatee herein, which records are relevant to the dispute in this case. No motions for quash or protective orders have been filed by any party or non-party, including Sunshine and Evelyn Babkhanifar.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

Note: Pursuant to Stipulation for Continuance, Court Trial will be continued to a date TBD. However, the stipulation does not include this OSC. Therefore, this OSC remains set for 6/16/15 at 8:30 am.

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 6/10/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 15 - Pirie

A meet and confer letter was sent via fax, email, and regular mail on 4/24/15. Omid Babakhanifar, the Director and Administrator of Sunshine, faxed a letter stating, among other things, "I regret to inform you that your request to produce the above documents has been denied." A second met and confer letter was sent 4/27/15 encouraging them to seek legal counsel, and explaining that she did not merely "request" documents but rather issued a subpoena under California law. Sunshine replied to the letter on 4/30/15, but still refused to comply with the subpoena, still mischaracterizing the Deposition Subpoena as a "request" to release medical records.

Neither Sunshine nor anyone on their behalf filed for a protective order or moved to quash the subpoena. Sunshine still has not complied and the date for trial is quickly approaching.

Opposition filed 6/8/15 by Sunshine Home Care and Evelyn Babakhanifar states the records are actually those of Mr. Pirie and not Sunshine. Mr. Babakhanifar immediately upon getting the subpoena contacted the Public Guardian and the attorney for Mr. Pirie. He was told the county would take care of the subpoena and not to turn over the files. However, the county failed to file an objection on behalf of Mr. Pirie to protect Mr. Pirie and Sunshine.

Objectors states Sunshine (the Babakhanifars) are not in contempt as they reasonably believed the county had taken over the responsibility for responding to the subpoena after promises from both the Public Guardian and the County Counsel that they would respond to the demand; the documents were delivered to the County as representing the interests of Mr. Pirie who held the right to disclose or not disclose; and the County delivered the documents to the attorney for Ms. Young after purportedly objecting (orally) to the disclosure.

Opposition cites authority and states given the confidentiality and the county's initial objection to providing the documents to the contestant, it is surprising that neither the public guardian nor county counsel nor attorney for Mr. Pirie filed any objections to the subpoena given the apparent failure of the moving party's attorney to sign the subpoena. While statute requires interested parties to file motions to quash, none did and purportedly would have allowed possible irrelevant and immaterial documents to be delivered to counsel for contestant. It was only the Babakhanifars who felt compelled to protect Mr. Pirie and their trust in the county to respond. Now, the Public Guardian, the County Counsel, and counsel for Mr. Pirie are throwing the Babakhanifars under the bus by refusing to step up and commit in writing to the court that it is their obligation to defend and hold the Babakhanifars harmless from the claims for sanctions from the attorney for Contestant Young.

The Opposition concludes that apparently no one was interested in protecting Mr. Pirie's rights except the Babakhanifars. Failure of the Public Guardian and County Counsel to file objections to the subpoena put them in an impossible situation. In hindsight, it would have been better had they not trusted the county but instead contacted counsel early on, but it was reasonable for Omid Babakhanifar to rely on the county's representations and instructions.

This is not a case of blindly violating a valid subpoena. Clearly there was no intent to violate any order and there is no basis to hold the Babakhanifars in contempt of court, requiring them to pay Contestant's counsel. Certainly if that be the case then "no good deed goes unpunished." Let the county pay any sanction money.

Attorney Petty filed Reply to Opposition on 6/10/15 that states Sunshine has still not complied with the Deposition Subpoena. In her declaration filed with the court on 5/18/15, she requests sanctions of $3,090.80 for her attorney time and copy charges. That request is hereby amended to include an additional $577.40 for additional time and copy charges. Her client is entitled to $3,683.00.

Examiner's Note: Attorney fees are subject to petition and Court authorization pursuant to Probate Code §2640 et seq. Please also see Local Rules re copy charges considered cost of doing business and not reimbursable.

16A Kenneth Harold Moore (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00081

Attorney: Jeffrey B. Pape (for Petitioner Harold Rick Moore, son)
Attorney: Steven P. Braccini; Brian Wilson, of Palo Alto (for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)
Attorney: Mark S. Poochigian (also for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)

Petition for Probate of Lost Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 7/14/2014

Cont. from 051415

	Aff.Sub.Wit.	S/P
✓	**Verified**	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	**Notice of Hrg**	
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/
✓	**Aff.Pub.**	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
✓	**Letters**	
✓	**Duties/Supp**	
✓	**Objections**	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✓	**Order**	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

HAROLD RICK MOORE, son, and second named Executor without bond, is Petitioner.

Full IAEA — OK

Lost Will Dated: 4/10/2001

Residence — Fresno
Publication — Business Journal

Estimated value of the Estate:
Personal property - **$300,000.00**

Total **$300,000.00**

Probate Referee: **Rick Smith**

Petitioner states:

- Decedent's pour over Will conveyed assets to the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001**, which provided that Decedent's assets were to be distributed in 5 equal shares to Decedent's children;
- After Decedent's stroke in 2011, the Will and the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST** were in physical possession of Decedent's son, **KENNETH RANDOLPH (RANDY) MOORE**, who was named executor;

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Page 18 is the related *Moore Family Trust*, 15CEPR00111.

Page 16B is the *Petition for Letters of Special Administration* filed 5/7/2015 by Petitioner Harold Rick Moore seeking the appointment of Bruce Bickel.

Continued from 5/14/2015 to meet up with the petition for special administration.

1. Probate Code § 8223 provides a petition for probate of a lost or destroyed will shall include a written statement of the testamentary words or their substance, and that if the will is proved, the provisions of the will shall be set forth in the order admitting the will to probate. Pursuant to Probate Code § 8223, need revised proposed order containing the provisions of the lost Will.

Note: If *Petition* is granted, Court will set Status Hearings as follows:

- **Thursday, November 19, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of final inventory and appraisal; and**
- **Thursday, August 18, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of first account and/or petition for final distribution.**

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 16A – Moore

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

<u>Petitioner states, continued</u>:

- Randy then caused to be created and presented to his incapacitated father (Decedent) an irrevocable trust entitled the "**KENNETH HAROLD MOORE IRREVOCABLE TRUST dated 4/7/2011**" which was intended to alter the disposition of the Decedent's assets by excluding all of Decedent's children and leaving the entirety of the estate to Randy;
- Randy has filed a lawsuit in Santa Clara County in an effort to obtain possession of all of Decedent's assets;
- Randy is disqualified to act as executor of the estate under Probate Code § 8502(d) and (e);
- As the named executor has already filed a lawsuit claiming that all of the assets of Decedent belong to the **KENNETH HAROLD MOORE IRREVOCABLE TRUST dated 4/7/2011** rather than being poured over into the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001**, he cannot possibly protect the probate estate, the beneficiaries of the probate estate, and the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST**;
- The conflict goes to the very heart of his ability to act as a fiduciary; as such, the second named executor Petitioner **HAROLD RICK MOORE (Rick)** should be named as the executor.

***Response to Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary* filed by KENNETH RANDOLPH MOORE on 5/12/2015 states:**

- There is simply no need to open a probate regarding the Decedent's estate because Decedent died with a trust to which the Decedent manifested his intent to transfer his assets;
- Decedent's Trust is the subject of 3 pending matters (2 in Santa Clara: a Heggstad Petition filed by Respondent and a Petition to invalidate Trust as restated in 2011 filed by Petitioner and his sisters; and 1 in Fresno 15CEPR00111);
- Respondent asserts that the **KENNETH HAROLD MOORE IRREVOCABLE TRUST dated 4/7/2011** ("2011 Trust") contains the operative terms of the trust, whereas Petitioner alleges that the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001** ("2001 Trust") contains the operative terms of the trust;
- While this dispute is litigated, opening a probate is unnecessary because there are no assets that need management by a personal representative due to the fact that Respondent, as Trustee, is the Decedent's successor-in-interest; there is no need to open a probate in this matter, or to appoint a Special Administrator pending adjudication of this petition, at least not until the other matters regarding the Trust are adjudicated;
- Even assuming a probate should be opened now, Respondent is nominated as executor under the 2011 Will, so he should be appointed executor;
- Respondent is not disqualified from being appointed, as Decedent knew of any purported conflict in Respondents' appointment and acquiesced;
- **Respondent respectfully requests this Court issue an order either (1) denying this petition without prejudice, or (2) staying or abating this action until the other matters regarding the Trust are adjudicated;**
- *[Please refer to pages 3 to 6 for complete Response];*
- **To the extent the Court is inclined to do anything other than summarily deny this Petition or issue an order abating or staying this action, Respondent respectfully requests an evidentiary hearing to proffer documentary and testimonial evidence upon direct and cross-examination to refute the allegations.**

~Please see additional page~

16A Second Additional Page, Kenneth Harold Moore (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00081

***Declaration of Jeffrey B. Pape* filed 5/13/2015** details a number of incidents over the years which bear on the fitness of **KENNETH RANDOLPH MOORE** to serve in a representative capacity in this matter; supporting documentation attached as Exhibits A through E; states in brief sum:

- On 11/24/1998, Moore was suspended from the practice of law by the CA Supreme Court, reported in State Bar Court Case No. 96-O-00625 *(copy attached as Exhibit A)*; *[Note: Respondent is on inactive status with the CA State Bar as of 3/11/2015; his record shows disciplinary action with actual suspension dated 12/24/1998, at which time he was not eligible to practice law, and he went back on active status effective 3/3/1999 until 3/2015];*
- Moore procured a CA Uniform Statutory Form Power of Attorney from Decedent shortly after his massive stroke in January 2011 *(copy attached as Exhibit B)*; Moore produced accountings as Decedent's agent as part of Moore's Santa Clara case, which disclose a number of actions evidencing fiduciary misconduct, including improper gifts of **$49,000.00** not authorized by the power of attorney; improper self-dealing and unauthorized loans of **$75,000.00** to himself, and **$37,586.84** for a marital settlement agreement; questionable professional fees to himself of **$67,478.50**; rent paid to Moore of **$251,726.55**; *(copy attached as Exhibit C)*;
- This evidence belies the idea that Moore can properly serve in a representative capacity; a special administrator will need to take legal steps to preserve the estate's claims against Moore, who cannot defend himself if this matter as he will be the defendant;
- After Decedent's second massive stroke, Moore drafted, presented, and procured from Decedent while Decedent was bedridden a trust in April 2011; the 2011 Trust purportedly disinherits the Decedent's four other children in contravention of long-standing estate planning plans distributing the Decedent's estate pro rata among his children; as a result, Moore has filed in Santa Clara Superior Court a Petition for Order Determining Title to Property, seeking to establish his ownership of 3 valuable lawsuits [titles omitted]: 1 suit in the US District Court, Western Division of Louisiana; and 2 suits in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware; in one of the suits, Moore falsely claimed he was the real party in interest, not Decedent, apparently to receive benefits of the cell tower litigation;
- Attached as *Exhibit E* is a letter dated **5/12/2015** from **MICHAEL A. PULLARA**, attorney on behalf of Decedent in the 3 lawsuits, who requests the immediate appointment of a person with authority to act on Decedent's interests;
- Declarant believes Moore is currently in default and violation of support order to his ill former spouse, **SHEILA THOMAS**, claiming that he is "broke" *[Please refer to Declaration of **SHEILA THOMAS** filed 5/13/2015]*;
- While **RONALD DEAN MOORE** is also listed in Decedent's Will as a nominee to act as executor, for a number of years he has acted as a plaintiff in the myriad of ADA lawsuits filed by Moore and his firm, thus Ronald Moore has an irreconcilable financial interest with Moore which calls into question his ability to act neutrally.

Declaration of SHEILA THOMAS filed 5/13/2015 states she is the former spouse of Kenneth Randolph Moore, they were married on 12/27/1975, and on 11/6/2000 she filed a petition for the dissolution of their marriage in Santa Clara Superior Court *[case number omitted]*; on 11/6/2000, they entered into a Marital Settlement Agreement which includes an award of Spousal Support whereby Kenneth Randolph Moore is to pay her $2,800.00 per month spousal support commencing 8/1/2001; they signed an addendum on 9/27/2001 whereby he was to maintain health coverage for her *(copy of court-approved judgment entered 11/5/2001 attached as Exhibit A);* when alimony was not received for April 2015, she attempted to contact Kenneth Randolph Moore, was unable to reach him, but reached his current spouse and his attorney, **TANYA MOORE**, who stated he was very ill and broke, and that his only source of income is social security and therefore he could not make alimony payments or health care premiums.

16B Kenneth Harold Moore (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00081

Attorney: Jeffrey B. Pape (for Petitioner Harold Rick Moore, son)
Attorney: Steven P. Braccini; Brian Wilson, of Palo Alto (for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)
Attorney: Mark S. Poochigian (also for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)

Petition for Letters of Special Administration

DOD: 7/14/2014		
Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	**Verified**	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	**Notice of Hrg**	
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/
	Aff.Pub.	X
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
✓	**Letters**	
✓	**Duties/Supp**	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✓	**Order**	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

HAROLD RICK MOORE, son and remainder beneficiary, is Petitioner and requests appointment of **BRUCE BICKEL** as Special Administrator without bond.

Lost Will Dated: 4/10/2001

Residence — Fresno
Publication —

Estimated value of the Estate:

- **To Be Determined**

Petitioner states:

- Petitioner seeks appointment of a special administrator on the grounds that the Decedent's Will is being contested, delaying appointment of a personal representative for an indeterminate time;
- The Decedent was a party to 3 lawsuits which need to be maintained until there is a determination of the ownership of the lawsuit claims, as well as other assets, by the probate estate or a purported irrevocable trust drafted by Decedent's son Harold after Decedent suffered two massive strokes, in an attempt to disinherit his siblings;
- Third party witnesses have required Consumer Notices be given to the estate representative prior to releasing medical records;

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Notes:

- Item 3(d) of the Petition states the reason that Petitioner requests bond not be required is that Petitioner originally estimated the estate at **$300,000.00**, but that it is speculative at this time as to the value of this estate. Court may require bond to be posted by the special administrator in an estimated sum pursuant to Probate Code § 8480, unless it is waived for the special administrator under Probate Code § 8543.
- *Petition* does not provide an estimated duration for the special administration, and proposed order and letters do not include an expiration date for the special administration. Proposed letters shall include the expiration date for the *Letters of Special Administration*, pursuant to Probate Code § 8542.
- Petitioner requests appointment as Special Administrator with no IAEA authority and without general powers. Pursuant to Probate Code §8545 notice shall be the same as under Probate Code §8003, which includes publication under Probate Code § 8120 et seq. However, Petitioner may be appointed special administrator without the necessity of publication if Petitioner is appointed **with** bond and **without** IAEA authority and general powers.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 16B – Moore

16B First Additional Page, Kenneth Harold Moore (Estate) Case No.15CEPR00081

Petitioner requests:

- The Court grant to Bruce D. Bickel the power of a special administrator under Probate Code § 8544 to do all of the following without further Court order:
    - Take possession of all of the real and personal property of the estate of the Decedent and preserve it from damage, waste and injury;
    - Collect all claims, rents and other income belonging to the estate;
    - Commence and maintain or defend suits and other legal proceedings; and
    - Sell perishable property.

<u>Note</u>: If *Petition* is granted, Court will set Status Hearings as follows:

- **Thursday, July 16, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing proof of bond, if Court requires bond;**

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

17 Joseph R. Martinez (CONS/PE) **Case No. 15CEPR00106**

Atty Krause, Stefanie J. (for Joseph R. Martinez, Jr. – Son – Petitioner)
Atty Boyett, Deborah (Court appointed for Proposed Conservatee)
Atty Kruthers, Heather (for Public Guardian)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

			See petition for details.
Cont. from 31215, 041615, 051415			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
✓	Pers.Serv.	W	
✓	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
✓	Video Receipt		
✓	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
✓	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Court Investigator advised rights 2/25/15.

Voting rights affected – need minute order

Note: Petitioner's Second Amendment filed 4/13/15 requested appointment of the Fresno County Public Guardian instead of Petitioner; however, on 5/29/15, Attorney Krause filed a "Withdrawal of Second Amendment.

Examiner's Note: The document is signed by the attorney only and is not verified by the petitioner. It was served on interested parties, but does not describe what is being withdrawn or state specifically that Petitioner is seeking appointment himself. The Court may require clarification.

The following issue remains:

1. Need Notice of Hearing and proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition (*including amendments*) at least 15 days prior to the hearing on the proposed Conservatee's spouse, Carmen Lopez (Martinez), pursuant to Probate Code §1822(b)(1).
2. If Public Guardian is appointed, need new Order and Letters.

Note: If granted, the Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Tuesday, Nov. 10, 2015 for filing the Inventory and Appraisal
- Tuesday, Sept. 13, 2016 for filing the First Account

If the proper items are on file prior to the status dates pursuant to Local Rules, the status hearings may come off calendar.

Note: Petitioner Joseph R. Martinez was appointed Temporary Conservator of the Estate on 3-12-15. The Court may require an accounting for the period of his conservatorship pursuant to Probate Code §2256. If required, the Court will also set status hearing as appropriate.

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 6-10-15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 17 - Martinez

18 Moore Family Trust dated 4/10/01 Case No. 15CEPR00111

Attorney: **Jeffrey B. Pape (for Petitioner Harold Rick Moore, son)**
Attorney: **Steven P. Braccini; Brian Wilson, of Palo Alto (for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)**
Attorney: **Mark S. Poochigian (also for Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore, son)**

Petition to Determine Existence of Trust, for Appointment of Harold Rick Moore as Trustee, for Imposition of Constructive Trust, to Enforce No Contest Clause, for Damages and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

DOD: 7/14/2014		
Cont. from 031715, 051415		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	**Verified**	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	**Notice of Hrg**	
✓	**Aff.Mail**	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
✓	**Objections**	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	X
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

HAROLD RICK MOORE, son and remainder beneficiary, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states:

- On 4/10/2001, Decedent created the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001** (**2001 TRUST**), which formed the basis of Decedent's estate plan (*copy attached as Exhibit A);*
- The **2001 TRUST** devised the Decedent's trust property in equal shares to his 5 adult children: **KENNETH RANDOLPH MOORE, ROBIN LARAE WILSON, HAROLD RICK MOORE, SHEILA RENEE SHAW** and **RONALD DEAN MOORE**;
- At the same time, Decedent executed a pour over Will *(copy attached as Exhibit B);*
- **2001 TRUST** provides Kenneth H. Moore is trustee; successor trustees in order of preference are Randy Moore, Rick Moor (Petitioner), and Ron Moore; the Trust was administered by Decedent as trustee until January 7, 2011, when Decedent suffered a debilitating stroke requiring him to be hospitalized for several weeks; Decedent suffered another stroke requiring hospitalization on 2/24/2011;
- As a result of these strokes, Decedent was rendered bedridden, not lucid, and unable to speak coherently other than a few word and unable to express himself correctly; as such Decedent no longer had capacity to act as Trustee;

~Please see additional page~

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

Page 16 is the related *Estate of Kenneth Harold Moore*, 15CEPR00081.

Continued from 5/14/2015 to meet up with the Estate matter.

1. Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 which provides a proposed order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 18 – Moore

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

Petitioner states, continued:

- While Decedent was in hospital during the first part of 2011, the first successor trustee, Respondent Kenneth Randolph Moore (Randy) asked his sister in law, **PAULA MOORE**, to provide him with the original estate planning documents, which she procured and delivered to Respondent;
- On 4/7/2011, Respondent presented Decedent with a new trust, the **KENNETH HAROLD MOORE IRREVOCABLE TRUST dated 4/7/2011** (**2011 TRUST**), which devised all of the trust property to Respondent;
- Petitioner has filed a petition in Santa Clara County Superior Court, where the **2011 TRUST** is administered, seeking to have the **2011 TRUST** invalidated on the following founds: Decedent lacked capacity to execute the trust; trust was procured by undue influence of Respondent; trust was executed by mistake; and trust was procured by fraud of Respondent; petition is currently pending;
- In verified pleadings in the Santa Clara proceeding, Respondent seeks to establish by Heggstad Petition that properties owned by Decedent individually and/or in the **2001 TRUST** are assets of the **2011 TRUST**;
- Respondent has made statements under oath *[please refer to page 4, lines 3 – 21]* that Respondent believes that the Settlor revoked the 2011 Trust as it was not in Settlors possession during his life or after his death; Respondent has persisted in his denial that he has the original 2001 trust documents and pour over Will in his possession, despite the fact that these instruments were personally delivered to Respondent by Paula Moore in or around the first part of 2011;
- Schedule A to the **2001 TRUST** identified certain real and personal property transferred into the trust *[Please refer to page 5 – 6 for list of real and personal property Decedent transferred into the trust];* he later transferred additional assets into the trust; upon his demise, Decedent's pour-over Will transferred all of his personal assets into the trust;
- **2001 TRUST** holds interest in 2 cell phone tower partnerships, which Respondent attempted to retitle into his own name individually; Respondent executed 2 promissory notes to Decedent which were assigned to the **2001 TRUST**: (1) **$445,000.00** executed 2/1/1993, became due 2/1/2013; (2) **$404,250.00** executed 4/1/1997, became due 4/1/2002; *(copies of assignment, notes, and Deeds of Trust attached as Exhibits C, D and E);* Petitioner believes Respondent will contend that he paid these obligations, which is disputed by Petitioner; Decedent at no time released his Deeds of Trust in Respondent's properties which secured the obligations.

Please refer to pages 6 to 11 for Petitioner's complete arguments for the following:

- **Petition to Determine Existence of Trust:** Petitioner contends that the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001** is valid and existing and of which he is a beneficiary, while Respondent contends it has been revoked; Petitioner is unaware of any writing conforming with Probate Code 15401 for revoking the 2001 Trust; therefore, it remains valid and a declaration of the trust's validity is necessary to determine the rights and obligations of the parties.
- **Petition to Appoint Harold Rick Moore as Trustee:** Respondent by his conduct has declined to act as successor trustee of the 2001 Trust and has taken the position under oath that it is no longer a valid trust and has been revoked; the 2001 Trust is in immediate need of administration; Respondent has conflicts of interest as the make of promissory notes totaling $849,250.00 owned by the 2001 Trust secured by deeds of trust in real property owned by Respondent; Respondent has taken possession and control of certain property as to which the 2001 Trust is the owner; Petitioner is the second named successor trustee of the 2001 Trust, he is willing and able to act as successor, and due to Respondent's declination and conflicts of interest, Petitioner should be appointed as successor trustee of the 2001 Trust; or as temporary trustee per § 17206.

~Please see additional page~

Continued: Please refer to pages 6 to 11 for Petitioner's complete arguments for the following:

- **Imposition of Constructive Trust:** Petitioner believes Respondent has taken title and/or possession of property belonging to the 2001 Trust, or belonging to Decedent individually that were subject to Decedent's pour over Will, and consequently Respondent holds title to the assets as constructive trustee for the benefits of the persons ultimately entitled to distribution of such assets.
- **Petition to Enforce No Contest Clause:** Decedent's Will contains a no contest clause; the 2001 Trust is part of an identifiable class of instruments governed by the no contest clause in Decedent's Will; Respondent's allegations that the 2001 Trust has been revoked and that the 2011 Trust supersedes the 2001 Trust is a direct contest without probable cause; Petitioner contends that the gifts to Respondent under the 2001 Trust have been forfeited under the no contest clause except for the payment of **$1.00** as the gift to Respondent.
- **Damages / Attorney Fees and Costs:** Respondent has taken possession and/or exercised control over property owned by Decedent at the time of his death or which belongs to the 2001 Trust; Respondent's conduct has caused damages to the 2001 Trust; Respondent has in bad faith wrongfully taken, concealed or disposed of property belonging to the Decedent at the time of his death or belonging to the 2001 Trust , or has wrongfully taken such property by the use of undue influence over Decedent; pursuant to Probate Code § 859, Respondent is liable for twice the value of any property recovered by Decedent's estate or the 2001 Trust, plus an award of attorney fees and costs, which Petitioner has incurred and will continue to incur in the present action; if Petitioner prevails, Petitioner will have created a common fund consisting of a pool of assets which will pass to Decedent's beneficiaries as determined by the Court; Petitioner alleges that by proving that gifts to Respondent under the 2001 Trust have been forfeited under the no contest clause, Petitioner should be entitled as a matter of equity to an award of attorney fees and costs to be paid out of the common fund consisting of the pool of forfeited assets.

Petitioner requests the Court issue an order:

1. Declaring that the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001** is a valid and existing trust;
2. Appointing Petitioner Harold Rick Moore to serve as trustee of the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001**, without bond;
3. In the alternative, appointing Harold Rick Moore as temporary trustee of the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001,** without bond;
4. Declaring that Respondent holds as constructive trustee for the benefit of Petitioner all of Decedent's tangible personal property in his possession, including (a) all property located at Decedent's residence; (b) the proceeds of any of Decedent's personal effects sold or disposed of by Respondents and any assets traceable to those proceeds; and (c) any distributions of any trust assets previously made to Respondent and any assets traceable to any such distributions;
5. Declaring that Respondent has violated the no contest clause of the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001**and thereby has forfeited all interests in the residue of the trust save $1.00 and any other trust property to which Respondent otherwise would have been entitled;
6. For actual damages according to proof;
7. For double damages pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
8. For punitive damages; and
9. For reasonable attorney fees and costs to be paid to Petitioner out of the pool of assets consisting of the forfeited gifts, under the common fund doctrine according to proof.

~Please see additional page~

***Objection to Petition to Determine Existence of Trust, for Appointment of Harold Rick Moore as Trustee, for Imposition of Constructive Trust, to Enforce No Contest Clause, for Damages and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs* filed by Respondent KENNETH RANDOLPH MOORE on 5/11/2015 states:**

- Respondent objects to the petition, which was filed after an action was already filed and being litigated in Santa Clara County Superior Court involving the same parties and arising out of the same subject matter (the 2011 Trust) *[emphasis in original]*;
- Accordingly, in order to preserve judicial resources, to avoid duplicative litigation, and to avoid the possibility of inconsistent judgments, Respondent respectfully requests this Court issue an order abating or staying this action until judgments have been entered in the previously filed action in Santa Clara;
- *[Please refer to pages 2 – 6 for admissions and denials; Respondent states he is not acting in his capacity as an attorney in this matter and cannot admit or deny accuracy of legal conclusions in specified paragraphs, and on that basis denies those allegations];*
- Respondent asserts that the **MOORE FAMILY TRUST dated 4/10/2001** was superseded by the **KENNETH HAROLD MOORE IRREVOCABLE TRUST dated 4/7/2011**; and alleges that the *[specified]* assets should be transferred to the 2011 Trust because the assets are referenced in the Schedule A of the 2011 Trust, which supersedes the 2001 Trust;
- Respondent denies that he attempted to retitle the cell phone tower limited partnerships into his own name as Petitioner alleges; Respondent asserts that the promissory notes of **$445,000.00** and **$404,250.00** have been paid in full;
- Respondent notes that the Decedent revoked the 2001 Trust in writing signed by Decedent and delivered to the then-acting trustee;
- *[Please refer to pages 7 – 8 for affirmative defenses, including unclean hands; waiver; estoppel; laches; failure to state a cause of action; statutes of limitation; abatement or stay];*
- **To the extent the Court is inclined to do anything other than summarily deny this Petition or issue an order abating or staying this action, Respondent respectfully requests an evidentiary hearing to proffer documentary and testimonial evidence upon direct and cross-examination to refute the allegations.**

Supporting Memorandum* attached to Objection, argues** *[citations omitted, in sum]:**

- This action should be abated or stayed until judgments in the previously filed actions in Santa Clara County are entered;
- Santa Clara County is the proper venue for this matter anyway, where it is undisputed that Respondent is administering the trust;
- Stay/Abatement should be entered until judgment has been entered in Santa Clara; alternatively, a briefing schedule is provided by Respondent, proposing hearing dates for Court's convenience.

Respondent prays that:

1. The Court issue an order abating or staying this action until the actions filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court have reached a final resolution; or in the alternative
2. The Court deny the Petition in its entirety and with prejudice; and
3. Petitioner take nothing by way of the Petition.

19 Anna Mae Candler (Det. Succ.) **Case No. 15CEPR00116**

Atty Isaac L. Candler (Pro Per – Son – Petitioner)
Atty Carl A. Candler (Pro Per – Son – Petitioner)
Atty Devlyn Candler (Pro Per – Daughter – Petitioner)

Amended Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

DOD: 2/14/07		
Cont from 050515		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✔	**Verified**	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✔	**Order**	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

ISAAC L. CANDLER, CARL A. CANDLER, and DEVLYN CANDLER, adult children of the decedent, are Petitioners.

40 days since DOD

No other proceedings

I&A: $150,000.00 (real property located at 2345 South Lily, Fresno, 93706)

Decedent died intestate

Petitioners state all siblings are claiming 100% interest in the estate.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

<u>Minute Order 5/5/15</u>: No appearances. Minute Order and Examiner Notes were mailed to Petitioners on 5/5/15.

1. **Petition is blank at #9a(1) as to whether the decedent was divorced or never married, or whether the decedent's spouse was deceased. If the decedent's spouse is deceased, need date of death. Local Rule 7.1.1.D.**

2. **Need Attachment 11, which should provide the <u>legal description</u> of the real property plus statement of <u>decedent's interest</u> in the property. Did the decedent own a 100% interest in the property?**

3. **The box for personal property is checked at #11, but there is no personal property included in the I&A. Need clarification.**

<u>Note</u>: It appears that if the three petitioners are the decedent's only children, and the decedent was not survived by a spouse, then distribution would be in one-third undivided interests each to Isaac Candler, Carl Candler, and Devlyn Candler.

Reviewed by: skc	
Reviewed on: 6/10/15	
Updates:	
Recommendation:	
File 19 - Candler	

20 Yasmine Caprice Romero (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00212

Pro Per Petitioner **Evelyn Y. Romero, paternal grandmother**
Pro Per Petitioner **Beningno R. Romero, paternal grandfather**
Pro Per Objector **Keturah aka Suffurah Mujahideen, mother**
Attorney **Deborah Boyett (Court-appointed for minor Yasmine Romero)**

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 5/6/2015; extended to 6/16/2015
Cont. from 050615			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	**Notice of Hrg**		
✓	**Aff.Mail**	w/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
✓	**Pers.Serv.**		
✓	**Conf. Screen**		
✓	**Letters**		
✓	**Duties/Supp**		
✓	**Objections**	W/ POS	
	Video Receipt		
✓	**CI Report**		
✓	**Clearances**		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	**UCCJEA**		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

BENINGNO R. ROMERO and **EVELYN Y. ROMERO**, paternal grandparents, are Petitioners.

Father: **PEDRO ANDRES ROMERO;** *Consents and waives notice; personally served 3/9/2015.*

Mother: **KETURAH MUJAHIDEEN (aka SUFFURAH MUJAHIDEEN)** *- Personally served 4/8/15*

Maternal Grandfather: Muhammad Mujahideen; *served by mail 3/12/2015.*

Maternal Grandmother: Renee Sanders; *served by mail 3/12/2015.*

Minor Yasmine was personally served 3/9/15

~Please see Petition for details~

Court Investigator's *Report* was filed on 4/28/2015.

***Objection to Guardianship* filed by KETURAH MUJAHIDEEN, mother, on 4/6/2015 and 4/27/2015.**

***Report of Court-appointed Attorney for the Minor Regarding Petition for Appointment of Guardianship of the Person* filed 6/3/2015.**

Declarations of family members, teacher, minor, filed on 6/10/2015 and 6/11/2015.

***Proof of Service – Civil* filed 6/12/2015** shows copies of the Declarations filed by Petitioners and on their behalf were served to all interested parties.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 5/6/2015. *Minute Order [Judge Cardoza]* states: Also present is Deborah K. Boyett, who accepts appointment as counsel for the minor. Ms. Boyett is to file a written report with the Court and requests 30 days to do so.

Reviewed by: LEG
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates: 6/12/15
Recommendation:
File 20 - Romero

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

21 Shirley Haw (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00217

Attorney Kesselman, Kathi K (for Theodore M. Haw – Executor)

Probate Status Hearing RE: First or Final Account

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

OFF CALENDAR

Calendared in error. Correct status hearing for the filing of the First Account or Final Distribution is 06/16/2016.

Reviewed by: LV

Reviewed on: 06/10/2015

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 21 - Haw

22 Shill'elagh Ja'Kala Rhone (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00264

Petitioner **Hall, Pamela (pro per – non-relative)**

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 8 months			**NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED**
			PAMELA HALL, non-relative, is Petitioner.
			Father: **NOT LISTED** – *Declaration of Due Diligence filed 06/01/15 states that the father is unknown*
Cont. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Mother: **KA JUANA RHONE** – *Declaration of Due Diligence filed 03/12/15 and 06/01/15*
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		Paternal grandparents: NOT LISTED
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	x	Maternal grandfather: NOT LISTED Maternal grandmother: NOT LISTED (ANNIE MADISON)
	Aff.Mail	x	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		**Petitioner states** [see Petition for details].
	Pers.Serv.	x	
✓	**Conf. Screen**		**DSS Social Worker Irma Rodriguez filed a report on 04/29/15.**
✓	**Letters**		
✓	**Duties/Supp**		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✓	**CI Report**		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	**UCCJEA**		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

CONTINUED FROM 05/12/15

1. Need *Notice of Hearing.*
2. Need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of *Notice of Hearing* with a copy of the *Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person* or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:
   a. Father – personal service required; unless diligence is found
   b. Ka Juana Rhone (mother) – personal service required; unless diligence is found, Declaration of Due Diligence filed 06/01/15 states that Petitioner went looking for the mother on the streets, but she ran away when petitioner saw her
   c. Paternal grandparents – service by mail sufficient
   d. Maternal grandfather – service by mail sufficient
   e. Annie Madison (maternal grandmother) – service by mail sufficient

Reviewed by: JF

Reviewed on: 06/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 22 - Rhone

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

23A Anabella Calderon Ibarra (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00374

Attorney: Christian D. Jinkerson (for Petitioner Anabella Calderon Ibarra)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✓	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✓	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
✓	Conf. Screen	
✓	Letters	
✓	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
✓	CI Report	
	9202	
✓	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
✓	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

THERE IS NO TEMPORARY. Temporary was withdrawn.

ANABELLA CALDERON IBARRA, minor, is petitioner.

Please see petition for details.

Court Investigator Report filed on 6/9/15.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the petition or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence on:
   a. Unknown paternal grandparents
   b. Unknown maternal grandparents
   c. Maria Calderon Ibarra (sister)
   d. Maria del Carmen Calderon Ibarra (sister)
   e. Leonel Calderon Ibarra (brother)
   f. Imelda Calderon Ibarra (sister)
   g. Raul Calderon Ibarra (brother)
   h. Miguel Calderon Ibarra (brother)
   i. Jesus Calderon Ibarra (brother)
   j. Lucia Calderon Ibarra (sister)

Reviewed by: KT

Reviewed on: 6/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 23A - Ibarra

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

23B Anabella Calderon Ibarra (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00374

Attorney: Christian D. Jinkerson (for Petitioner Anabella Calderon Ibarra)

Motion for Specific Findings Permitting Minor's Application to the Immigration Court for "Special Immigrant Juvenile Status"

			ANABELLA CALDERON IBARRA, minor, is petitioner. **Please see petition for details.**
Cont. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	X	
	Aff.Mail	X	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need Notice of Hearing.
2. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing on:
   a. Antonio Calderon Mendoza (father)
   b. Maria Socorro Del Carmen Ibarra Cuevas (mother)

Reviewed by: KT

Reviewed on: 6/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 23B - Ibarra

23B

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

24 Janie Rivas (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00403

Petitioner: Juanita Julia Phillips (pro per)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian

			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 6/16/15
			JUANITA RIVAS, paternal aunt, is petitioner.
Cont. from			Please see petition for details.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		**Court Investigator Report filed on 6/8/15**
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	**Notice of Hrg**		
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
✓	**Pers.Serv.**	W/	
✓	**Conf. Screen**		
✓	**Letters**		
✓	**Duties/Supp**		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✓	**CI Report**		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	**UCCJEA**		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on:
   a. George Rivas (paternal grandfather)
   b. Maternal grandfather

Reviewed by: KT

Reviewed on: 6/10/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 24 - Rivas

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

25 In Re: The Angelo D. Cordisco Family Trust, uta dated 12-4-2008

Case No. 15CEPR00441

Attorney **Armo, Lance E. (for Petitioner Anthony J. Cordisco)**
Petition to Remove Trustee to Appoint Public Administrator as Trustee, and to Compel Trustee to Account (Prob. Code §17200)

DOD: Not stated

	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✔	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✔	Notice of Hrg	
✔	Aff.Mail	w
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
✔	Pers.Serv.	w
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
✔	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

ANTHONY J. CORDISCO, Son and beneficiary, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states the Revocable Trust of Angelo D. Cordisco was established 12/4/08. The trust corpus consists primarily of real property, bank accounts, and a corporate business concerning elevator repair and maintenance whereas the decedent was the sole shareholder. The successor trustee is **MARGARET R. O'DONNELL-CORDISCO**, widow of the decedent. Beneficiaries include Petitioner, Angelo S. A. Cordisco, and various charitable entities including CSU Fresno, Fresno State Bulldog Foundation, and 1000 Friends of Fresno.

Petitioner believes the successor trustee has conveyed real property of the trust including a home in Concord, CA, valued over $600,000 and has usurped the proceeds without informing the beneficiaries, and has converted cash from the trust accounts in amounts exceeding her rightful share and used for personal reasons without paying debts, expenses or other obligations of the trust, without account or notice to beneficiaries. Petitioner believes other accounts and personal items have been distributed to Ms. O'Donnell-Cordisco without any notice or account, after having demanded such information on several occasions from the successor trustee. Most recently and most alarming is the fact that her counsel has resigned following the resignation of her former counsel.

Petitioner seeks an order removing the successor trustee on the grounds that she refuses to respond to phone calls from counsel for petitioner and other beneficiaries after demand having been made, conveys real property and other assets of the trust without notice or account, and refuses to correspond or communicate with Petitioner, his counsel, and other beneficiaries. See authority.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

1. **According to Article 3, Sections 3.03 and 3.04, the named successor trustee is Margaret R. O'Donnell-Cordisco and Angelo S. A. Cordisco, jointly as co-trustees. The Court may require clarification as to why Petitioner indicates that Ms. O'Donnell-Cordisco is sole successor trustee.**

2. **The Court may require additional relevant information, including:**

   - When did the trust become irrevocable (Settlor's DOD)?

   - What is the account period and for what portion of the trust does Petitioner seek accounting? (The Trust provides for division into shares upon the settlor's death.)

   - Did Petitioner request accounting in writing pursuant to §17200(b)(7) and allow the required response time?

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 25 - Cordisco

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

Petitioner states for the foregoing reasons, Petitioner requests appointment of the Public Administrator's office as successor trustee of the trust.

As a direct result of the trustee's repeated improprieties and refusal to communicate with petitioner and other beneficiaries, Petitioner has incurred unnecessary legal fees and costs. Petitioner requests the successor trustee personally be sanctioned for her actions. Her actions are in bad faith and her stated goal is to avoid complying with the terms of the trust.

Attached is the trust restatement dated 9/13/13.

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

1. Removing **MARGARET R. O'DONNELL-CORDISCO** as trustee of the **ANGELO D. CORDICOS FAMILY TRUST**;
2. Ordering Ms. O'Donnell-Cordisco account for her actions as trustee;
3. Appointing the Public Administrator as successor trustee;
4. Ordering Ms. O'Donnell-Cordisdco bear her own costs and attorney fees for defense of this action;
5. Ordering Ms. O'Donnell-Cordisdco retitle the trust estate property in the name of the trust;
6. For all costs of suit incurred; and
7. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Note: The proposed order includes reimbursement to Petitioner for $1,500.00 in legal fees plus $435.00 in filing costs.

26 The Trust Created by Indenture Deed, 9/27/1962, by Max H Yancey, also Known as M H Yancey, a windower Case No. 15CEPR00443

Attorney: Jared R. Callister (for Petitioner Clovis Cemetery District)

Petition for Order Appointing Trustees, Instructions, Approving Charitable Trust Modification/Termination, and Determining Trust Beneficiaries upon Termination.

			CLOVIS CEMETERY DISTRICT is petitioner.
			Petitioner states:
			MAX H. YANCY executed an indenture deed in 1962 which: (1) established a trust for the benefit of the Mary Barton Chapel, an unincorporated religious organization; (2) named three individuals to serve as trustees over such trust; and (3) conveyed a .48 acre parcel of real property to the trust.
Cont. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	**Notice of Hrg**		All three of the initial trustees have died and the religious organization known as Mary Barton Chapel has ceased to exist. As a result, no one has tended to the property and the property has fallen into disrepair.
✓	**Aff.Mail**	W/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		Petitioner owns and operates Tollhouse Cemetery which is adjacent to this property and files this petition seeking to appoint successor trustees of the trust so that the vacancy in trusteeship can be filled. In addition, Petitioner also request that, under the doctrine of *cy pres,* Clovis Cemetery District be substituted as the replacement beneficiary of the Trust and that the New Trustees be authorized and approved to transfer the real property to Petitioner so that it might become a part of the existing cemetery grounds.
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		**Please see additional page**
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
<u>Note</u>: This matter will be heard in Dept. 72.
Reviewed by: KT
Reviewed on: 6/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 26 - Yancey

26 The Trust Created by Indenture Deed, 9/27/1962, by Max H Yancey, also Known as M H Yancey, a windower Case No. 15CEPR00443

Background:

On 9/27/62, Max H. Yancy executed an indenture deed, which has recorded on 11/1/62 in the official records of Fresno County. Generally, the Deed did three key things. First, it established a valid trust for the benefit of the Mary Barton Chapel. Second, the Deed named three individuals to serve as trustees over the trust. Third, the Deed conveyed real property consisting of approximately .48 acres to the initial Trustees. In 1964 a small meeting hall (called "Gibbs Hall") consisting of 1,024 square feet was constructed on the property (the property and Gibbs Hall will be referred to as the "Premises"). Petitioner believes that the Premises has no value based on its small size, dilapidated condition, and location. Although the Deed transferred a fee simple interest in the Premises to the Initial Trustees, the Deed contained a condition that the Premises be used for the exclusive purpose of conducting non-denominational church services and granted Mr. Yancy and his heirs a reversionary interest in the Premises if such condition subsequently was not satisfied.

By the late 1970s, the Mary Barton Chapel organization appears to have dissolved and dissociated. Subsequent to the dissolution of the Mary Barton Chapel organization, it appears that several of its members organized and incorporated a new religious organization called The Church of Tollhouse around August 1981. Over the years the congregants used the Premises for religious meetings; however, by 2007, it appears the Premises was no longer utilized. In particular, in 2012, the Church of Tollhouse constructed a building on a parcel just south of the Premises. As a result of this new construction, neither the Church of Tollhouse, nor any other religious group, has used the Premises for religious purposes since approximately 2007, leaving the Premises effectively abandoned.

Since the Trust's formation and funding, all of the Trustees have died. Unfortunately, the Deed did not name any successor trustees to serve over the Trust after the Initial Trustees. As a result of the death of the Initial Trustees, the Trust currently does not have an acting trustee.

As a result of the dissolution of the Mary Barton Chapel organization and the death of all the trustees, there is no person or entity that is maintaining the Premises. The Premises has been abandoned and likely constitutes a hazard. As a result, the specific charitable purposes of the Trust cannot be carried out. While the Trust/Deed is silent as to alternative beneficiaries, because Mr. Yancy's intentions were charitable, Petitioner believes that the doctrine of *cy pres* should be invoked so as to allow this court to reform the Trust and allow a transfer of the Premises to Clovis Cemetery District. Petitioner believes that the only viable use of the Premises is as part of the Clovis Cemetery District. Thus, Petitioner further requests that, if appointed, the newly designated trustee of the Trust be authorized to transfer the Premises to the Clovis Cemetery District.

Petitioner requests that Stanley E. King, James Linenbach and Charles Rigsbee, be appointed to serve as the Successor Trustees of the Trust. The Successor Trustees are currently members of the Board of Trustees for the Clovis Cemetery District.

Please see additional page

26 The Trust Created by Indenture Deed, 9/27/1962, by Max H Yancey, also Known as M H Yancey, a windower Case No. 15CEPR00443

The Trust/Deed does not require the trustee or successor trustee to secure a bond to serve as trustee. In addition, the Court should excuse the requirement of a bond that may be required under the Probate Code because there are compelling circumstances that justify the excusal of the bond requirement, including but not limited to: 1) the small size of the Trust estate; 2) the added costs and fees involved in securing a bond; 3) the fact that the sole Trust asset is requested to ultimately be distributed to the Clovis Cemetery District, which is a governmental agency; and 4) the fact that the administration of the trust will likely only last several weeks until the Premises is transferred.

Assuming that this court appoints the Successor Trustees over the Trust, as has been requested, the Petition further request that the Successor Trustees ultimately be authorized to transfer the Premises to the Clovis Cemetery District. In order for this to occur, the Trust must be modified so as to appoint the Clovis Cemetery District as the replacement beneficiary of the Trust and the Court must approve the termination and distribution of the Trust assets.

As mentioned above, the Deed contained a condition subsequent that provided that the Premises be used for the exclusive purpose of conducting non-denominational church services and granted Mr. Yancey and his heirs a reversionary interest in the Premises if such condition subsequent was not satisfied.

Because the Premises ceased to be used for any church services or purpose in 2007, it would appear that under the Deed's reversionary interest language, the Premises would revert back to Mr. Yancy or his heirs. However, under California law, this reversionary interest actually expired on 11/1/92. This is because a power of termination (or reversionary interest) created by a deed will expire at the later of: 1) 30 years after the date the deed is recorded; 2) 30 years after the date of a notice of intent to preserve the power is recorded; or 3) 30 years after the date of an instrument or notice is recorded which reserves the power to terminate or contains a notice of intent to preserve said power. In this case, the only instrument recorded was the Deed. Thus, the power of termination expired on 11/1/92. In other words, Mr. Yancey and his heirs no longer have a reversionary interest in the Premises.

However, even assuming that the condition subsequent is still in effect and the power of termination is still exercisable, this Petition should still be granted because several of Mr. Yancey's heirs have consented to this Petition and approve of the transfer of the Premises to the Clovis Cemetery District.

Wherefore, Petitioner prays for an order of this Court:

1. Finding that Notice was properly given;

2. Approving the appointment of Stanley E. King, James Linenbach and Charles Rigsbee, as Trustees of the Trust;

3. Approving the Reformation of the Trust so as to designate Clovis Cemetery District as the Trust's sole beneficiary;

4. Approving the transfer of the Premises to the Clovis Cemetery District and the termination of the Trust.

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

27 In Re: The Ostergaard Family Trust Case No. 15CEPR00449

Attorney: Michael M. Buettner

Petition Instructing the Trustee

				NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
				<u>Continued to 7/27/15</u> at the request of the attorney.
Cont. from				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
	Notice of Hrg			
	Aff.Mail			
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order			
	Aff. Posting			**Reviewed by:** KT
	Status Rpt			**Reviewed on:** 6/10/15
	UCCJEA			**Updates:**
	Citation			**Recommendation:**
	FTB Notice			**File 27 - Ostergarrd**

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

28 **David Rodriguez (Estate)** **Case No. 15CEPR00465**

Attorney **Janian, Paulette (for Jeff S. Shepard – Nominee – Petitioner)**

Petition for Letters of Administration

DOD: 12/7/13		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
✔	**Verified**	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
✔	**Notice of Hrg**	
✔	**Aff.Mail**	w
✔	**Aff.Pub.**	x
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	x
✔	**Duties/Supp**	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	x
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

JEFF S. SHEPARD, Nominee, is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with Full IAEA with bond of $300,000.00.

Petitioner is nominated by Mark Rodriguez, son.

Full IAEA – ok

Decedent died intestate

Residence: Fresno
Publication: Business Journal

Estimated value of estate:
Personal property: $300,000.00

Probate Referee: Rick Smith

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. **The publication erroneously indicates that the hearing date for this petition is 6/1/15. Republication may be required. (Note: The original petition indicates this hearing date 6/16/15. It does not appear that the date 6/1/15 was ever contemplated by this Court.)**
2. **Need Order.**
3. **Need Letters.**

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 6/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 28 - Rodriguez

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

29 **Gregory A. Rustigian (Estate)** **Case No. 15CEPR00501**

Attorney **Esraelian, Robyn L. (for Gale Rustigian – Mother – Petitioner)**

Petition for Letters of Administration

DOD: 11/7/14			**GALE RUSTIGIAN**, Mother, is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with Full IAEA without bond. Daughter and sole heir Makayla Rustigian declines to act and waives bond. Dennis Rustigian, Father, also nominates. Full IAEA – ok Decedent died intestate Residence: Fresno Publication: Business Journal Estimated value of estate: Real property: $260,000.00 Probate Referee: Rick Smith
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	**Verified**		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	**Notice of Hrg**		
✓	**Aff.Mail**	w	
✓	**Aff.Pub.**		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
✓	**Letters**		
✓	**Duties/Supp**		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	**Order**		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

<u>Note</u>: This matter will be heard in Dept. 72.

1. **Need waiver of bond on mandatory Judicial Council Form DE-142 from Makayla Rustigian.**

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 6/11/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 29 - Rustigian

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

30 Fred Otto Loeffler (CONS/PE) Case No. 13CEPR00655

Attorney **Bagdasarian, Gary G. (for Linda Plitt – daughter)**
Attorney **Barkinskaya, Jennie (for Conservatee)**
Attorney **Jenkins, Shani D (for Mick Loeffler – son)**
Attorney **Downing, Marcella and McLaughlin, William T. (for Diane Huerta – daughter/Petitioner)**
Attorney **Johnson, Summer A (for Bruce Bickel – temporary conservator of the estate/trustee)**

Probate Status Hearing **RE: Temporary Orders/Letters**

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

On 07/26/13, **DIANE HUERTA,** daughter, filed a Petition to appoint Diane Huerta and Linda Plitt as temporary and permanent co-conservators of the Person and Estate.

Temporary Conservatorship was granted on 07/29/13 and Temporary Letters were issued on 07/30/13. At a hearing on 08/19/13, the temporary Conservatorship was denied and Temporary Letters were not extended. On 09/25/13, Judge Black appointed Bruce Bickel as Temporary Conservator of the Estates of Fred and Kathleen Loeffler.

Since 09/25/13, the parties have engaged in numerous settlement talks, mediation, and several hearings have been heard before this court in this matter and the Temporary Letters of Conservatorship have been extended numerous times. **The Current Letters of Temporary Conservatorship expire on 06/16/15.**

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Reviewed by: JF
Reviewed on: 06/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 30 - Loeffler

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015

31 Kathleen Doris Loeffler (CONS/PE) Case No. 13CEPR00656

Attorney **Bagdasarian, Gary G. (for Linda Plitt – daughter)**
Attorney **Barkinskaya, Jennie (for Conservatee)**
Attorney **Jenkins, Shani D (for Mick Loeffler – son)**
Attorney **Downing, Marcella and McLaughlin, William T. (for Diane Huerta – daughter/Petitioner)**
Attorney **Johnson, Summer A (for Bruce Bickel – temporary conservator of the estate/trustee)**

Probate Status Hearing **RE: Temporary Orders/Letters**

Cont. from		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
	Verified	
	Inventory	
	PTC	
	Not.Cred.	
	Notice of Hrg	
	Aff.Mail	
	Aff.Pub.	
	Sp.Ntc.	
	Pers.Serv.	
	Conf. Screen	
	Letters	
	Duties/Supp	
	Objections	
	Video Receipt	
	CI Report	
	9202	
	Order	
	Aff. Posting	
	Status Rpt	
	UCCJEA	
	Citation	
	FTB Notice	

On 07/26/13, **DIANE HUERTA**, daughter, filed a Petition to appoint Diane Huerta and Linda Plitt as temporary and permanent co-conservators of the Person and Estate.

Temporary Conservatorship was granted on 07/29/13 and Temporary Letters were issued on 07/30/13. At a hearing on 08/19/13, the temporary Conservatorship was denied and Temporary Letters were not extended. On 09/25/13, Judge Black appointed Bruce Bickel as Temporary Conservator of the Estates of Fred and Kathleen Loeffler.

Since 09/25/13, the parties have engaged in numerous settlement talks, mediation, and several hearings have been heard before this court in this matter and the Temporary Letters of Conservatorship have been extended numerous times. **The Current Letters of Temporary Conservatorship expire on 06/16/15.**

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Reviewed by: JF
Reviewed on: 06/11/15
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 31 - Loeffler

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Tuesday, June 16, 2015