



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Attorney Sydney A. Smith; Alice M. Dostálová-Busick (for Anthony Alcazar)

Petition to Approve Waiver Final Account and Report of Guardian and for Termination of Guardianship and Distribution of Assets

		ANTHONY ALCAZAR , as Administrator of the Estate of MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON , mother and Guardian appointed on 12/5/2005, is Petitioner.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Note: Related Case #14CEPR00129, for the Estate of the deceased Guardian, Maria Alcazar Anderson (DOD 2/7/2014), is set for hearing of the <i>Petition for Approval of Settlement</i> (with U.S. BANK TRUST) regarding the subject real property on 3/6/2016.</p> <p>1. Need revised proposed order; order submitted previously contains a contradictory caption that includes allowance of fees to Guardian and Attorneys' Fees; includes a finding that all acts and transactions of the Petitioner as reported in the Petition are confirmed, ratified and approved; and contains a finding for such other and further orders as the Court may deem proper, which is inappropriate in a proposed order pending Court's approval.</p> <p>Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 2/9/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 1- Anderson</p>
		Accounting is waived.	
Cont. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified	I & A — \$285,000.00 (incorrect) POH — \$100,000.00 (no cash; real property)	
✓	Inventory		
✓	PTC	Guardian — Not requested	
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg	Attorney — Not requested	
✓	Aff.Mail	Petitioner states:	
	Aff.Pub.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The instant Guardianship Estate was created as a result of an Order for distribution in Case 04CEPR00596 in the <i>Estate of ADELL ANDERSON, SR.</i>, the father of the ward, ADELL ANDERSON, JR., to distribute a 50% interest in real property on Wapoma in Fresno to MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON as Guardian of the Estate of the ward (copy of distribution order attached as Exhibit A); An Inventory and Appraisal was filed by MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON on 11/6/2007 which incorrectly listed the Guardianship's interest in the subject real property on Wapoma in Fresno as a 100% interest; however, the Order distributed a 50% interest in the Wapoma property to the Guardianship Estate and the remaining 50% interest to MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON individually; Petitioner is unaware of any subsequent instrument transferring the interest of MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON in the Wapoma property to the Guardianship, nor has his investigation discovered any such instrument, recorded or otherwise; <p align="center">~Please see additional page~</p>	
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order	X	
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

1 Additional Page, Adell Anderson Jr. (GUARD/E) Case No. 05CEPR01040

Petitioner states, continued:

- Petitioner is informed and believes that the Guardianship holds a **50%** interest in the Wapoma property and the remaining **50%** was held by **MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON** individually during her lifetime;
- At all times during the period of the guardianship, the Wapoma property was the residence of **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.**; **MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON** died intestate on 2/7/2014 and letters of administration were issued to Petitioner in Case # 14CEPR00129; following the death of the ward's mother, he began living with his grandmother, **RAMONA ALCAZAR**, and was in her care;
- On 11/18/2014 Petitioner filed an Inventory and Appraisal of the Estate of **MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON** valuing its **50%** interest in the Wapoma property at **\$100,000.00** (*copy of Inventory and Appraisal of that estate attached as Exhibit B*);
- Therefore, Petitioner is informed and believes that the Guardianship Estate's interest in the Wapoma property is also valued at **\$100,000.00**;
- Petitioner is informed and believes that no accountings have been required by the Court, and that at all times the Guardianship consisted of property, exclusive of the residence of the ward, of a total net value of less than **\$15,000.00** and no income was produced by the property of the estate;
- **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.**, turned 18 years of age on 5/26/2015, thus mandating termination of the Guardianship under Probate Code § 1600(a); **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.** has also executed that certain *Waiver of Account and Release of Guardian of the Estate* filed concurrently [*with the Petition*]; accordingly, Petitioner has not prepared a detailed accounting; Petitioner is informed and believes that the sole asset of the Guardianship is a **50%** interest in the Wapoma property.

Petitioner requests an Order:

1. Approving the *Waiver of Account and Release of Guardian* executed by **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.**;
2. Approving the report of the Guardian as filed;
3. Terminating the Guardianship; and
4. Distributing to **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.** the Guardianship's 50% interest in the Wapoma real property.

Note Re Accounting of Guardianship Estate: Court records show that there has never been an account filed for the instant Guardianship Estate since its establishment on 12/5/2005, contrary to the requirements of Probate Code § 2620 et seq. The *Waiver of Account and Release of Guardian of the Estate of Adell Anderson, Jr.*, filed on 12/21/2015 appears to state that **ANTHONY ALCAZAR**, as Administrator of the Estate of **MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON**, is released by the ward, **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.**, from all liability for handling of the Guardianship Estate. A successor guardian was never appointed under Probate Code § 2670 for **ADELL ANDERSON, JR.**, to serve from the time of the death of the Guardian of the Estate on 2/7/2014 to the time the ward reached majority on 5/26/2015. A guardian of an estate is not entitled to discharge as guardian until the passage of one year after the ward has attained majority pursuant to Probate Code § 2627(b), thus it appears that the *Waiver of Account and Release of Guardian of the Estate of Adell Anderson, Jr.*, filed on 12/21/2015 does not release **ANTHONY ALCAZAR**, the Administrator of the Estate of **MARIA ALCAZAR ANDERSON**, since he is not the successor guardian, and since a discharge is not effective until granted by the Court. As to the Court's settlement of the Petitioner's waiver of final account, Probate Code § 2627(a) provides that after a ward has reached majority, the ward may settle accounts with the guardian and give the guardian a release which is valid if obtained fairly and without undue influence; and Probate Code § 2632 (b) provides that the Court shall settle as in other cases the account of the legal representative of a deceased guardian which the legal representative has exercised reasonable diligence to prepare, with verification made on information and belief.

Probate Status Hearing Re: Status on Next/Fourth Accounting

	PUBLIC GUARDIAN , was appointed Conservator of the Person and Estate on 11/21/06.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>CONTINUED FROM 11/13/15</u> 1. Need Fourth Account and/or current verified status report.
	Public Guardian's Third Account was approved on 09/12/13. Minute order from 09/12/13 set this status hearing regarding filing of the Fourth Account.	
	Status Report Re Filing of the Fourth Account filed 10/20/15 states: The Public Guardian's office is currently short staffed and therefore has been unable to get all the accounts done before the scheduled status hearings. It is respectfully requested that the next status hearing for this matter be set no sooner than 90 days from the date of this hearing and that the matter be continued without requiring an appearance by county counsel.	
Cont. from 111315		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 02/09/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 2 - Dunlop

	<p>DAVID A. ROBERTS, Attorney for Former Conservator David J. St. Louis, and subsequently Former Successor Conservator Joan St. Louis, is Petitioner.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
<p>Cont. from 050515, 060215, 061215, 072015, 082415, 092815, 110315, 121515</p>	<p>Petitioner has previously requested and been paid a total of \$29,414.00 for work from October 2011 through December 2013.</p>	<p>Minute Order 12/15/15: Continued for consideration by Judge Kazanjian.</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.</p>	<p>Petitioner requests compensation in the total amount of \$52,217.10 consisting of fees of \$50,120.00 and costs of \$2,097.10 for the period 1/1/14 through 2/20/15.</p>	<p>Note: Deborah Boyett, Court appointed attorney for Conservatee, filed Notice of Non-Opposition on 5/29/15. Ms. Boyett has also filed a Petition for Order Fixing and Allowing Court-Appointed Attorneys' Fees that is set for 3/16/16.</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified</p>	<p>Services include numerous attorney-client related personal and telephonic conferences with Mrs. St. Louis, Attorneys Deborah Boyett, Paul Chambers, Stefanie Krause, and Leigh Burnside, preparing and assisting in the accountings submitted, attendance at the hearing on that accounting and the objections thereto, evaluating and dealing with issues surrounding a failure to pay estimated taxes for the Conservatee, time spent on a conservatorship challenge, analyzing and evaluating the accounting prepared by Wells Fargo regarding the Bingham Administrative Trust, which appeared to subsume the Survivor's Trust, the Marital Exempt and Non-Exempt Trusts and the Exemption Trust.</p>	<p>1. Petitioner requests compensation from the conservatorship estate for services in connection with the conservatee's trust. The Court may require clarification or payment from the trust rather than the conservatorship estate.</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Inventory</p>	<p>Petitioner's itemization includes 156.90 attorney hours @ \$300/hr and 27.30 paralegal hours @ \$100-120/hr.</p>	<p>Reviewed by: skc</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> PTC</p>	<p>Costs include filing fees, certified letters, telephonic appearances, and photocopies.</p>	<p>Reviewed on: 2/9/16</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.</p>	<p>Petitioner states all cash assets of the Conservatee, \$110,708.97, held by Mrs. St. Louis as conservator were transferred to Randy Grace as conservator on 1/2/15.</p>	<p>Updates:</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg</p>	<p>Objections were filed by Randy Grace, current Conservator of the Person and Estate on 6/2/15.</p>	<p>Recommendation:</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail</p>	<p>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</p>	<p>File 3A - Bingham</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Letters</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp</p>		
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Objections</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> CI Report</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 9202</p>		
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Citation</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice</p>		

Page 2

Objections state Ms. St. Louis' tenure as conservator was fraught with issues. Rather than rehashing the issues herein, Objector directs the Court's attention to the Petition for Removal of Conservator filed 10/10/14, which sets forth the factual and legal issues that arose. Rather than voluntarily resigning, Ms. St. Louis refused to do so and required the petition to be brought. It was only after it became clear that the Court would remove her that she sought leave to resign. Despite numerous efforts over the past year, Ms. St. Louis and her counsel have yet to have any accounting approved. Notwithstanding this failure, Mr. Roberts seeks award of \$50,120 plus costs of \$2,097.10 in this petition. Objector refers to Probate Code §2430(a)(4) and Cal. Rule of Court 7.7565 as the legal standard for attorney fees in conservatorship, and states the request is premature and should be continued until the final account is filed because it is impossible to determine whether the request is reasonable. Mr. Roberts and his staff have already spent significant time attempting to have an accounting approved, which efforts have yielded no benefit to the estate. While Objector recognizes the accounting may be complex, he contends the time spent on the accountings is already excessive and the final account is yet to be completed.

Objector states the request seeks fees for opposing the removal action, and states the decision to oppose the removal petition could not have been motivated by a good-faith belief that it was in the conservatee's best interest to defeat the petition. Ms. St. Louis was unable to literally keep the lights on at the conservatee's home. She failed to pay bills, provide spending money, cancelled appointments, billed excessively for her travel time, sought excessive compensation, etc. Authority cited. She breached numerous fiduciary duties and neglected the Conservatee. The declarations in support of the petition for removal establish that any assertion that Ms. St. Louis believed her opposition was in the best interest of the Conservatee was not in fact objectively reasonable.

Objector states no sufficient detail is given to justify fees for dealing with taxes. Ms. St. Louis failed to pay taxes while acting as conservator, resulting in penalties. The billing memo has entries that deal with addressing Ms. St. Louis' negligence in this regard. Objector contends Ms. St. Louis should be personally responsible for these fees, as they were of no value to the conservatorship estate and were only incurred because of her negligence.

Objector requests:

- 1. The Court continue this matter until the date of the final accounting;**
- 2. No fees are allowed for services rendered in connection with the accountings which have yet to be approved and therefore the request is reduced by \$9,054;**
- 3. No fees are allowed for services rendered in connection with the petition for removal and therefore the request is reduced by \$12,120;**
- 4. No fees are allowed for services rendered in connection with the failure to pay taxes by Ms. St. Louis and therefore the request is reduced by \$2,400; and**
- 5. For all other order the court deems just and proper.**

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3

Response to Objections filed 6/12/15 states Petitioner is not sure whether Objector is asking the court to take judicial notice of certain undisclosed filed documents with reference to Page 2, Paragraph 3. If that is the import of the remaining portion of Paragraph 3, it is not in the proper form. The court may of course take notice of the fact that those documents are within the court file, but may not take judicial notice of any of the contents which may be objectionable on numerous grounds, most particularly lack of foundation and hearsay.

Petitioner various statements in the Objections lack foundation and are based upon hearsay, and state a legal conclusion. The petition for fees is not premature. Probate Code §2640(a)(3).

Response to objection that request seeks fees for opposing the removal action: Objector cites *Conservatorship of Lefkowitz* as setting forth the standards by which fees may be granted to a party who unsuccessfully opposes a petition for removal. See Response for discussion. Petitioner submits that a reasonable conservator could at the very least believe that the allegations made against the conservator were thin at best and as long as there was a subjective reason for doing so, the petition to remove Joan St. Louis as trustee (sic) could be challenged.

Petitioner does not understand the objection re insufficient detail as objector points to no detail which is being proffered with regard to the time kept by the attorney for the petitioner.

Petitioner requests that the petition be granted as prayed.

Declaration of Joan St. Louis filed 6/12/15 describes the circumstances and her relationship with the Conservatee and states that in opposing Mr. Grace's petition, she was attempting to fulfill her promise to the Conservatee. She knows what her true feelings were and are relative to those promises: that she would never have to leave her home, that none of Byrum's family would be allowed to care for her, and that when she passed away her house be immediately locked up so that none of Byrum's family could scavenge from the home. The question then is why did she withdraw her opposition? She saw the declarations of all of the family members and knows that the court will most often prefer a family member as conservator. She saw the declaration of Paul Chambers, the report of the Court Investigator (although she thought it was overly solicitous of Mr. Grace and she met with Wanda in Mr. Grace's presence); but most particularly, she learned from her attorney in late November that Deborah Boyett, the court appointed guardian for Wanda, while not necessarily supporting the petition of Mr. Grace, could not support Petitioner in her efforts as conservator. After discussion with her attorney, she decided to withdraw her objection. She cried at the end of the meeting.

Fourth Amended Account and Report of Conservator

		<p>RANDY GRACE, Second Successor Conservator, is Petitioner. This petition amends prior petitions filed by JOAN ST. LOUIS, First Successor Conservator pursuant to Minute Order 8/24/15.</p> <p>Account period: 4/25/12 – 12/31/14 Accounting: \$560,222.09 Beginning POH: \$353,414.59 Ending POH: \$359,732.31 (\$113,505.66 cash plus investment account, household items, vehicle, jewelry)</p> <p>Corrected Inventory and Appraisals were filed in support of the Fourth Amended Petition. See additional pages for details.</p> <p>Petitioner states that while he is not requesting that his attorneys be awarded fees at this time, in order to provide the Court with information concerning the amount of time expended to prepare this account and report and the value thereof, Petitioner alleges that the cost to prepare this account (excluding time to appear) is \$3,340.50, as supported by the Declaration of Marcus Magness. Itemization reflects 4.7 attorney hours @ \$365/hr and 12.50 paralegal hours @ \$130/hr.</p> <p>Petitioner requests that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notice of hearing of this account, report, and petition be given as required by law; 2. The Court make an order approving, allowing, and settling the attached account and report of the conservator as filed; and 3. The Court make such other relief as it considers proper. 	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 12/15/15: Continued for consideration by Judge Kazanjian.</p> <p>Minute Order 8/24/15 (Third Amended Account), in relevant part: The Court will allow Mr. Magness to complete the accounting so that it shows a balanced account through the date Ms. St. Louis stopped acting as Conservator. The Petition for Order Awarding Attorney’s Fees (Page A) will trail the new accounting.</p> <p>Minute Order 11/3/15: Declaration and bank statements accepted for filing in open court; continued for review. (Update: Examiner note cleared.)</p> <p>Note: The order as submitted indicated “Fourth Account.” Examiner interlineated the order to reflect “Fourth Amended Account.”</p> <p>Note: On 11/2/15, Attorney David Roberts filed “Response to Objections to Third Amended First and Final Account filed by Conservator Randy Grace.” See additional pages.</p>	
Cont. from 110315, 121515				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail			W
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
✓	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
✓	2620(c)			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			
		<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 2/9/16</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 3B – Bingham</p>		

Page 2

Original I&A filed 2/28/13:

- Cash of \$324,061.57 (WF Acct xxx5631) (IRA)
- Household Furn \$2,000.00 (see I&A for specific items)
- 2008 Camry \$16,000.00
- Total \$342,061.00

Corrected I&A filed 10/9/15:

- Cash of \$106,814.59 (WF Acct xx5631) (IRA Cash)
- Household Furn \$2,000.00 (see I&A for specific items)
- 2008 Camry \$16,000.00
- 3,000 shares Boeing \$228,600.00 (IRA)
- Total \$353,414.59

Petition explains at Page 3, Paragraph (a) that the IRA inherited from Byrum Bingham actually contained \$106,814.59 cash and also held 3,000 shares Boeing, which are calculated to have been valued at \$228,600.00 (not all cash as originally indicated).

Original Supplemental I&A filed 4/15/14:

- \$5,000.00 received from Wells Fargo Wanda Bingham Survivor's Trust Account

Corrected Supplemental I&A filed 10/9/15:

- \$6,000.00 received from Wells Fargo Wanda Bingham Survivor's Trust Account

Petition explains at Page 4, Paragraph (b) that per the account statement covering 12/1/12-12/31/12, the deposit was actually \$6,000.00.

Additional Corrected Supplemental I&A filed 10/9/15:

- Life Insurance Proceeds \$18,235.92
- Life Insurance Proceeds \$18,426.26
- FCFCU Savings \$1,000.01
- FCFCU Checking \$3,500.00
- Total: \$41,162.19

Petition explains at Page 4, Paragraphs (c) and (d) that the life insurance proceeds were received but never inventoried, and the FCFCU savings/checking accounts are assumed to have existed at the commencement of the conservatorship but never inventoried.

Original Supplemental I&A filed 8/11/14:

- Diamond Earrings \$14,700.00

Second Additional Corrected Supplemental I&A filed 10/9/15:

- Diamond Earrings \$15,909.08

Petition explains at Page 4, Paragraph (e) that the carry value of the earrings reimbursed to Joan St. Louis would be the purchase price.

Therefore, the total I&A value is \$416,485.86.

Receipts during the account period of \$122,610.57 consisted of the following:

- Dividends from the various IRA account holdings
- Dividends from FCFCU Savings and Checking Accounts
- Social Security Income

Gains during the account period of \$21,125.66 consisted of gains from sales of various IRA account holdings. (Note: Losses totaled \$22,032.79.)

Disbursements during the account period of \$149,329.46 include IRA account fees of approx. \$200/month, medical and household expenses, various gifts and donations, numerous restaurant purchases

“Response to Objections to Third Amended First and Final Account filed by Conservator Randy Grace” was filed 11/2/15 by Attorney David Roberts, attorney for Joan St. Louis.

Examiner’s Note: *The **Third Amended First and Final Account** referenced above is no longer before the Court. The instant **Fourth Amended Account** filed by the Successor Conservator has superseded the Third Amended Account. As such, and inasmuch as this document does not object to the instant petition, but rather responds to objections to the prior version, this document is summarized only briefly as follows:*

Re visits: Mr. Roberts states Mrs. St. Louis objects to the Right at Home records as lacking foundation and being hearsay and requests that they not be considered. Mrs. St. Louis has signed her Third Amended First Account under penalty of perjury and stands by the number of times she visited the Conservatee.

Re mileage: Mr. Roberts states Mrs. St. Louis' mileage increased when she moved to a new residence.

Re plays: Attached is a letter re “attempt to take (sic) Wanda out socially if Wanda wishes.” Mrs. St. Louis agreed and now is questioned for her motives. If it is any consolation to the objector, Mrs. St. Louis paid for the tickets, but Mrs. Bingham insisted on paying for dinner.

Re attorney fees: Mrs. St. Louis requests the Court take various facts into consideration. See document for details.

Response states Petitioner Joan St. Louis requests:

1. Payment of conservator fees in the sum of \$16,205.00 (\$17,665.00 less the surcharge amount of \$1,450.00);
2. Reimbursement for mileage in the sum of \$3,447.10
3. Reimbursement of expenses paid on behalf of the Conservatee in the sum of \$8,191.00;
4. For reasonable attorneys fees; and
5. Further relief as may be awarded by the court.

Attorney Melinda Bell, of San Juan Capistrano (for Guardian Jana Keeley)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Proof of Funds in a Blocked Account

		<p>JANA KEELEY, mother, was appointed as Guardian of the Estate on 9/15/2015.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Need <i>Receipt and Acknowledgment of Order for the Deposit of Money Into Blocked Account</i> (Judicial Council form MC-356) for deposits of \$151,118.83.</p>
Cont. from 121515			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
Verified			
Inventory			
Blocked Recpt.	X		
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: LEG	
		Reviewed on: 2/9/16	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 4 – Katigbak	

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 01/13/2013	<p>GLORIA B. LEDOUX, sister, was appointed Administrator with limited IAEA authority without bond on 11/06/2013.</p> <p>Letters issued on 11/06/2013.</p> <p>Inventory and Appraisal filed 11/08/2013 shows an estate valued at \$180,000.00.</p> <p>Minute Order of 11/06/2013 set this matter for hearing for the filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution.</p> <p>Status Report filed 12/04/2015 states the real property located at 1515 Rose Ave in Selma, California, has been sold. The Report of Sale and Request for Order Confirming Sale was filed herein with the hearing set for January 19, 2016.</p> <p>It is requested that this status hearing be continued ninety (90) days to allow for the closing of the sale and filing of the Petition for Final Distribution.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Note: Order Confirming Sale of Real Property was filed 01/27/2016.</p> <p>Minute Order of 12/08/2015: Counsel requests 30 days.</p> <p>1. Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.</p>
Cont. from 010915, 041415, 060915, 120815		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
<p>Reviewed by: LV</p>		
<p>Reviewed on: 02/10/2016</p>		
<p>Updates:</p>		
<p>Recommendation:</p>		
<p>File 6 - Morales</p>		

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the First Account and/ or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 07/13/14	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR , was appointed Administrator with Will Annexed on 01/05/15. Letters of Administration were issued on 01/14/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need Account/Petition for Final Distribution and/or current verified status report.
Cont. from	Minute Order from 01/05/15 set this status hearing regarding filing of the Account/Petition for Distribution.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified	I & A, Final corrected, filed 02/18/15 - \$495,712.14	
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 02/09/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 7- Paregian

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of a Written Dismissal Request

Octavio Ruelas DOD: 6-7-06	<p>IRMA HICKS, a Co-Trustee of the Octavio and Mary Ruelas Family Trust, filed Petition for Instructions on 10/8/14.</p> <p>Co-Trustee JESSE RUELAS and Beneficiary ANGELA RUELAS objected.</p> <p>On 8/11/15, counsel indicated that the parties had reached an agreement. The Court set this status hearing re resolution.</p> <p>Minute Order 11/10/15 states: Counsel represent that all parties have signed the agreement and a request for dismissal of the petition is forthcoming. The matter will come off calendar on 1/12/16 if the dismissal is filed and granted.</p> <p>Declaration of Jennifer Walters provides the signed settlement agreement; however, no Request for Dismissal was filed as of the hearing on 1/12/16.</p> <p>Minute Order 1/12/16 states: Counsel previously misunderstood, but will file a written Request for Dismissal.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 1/12/16: Counsel previously misunderstood, but will file a written Request for Dismissal.</p> <p>1. Attorney Walters submitted a Request for Dismissal; however, Dismissal could not be entered as consent is required by both objectors because the objections sought relief.</p>
Mary Ruelas DOD: 6-11-14		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 2/9/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 8- Ruelas

Anna Hepner Living Trust

Krbechek, Randolph (for Jerry Prudek – Beneficiary – Petitioner)
 Teixeira, J. Stanley (for Glenn J. Hepner, George Hepner, Jr., and Jimmy Hepner)
 Verified Petition for Accounting and for Order Instructing Co-Trustees and Compelling
 Final Distribution From Trust [Probate Code §§ 16062, 17200(b)(4), (5), and (6)]

<p>Anna Hepner DOD: 4/24/08</p>	<p>JERRY PRUDEK, Beneficiary, is Petitioner.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
<p>Cont. from 060815, 072215, 091715, 121715</p>	<p>Petitioner states GLENN J. HEPNER, JIMMY A. HEPNER, GEORGE HEPNER, JR., and DOLLIE SIMPSON have served as successor trustees since the death of their mother. The trust holds various acreage in Fresno County. Other assets are unknown.</p>	<p>Minute Order 12/17/15: Counsel requests an additional 60 days for further discussions.</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.</p>	<p>Petitioner alleges that the co-trustees have reviewed a proposal for distribution as set forth on Exhibit B. No action has been taken to effect such distribution due to lack of agreement among the co-trustees.</p>	<p>Note: See Page B re status of mediation and agreement.</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified</p>		<p>1. Probate Code §17200(b)(7) provides that proceeding to compel account may be commenced if the trustee has failed to submit a requested account within 60 days after written request of the beneficiary and no account has been made within six months preceding the request.</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Inventory</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> PTC</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.</p>		
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg</p>	<p>The beneficiaries are Glenn J. Hepner, Jimmy A. Hepner, and George Hepner, Jr., each as to a 1/4 interest, and Dolly Simpson and Jerry Prudek each as to a 1/8 interest. Jerry Prudek is successor to Evelyn Prudek.</p>	<p>Petitioner states his proposed distribution was reviewed, no action taken, but does not state whether written request for account was made. If not, this petition may be premature.</p>
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail W</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.</p>	<p>Petitioner requests distribution in accordance with the First Amendments, which provides that "if my children are unable to agree on how the property is to managed or divided, then the property shall be sold and the proceeds divided in the proportions indicated above."</p>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Letters</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Objections</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt</p>	<p>Petitioner requests a full and complete accounting in accordance with Probate Code §16063 pursuant to Probate Code §16062, 16063, and 17200. Further administration of trust assets is not necessary, and the remaining assets should be liquidated and distributed.</p>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> CI Report</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 9202</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Order X</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting</p>		
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt</p>	<p>Petitioner prays for relief against the co-trustees as follows:</p>	<p>Reviewed by: skc</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA</p>	<p>1. An order compelling the successor trustees to account for any trust assets collected or received by them;</p>	<p>Reviewed on: 2/9/16</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Citation</p>	<p>2. An order settling the accounts and passing upon the acts of each of the co-trustees;</p>	<p>Updates:</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice</p>	<p>3. An order determining to whom property shall pass or be delivered upon termination of the trust;</p>	<p>Recommendation:</p>
<p></p>	<p>4. An order for termination of the trust;</p>	<p>File 9A - Hepner</p>
<p></p>	<p>5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, equitable, and proper.</p>	

		<p>JERRY PRUDEK, Beneficiary, filed Petition for Accounting and for Order Instructing the Co-Trustees and Compelling Final Distribution from Trust on 4/22/15.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 12/17/15: Counsel requests an additional 60 days for further discussions.</p>
<p>Cont. from 091715, 121715</p>			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	<p>On 7/22/15, Mr. Krbechek informed the court that the parties are participating in mediation. The Court set this status hearing re mediation and agreement.</p>	<p>1. Need <u>current</u> written status report re mediation and agreement per Local Rule 7.5.</p>
	Verified		
	Inventory	<p>Status Conference Statement and Request for Continuance filed 9/16/15 by Attorney Krbechek states the parties continue to engage in a meaningful exchange of information. One mediation session was conducted with Lee Jacobson. Since the mediation, additional information has been provided, which must be reviewed. They will need to schedule a further mediation session with Mr. Jacobson upon review of all the materials. A continuance of 60-90 days is therefore requested.</p>	<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 2/9/16</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 9B – Hepner</p>
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg		
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

Petition for Settlement of First and Final Account; Petition for Final Distribution and for Allowance of Compensation for Ordinary Services

DOD: 06/12/07	ABDOLWALI MOHAMED , Administrator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Account period: 06/12/07 – 11/29/15	
Cont. from	Accounting: \$200,000.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH: \$200,000.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Ending POH: \$200,000.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Administrator: waived	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PTC	Attorney: \$7,000.00 (to be paid outside of the estate)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.	Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession and assignments of interest filed herein, is to:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg n/a	Abdolwali Mohamed – 100% interest in real property located in Fresno County	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail n/a		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters 07/24/15		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 02/09/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
		File 10- Mohamed

Petitioner Lomeli-Marashlian, Erica (Pro Per – Maternal Aunt – Petitioner)

Petitioner Salazar, Christopher Manuel (Pro Per – Brother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. Code §1510)

		See petition for details.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Minute Order 1/26/16:</u> Matter is continued in order for Petitioners to obtain a copy of the minor's declaration filed on 1/21/16 from the file. The Court orders that the minor is not to be brought to Court.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> On 1/27/16, Petitioners submitted a Request for Dismissal; however, dismissal could not be entered since temporary guardianship is currently in place.</p>	
Cont. from 081115, 092215, 102715, 120815, 012616				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
	Aff.Mail			
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
✓	Pers.Serv.			W
✓	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
✓	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
✓	CI Report			
✓	Clearances			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
✓	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: skc		
		Reviewed on: 2/9/16		
		Updates:		
		Recommendation:		
		File 11 – Herrera		

Petitioner Carey, Erica (pro per – half-sister)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<u>TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/10/15</u>	<p>ERICA CAREY, half-sister, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Father: WILLIAM SKAGGS – <i>Personally served in Court on 11/10/15 by Deputy</i></p> <p>Mother: MELINDA TURNER – <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 08/13/15; now deceased</i></p> <p>Paternal grandfather: PHILLIP SKAGGS - <i>deceased</i></p> <p>Paternal grandmother: ELLAMAE SKAGGS – <i>Served by mail on 09/25/15 with Notice of Hearing only</i></p> <p>Maternal grandfather: JOHN TURNER - <i>deceased</i></p> <p>Maternal grandmother: BOBBI GODDARD – <i>Served by mail on 09/25/15 with Notice of Hearing only</i></p> <p>Siblings: TARYN HOPE (21) – <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 08/13/15, ANDREW SKAGGS, DARBY SKAGGS, CRAIG SKAGGS – Declarations of Due Diligence filed 09/28/15</i></p> <p>Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on 10/07/15.</p> <p>Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on 11/03/15.</p> <p>Declaration filed 10/08/15 by Petitioner Erica Carey.</p> <p>Declaration filed 10/20/15 by Petitioner Erica Carey.</p> <p>Confidential Supplemental Investigator’s Report to Court filed 01/07/16.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>CONTINUED FROM 01/12/16 Minute Order from 01/12/16 states:</u> The Court notes that William Skaggs did not file written objections. Mr. Skaggs requests additional time, and the Court orders that any objections be filed and properly served by 02/02/16 or the Court will move forward without the objections being considered. Mr. Skaggs requests that Ms. Carey submit to a hair-follicle drug test. Per agreement of both parties, the Court orders Erica Carey and William Skaggs to each report forthwith to Avertest and submit to a hair-follicle drug test, with each party to pay for the other’s testing. Parties are to bring their own test results to Court on 02/16/16. Failure to test and/or test results not being brought on 02/16/16 will be considered a positive result.</p> <p>As of 02/09/16, no objection has been filed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SEE PAGE 2</u></p>
Cont. from 101315, 111015, 011216				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	w/o		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice			
		<p>Reviewed by: JF</p> <p>Reviewed on: 02/09/16</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 12 – Turner-Hope</p>		

12 Hailey Turner-Hope, Caitlyn Turner-Hope (GUARD/P)

Page 2

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of *Notice of Hearing* with a copy of the *Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person* or *Consent & Waiver of Notice* or *Declaration of Due Diligence* for:
 - a. Ellamae Skaggs (paternal grandmother) – *service by mail ok; Proof of service filed 09/28/15 does not indicate that she was served a copy of the Petition along with the Notice of Hearing.*
 - b. Bobbi Goddard (maternal grandmother) – *service by mail ok; Proof of service filed 09/28/15 does not indicate that she was served a copy of the Petition along with the Notice of Hearing.*
 - c. Andrew Skaggs (half-brother) – *service by mail ok; unless diligence is found*
 - d. Darby Skaggs (half-brother) - *service by mail ok; unless diligence is found*
 - e. Craig Skaggs (half-brother) - *service by mail ok; unless diligence is found*

13 Kamila Hammid, Saheim Hammid (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR01047

Petitioner Brown, U. Anthony (Pro Per – Maternal Grandfather – Petitioner)
 Petitioner Brown, Rosemary (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner)
 Mother Hammid, Nanyamka Hanifahisoke

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

See petition for details.			<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Minute Order 1/5/16</u>: Matter is continued for proof of service as to Quinton Johnson (Father) and proof of service or due diligence as to the paternal grandfather.</p> <p>As of 2/9/16, the following issues remain noted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need personal service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quinton Johnson (Father) 2. Need service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 <u>or</u> consent and waiver of notice <u>or</u> declaration of due diligence on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Paternal Grandfather
Cont. from 010516			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	x	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	w	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Clearances		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: skc
			Reviewed on: 2/9/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 13- Hammid

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property

DOD: 9/6/15	BLANCA GUERRERO, Spouse, is Petitioner.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Continued from 1/5/16. The following issues are new:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This petition cannot go forward as prayed. Petitioner requests the Court determine that the decedent's assets pass to her alone; however, pursuant to Probate Code §6401(c)(3), the estate would pass 1/3 to Petitioner and 2/3 to the decedent's three children (2/9 each). Pursuant to Probate Code §§ 13151, 13152, all successors in interest must petition together. Petitioner separately filed Attachment #14 reflecting the names and addresses of the decedent's three children; however, their ages are not provided. Need ages per #14. <u>Note:</u> If minors, Petitioner may need to look into whether appointment as guardian of the estate or as guardian ad litem may be necessary in order to use this summary proceeding. Need Inventory and Appraisal pursuant to Probate Code §13152(b). <u>Note:</u> Petitioner filed an Inventory and Appraisal on 2/9/16 that is defective in that it does not contain an appraisal by the Probate Referee. Further, the amount indicated is \$160,000.00, which is over the limit for use of this summary proceeding. See Probate Code §13151. Need Notice of Hearing (Form DE-120) and proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §13153 on all persons named in Attachment 14. <u>Note:</u> Petitioner served a "Notice of Petition to Administer Estate," which is the wrong form of notice for this summary proceeding and incorrectly gives notice that a full probate administration is being opened. Need Order Determining Succession (DE-315). (Petitioner submitted an Order for Probate.) This petition was filed with a fee waiver. If assets pass pursuant to this petition, all filing fees will be due.
	40 days since DOD	
	No other proceedings	
Cont. from 010516		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	I&A: need	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	<input type="checkbox"/> X	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	Decedent died intestate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	<input type="checkbox"/> X	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	<input type="checkbox"/> X	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	Petitioner requests court determination that real property in Sanger and a vehicle pass 100% to her.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input type="checkbox"/> Order	<input type="checkbox"/> X	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 2/9/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 14- Lamas

Petitioner requests the Court rescind and nullify the purported trust on the following grounds (Cont'd):

1. The purported trust was executed as a direct result of undue influence exerted by Respondent over the decedents. Respondent occupied a position of trust and confidence with the decedents. Because neither could understand the English language or read the English language, they were dependent on Respondent to have her read and interpret anything they signed. Petitioner states Respondent actively procured the trust as part of a pattern of conduct aimed at gaining control of the decedents' major assets.

Petitioner believes the decedents never personally met with the attorneys who drafted the trust instrument, and believe Respondent used the services of attorneys associated with "AmeriEstate Legal Plan, Inc., with headquarters in Costa Mesa, CA, to create the purported trust instrument and personally provided the information for the creation of the trust.

Petitioner alleges that the decedents' primary concern was to provide for their disabled children, Rebecca and George, for as long as they lived, and then to provide that upon their death, the remaining property, if any, would be distributed equally to the decedents' other children.

Petitioner alleges that Respondent did not communicate these desires to the attorneys she hired but instead instructed them that her parents wanted to leave 100% of their property to her.

Petitioner states the disposition to Respondent confers an undue benefit on Respondent. Petitioner and the decedents had always maintained a close relationship and decedents had allowed him to store a number of his personal belongings at their home.

Petitioner believes that by virtue of Respondent's undue influence over the decedents, Respondent holds title to all of the assets of the decedents, including but not limited to that certain real property located at 1917 Cooper Street in Selma, CA, and all its contents.

2. Petitioner states the purported trust agreement was procured by fraud and inducement of Respondent; that she intentionally misled the decedents that the purported trust instrument would leave their estate in equal shares to their children after providing for their disabled daughter Rebecca, when Respondent knew that the trust left all assets to Respondent. The decedents relied on Respondent to truthfully communicate the terms of the purported trust to them and were induced to sign in reliance upon her misrepresentation.
3. Petitioner states the decedents were mistaken regarding the meaning and legal effect of the terms of the trust agreement. Petitioner states the decedents mistakenly believed that the terms of the trust provided for their disabled children and then left the assets equally to the other children. Petitioner is informed and believes that the decedents were mistaken about what the purported trust instrument provided when they executed the document.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Petitioner prays for an order that:

1. Finds that the purported trust instrument is void due to the mental incapacity of the decedents at the time that it was executed;
2. Finds that the purported trust instrument is void due to undue influence of the Respondent;
3. Finds that the purported trust instrument is void due to the fraud of the Respondent;
4. Finds that the purported trust instrument is void due to the mistake of fact by the decedents regarding the terms of the purported trust instrument;
5. Finds that Respondent hold all assets received from the purported trust instrument, including but not limited to the real property commonly known as 1917 Cooper Street, Selma, CA 93662, as constructive trustee for the benefit of the intestate heirs of the decedents;
6. For costs herein; and
7. For such other orders as the Court may deem proper.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 1. Need authority for filing this petition under Probate Code §17200 (internal affairs of trusts). The findings requested appear to fall under the Welfare and Institutions Code and other law, including capacity, fraud, duress, etc., rendering the instrument itself void, which may require separate litigation under proper authority as basis for the Probate Court to make the requested orders.**
- 2. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 30 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §17203 on George Villareal (son) (address unknown per Petition).**
- 3. The trust nominates Melchor Molina as second successor trustee and also names this person as a contingent beneficiary; however, this person was not listed as entitled to notice or served pursuant to Probate Code §17203. Need 30 days' notice to Melchor Molina.**
- 4. Petitioner mentions being served with notice of trust administration pursuant to the Probate Code, and mentions Ms. Cuevas' connection with the attorneys who prepared the trust. If represented, need service on Ms. Cuevas' attorney pursuant to Probate Code §1214.**
- 5. Petitioner states two of the decedents' children are disabled, without further explanation. The Court may require clarification with reference to Probate Code §1003 (appointment of guardian ad litem) and Cal. Rule of Court 7.51(c) (notice must also be served on conservator, if any).**
- 6. Need order. Local Rule 7.1.1.F.**

**Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed;
Authorization to Administer under IAEA**

DOD: 4/25/13		<p>JUANITA G. SALINAS, Daughter, is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with Will Annexed with Limited IAEA without bond.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 12/15/16: Continued due to defects listed in the Examiner's Notes.</p> <p>Note: As of 2/9/16, nothing further has been filed. The following issues remain noted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Need declinations to act from the two named Co-Executors: - Maria Candelaria Carrillo - Alberto Garcia Need date of death of the decedent's deceased spouse. Local Rule 7.1.1.D. The decedent's will indicates that the decedent had at least two deceased children. Need dates of death. Local Rule 7.1.1.D. The petition is blank at #5a(7) and (8). Was the decedent survived by issue of a predeceased child? If so, need revised list at #8 and notice to such issue. Petitioner requests appointment without bond, with limited authority under IAEA, but also states the estimated value of personal property is unknown. The Court may require clarification. Petitioner is reminded to review Cal. Rule of Court 7.204 (Duty to apply for order increasing bond). Need order. Local Rule 7.1.1.F <p>See Page 2 for status hearing dates.</p>
Cont. from 121515			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail w/o		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	Petitioner states the two named executors, Maria Candelaria Carrillo and Alberto Garcia, have both declined to act.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	Limited IAEA – ok	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	Will dated 12/13/11	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	Residence: Parlier, CA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	Publication: Business Journal	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	Estimated value of estate: Personal property: Unknown Real property: \$60,000.00 (one-half interest)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	x	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
		<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 12/9/15</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 16 - Garcia</p>	

Note: If granted, status hearings will be set as follows:

- **Tues, July 12, 2016 at 9:00am in Dept. 303** for filing of the Inventory & Appraisal; and
- **Tues, April 11, 2017 at 9:00am in Dept. 303** for filing of the Accounting/Petition for Final Distribution

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5, if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter, the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

Petitioner Muniz, Teresa (pro per – non-relative)
 Petitioner Muniz, Raul (pro per – non-relative)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<u>NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED</u>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Guardian or Consent & Waiver of Notice</i> for maternal grandparents (unless diligence is found). 2. Need CI/DSS Report (CI to provide).
		<p>TERESA MUNIZ and RAUL MUNIZ, non-relatives, are Petitioners.</p> <p>Father: ISIDORO CARRILLO-CAYETANO – deceased Mother: MARIA ORTEGA – deceased</p> <p>Paternal grandfather: SILVINO CARRILLO ROMERO – served by mail on 01/21/16 Paternal grandmother: JULIA CAYETANO CALDERA – served by mail on 01/21/16</p> <p>Maternal grandparents: UNKNOWN – Declaration of Due Diligence filed 12/16/15 states that they asked the children and friends of the family, but no one knows the maternal grandparents. It is believed that the mother's family is in Mexico.</p> <p>Court Investigator Charlotte Bien/DSS Social Worker filed a report on – NEED REPORT.</p>	
Cont. from			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	w/	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	n/a	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	x	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: JF
			Reviewed on: 02/09/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 17- Ortega/ Carrillo

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<u>NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED</u>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		CARMEN CORDOVA THUR , maternal grandmother, is Petitioner.	
Cont. from		Father: VINCENT LOGAN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i>. 2. Need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person</i> <u>or</u> <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice</i> <u>or</u> <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> for: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Seth Villanueva (minor) – <i>must be personally served</i> b. Vincent Logan (father) – <i>must be personally served</i> c. Naomi Villanueva (mother) – <i>must be personally served</i> d. Eloise Logan (paternal grandmother) – <i>service by mail ok</i> e. Rafael Luis Muriel (maternal grandfather) – <i>service by mail ok</i> f. Darrian Rodriguez (brother) – <i>service by mail ok</i> 3. Need CI report and clearances – CI to provide.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Mother: NAOMI VILLANUEVA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	Paternal grandfather: DECEASED	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	Paternal grandmother: ELOISE LOGAN	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	Maternal grandfather: RAFAEL LUIS MURIEL	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	Sibling: DARRIAN RODRIGUEZ (21)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on – NEED REPORT.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: JF
			Reviewed on: 02/09/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 18- Villanueva

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

	<u>TEMPORARY EXPIRES 02/16/16</u>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	ANASTASIA CLARK , non-relative, is Petitioner.	1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i> .
Cont. from	Father: NOT LISTED	2. Need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person</i> <u>or</u> <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice</i> <u>or</u> <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> for:
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	Mother: HALENA VELASQUEZ – <i>Consent & Waiver of Notice</i> filed 12/17/15	a. Father – <i>personal service required</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified	Paternal grandparents: UNKNOWN	b. Paternal grandparents – <i>service by mail sufficient</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Maternal grandparents: UNKNOWN	c. Maternal grandparents – <i>service by mail sufficient</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		3. Need CI/DSS report and clearances – <i>CI to provide</i> .
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.	Court Investigator Dina Calvillo/DSS Social Worker filed report on – NEED REPORT.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 02/09/16
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		Updates:
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		Recommendation:
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		File 19- Burke

		<p>JOSEPH P. MASTRO and JOHN V. MASTRO, Successor Trustees, are petitioners.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p>
		<p>Petitioners state the VITO and ANN MASTRO FAMILY TRUST, was created by Trust Agreement executed by Vito Mastro and Ann Mastro on 7/6/1998.</p>	
Cont. from		<p>Trustor Vito Mastro died on 4/9/15. And Trustor Ann Mastro died on 10/15/07.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	<p>Petitioners Joseph P. Mastro and John V. Mastro are the only beneficiaries of the Trust.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	<p>In Trust Exhibit "A" the Trustees included as part of the Trust estate their ½ interest in rental property located on College Avenue in Fresno.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	<p>In March of 2007, the owners of the College Property decided to and did refinance the College Property.</p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail W/		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	<p>The lender required title to the property to be taken out of the Trust and transferred into their individual names.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	<p>After completion of the refinance the Trustors intended to and did transfer their interest in the College Property back to the Trust.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	<p>It was only recently Petitioners became aware that the March 2007 Deed had not been recorded. Not all of the signatures appear to have been notarized on the 2007 Deed and so it cannot now be recorded.</p>	<p>Reviewed by: KT</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	<p>Even though the March 2007 Deed was not recorded, it did transfer Trustors' interest in the College Property back to the Trust. A deed is effective whether or not recorded.</p>	<p>Reviewed on: 2/9/16</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		<p>Updates:</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	<p>Petitioners pray that this Court make its order that an undivided 50% interest in the College Property is an asset of, is owned by, and is subject to the Vito and Ann Mastro Family Trust Agreement dated 7/6/1998.</p>	<p>Recommendation:</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		<p>File 20- Mastro</p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		

21 Pat J. Mastro & Celia L. Mastro Trust Agreement dated Oct. 6, 1994
Case No. 15CEPR01234

Even though the March 2007 Deed was not recorded, it did transfer Trustor's interest in the College Property back to the Trust. A deed is effective whether or not recorded.

Petitioners pray that this Court make its order that an undivided 25% interest in the College Property is an asset of, is owned by, and is subject to the Pat J. Mastro and Celia L. Mastro Trust Agreement dated 10/6/94.

Attorney Deborah K. Boyett (for Petitioners Ricardo Castaneda and Francisco Castaneda, Jr.)

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property

Age:	RICARDO CASTANEDA and FRANCISCO CASTANEDA, JR., sons, are Petitioners.		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: Declaration of Deborah K. Boyett in Support of Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property filed 12/30/2015 states she is informed that the original Will of Decedent dated on the 30 th day of an unknown month in the year 2001 has not been located by Decedent's surviving children and may be lost, and that Petitioners make no assertion as to the validity of the Will but submit a copy in the interest of full and complete disclosure to the Court. It appears Petitioners are not seeking to use the Decedent's lost Will as the basis for requesting the Court's determination that the real property passes to Petitioners without administration of Decedent's estate. ~Please see additional page~
DOD: 8/16/2009	40 days since DOD.		
Cont. from	No other proceedings.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	I & A - \$25,000.00		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	W/	Lost Will dated: [unknown month]/30/2001 devises the residue of the estate to Petitioner RICARDO CASTANEDA .	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen	Petitioner requests Court determination that Decedent's 1/3 interest in real property located at 780 S. 11 th Street, Kerman, passes to the Petitioners RICARDO CASTANEDA and FRANCISCO CASTANEDA, JR. at 1/6th interest each pursuant to intestate succession and the <i>Disclaimers of Interest</i> filed 12/30/2015.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters			
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order			
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt			
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation			
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice			
			Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 2/10/16 Updates: Recommendation: File 22- Castaneda

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

1. Probate Code § 281 provides that a disclaimer, when effective, is irrevocable and binding upon the beneficiary and all persons claiming by, through, or under the beneficiary, including creditors of the beneficiary. Probate Code § 282(a) provides unless the creator of the interest [*Decedent*] provides for a specific disposition of the interest in the event of a disclaimer, the interest disclaimed shall descend, go, be distributed, or continued to be held, as to a present interest, as if the disclaimant had predeceased the creator of the interest. *Disclaimer of Interest in Decedent's Estate* filed 12/30/2015 by **MARIA A. CASTANEDA** and by **ESMERALDA GARCIA** appear to result in potentially passing their interests in the property to the issue of each of them, if any. Decedent's Lost Will distributes the real property only to **RICARDO CASTANEDA**. It appears the disclaimants intended to have the property pass to **RICARDO CASTANEDA**, and **FRANCISCO J. CASTANEDA**; however, the effect of their disclaimers (rather than having executed assignments of interest) may result in the property passing to **RICARDO CASTANEDA**, and to **FRANCISCO J. CASTANEDA** and to the issue of **MARIA A. CASTANEDA** and **ESMERALDA GARCIA**. The disclaimants cannot disclaim the right to the potential property interest of their issue, despite stating so in the executed *Disclaimer of Interest*.

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Conservator

		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 2/16/16	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Court Investigator Advised Rights on 2/4/16.</p> <p>1. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the temporary petition on the proposed conservatee Eleanor James.</p>
		<p>PUBLIC GUARDIAN is petitioner and requests appointment as temporary conservator of the person and estate.</p>	
		<p>Please see petition for details.</p>	
		<p>Court Investigator Report filed on 2/8/16</p>	
Cont. from			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	X	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			<p>Reviewed by: KT</p>
			<p>Reviewed on: 2/10/16</p>
			<p>Updates:</p>
			<p>Recommendation:</p>
			<p>File 25- James</p>

Petitioner Leggett, Judy K. (pro per – paternal grandmother)

Petitioner Leggett, Fonzell (pro per – paternal step-grandfather)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

		<u>GENERAL HEARING: 04/07/16</u>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i> . 2. Need proof of personal service at least 15 days before the hearing of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence</i> for: a. Heather Wells (mother) b. Jeremy Wells (father)
		JUDY LEGGETT and FONZELL LEGGETT, paternal grandmother and step-grandfather, are Petitioners.	
Cont. from			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Father: JEREMY WELLS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	Mother: HEATHER WELLS	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	Paternal grandfather: WAYNE WELLS – deceased	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	Maternal grandparents: NOT LISTED	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	Sibling: JEREMY WELLS, JR.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: JF
			Reviewed on: 02/10/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 26- Wells