



## ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

**1 Wade J. Barrett (Estate)**

**Case No. 02CEPR00294**

**Atty LeVan, Nancy J. (for Wayne Barrett – Administrator/Petitioner)**

**(1) Report of Administration of Administrator and Petition for Settlement thereof and (2) Allowance of Statutory Compensation for Administrator and Statutory and Extraordinary Attorney Fees and (3) for Final Distribution**

<b>DOD: 09/23/01</b>		<b>WAYNE BARRETT</b> , Administrator, is Petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Inventory &amp; Appraisal has been filed in this matter. The Petition refers to assets consisting of personal property stored within two storage sheds and \$11,825.48. Need Inventory &amp; Appraisal.</li> <li>The Petition does not include an accounting or statement that an accounting has been waived by the beneficiaries. Need accounting or waivers of account from Michael Barrett and Rodger Barrett.</li> <li>The Petition requests statutory fees based on a fee base of \$11,825.48; however, the Petition does not sufficiently describe the property on hand, contain an accounting, and no inventory &amp; appraisal has been filed, therefore the Examiner cannot determine whether this is a correct fee base.</li> <li>The petition is inconsistent regarding the request for fees. Item 3 of the prayer requests \$8,763.02 as the <u>total</u> statutory and extraordinary fees and costs to be reimbursed to the attorney, while item 5 of the prayer asks again for the statutory fee of \$473.02. The Petition is unclear regarding the fees requested. It appears from paragraph 17 of the Petition that the requested extraordinary fees are \$4,763.50 and costs \$3,999.50 for a total of \$8,763.02. Adding in the statutory fee, the total fees/costs appear to total \$9,236.02, which results in \$2,589.46 remaining for distribution/payment of creditor's claims. Need clarification. The proposed distribution does not appear to be accurate taking into account the requested fees and costs.</li> </ol>
		Account period – <b>No Accounting provided</b>	
<b>Cont. from</b>		I &A - <b>none filed</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	POH - <b>\$11,825.48??</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>	Attorney - <b>\$473.02</b> (statutory)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Attorney x/o - <b>\$4,763.50</b> (67.5 hours \$150.00/hr. expended by attorney investigating fraudulent transfer of real property by Mary Frost; taking depositions, preparing for and participating in a two day trial; attorney has agreed to this 50% reduction of the total fee)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	w/	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>	06/10/03	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>	Costs - <b>\$3,999.50</b> (for payment of arrears on storage facility holding personal property assets of the estate, filing fees, publication, certified copies, handwriting expert fees)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>	n/a	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>	<p><b>Distribution, pursuant intestate succession and subject to creditor's claim as follows:</b></p> <p>Franchise Tax Board - \$844.02 (Creditor's Claim)</p> <p>Rodger Barrett - \$655.21, plus ½ of the personal property (previously held in storage?)</p> <p>Michael Barrett - \$655.21, plus ½ of the personal property (previously held in storage?)</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>	n/a	
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> JF
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 02/05/14
			<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b>
			<b>File 1 – Barrett</b>

**Petition to Determine Administration Expenses Allocable to Encumbered Property  
Prior to Satisfaction of Lien, and for Deposit of Purchase Money with Court in  
Satisfaction of Lien and Expenses [Prob. C. 10361.5, 10362]**

DOD: 11-23-06	TRO restraining Trustee's Sale and further Proceedings Regarding Premises at 4086 W. San Jose, Fresno, CA extended to 12-2-13.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		<b>Minute Order 1-17-13:</b> The Court directs Mr. Knudson to submit a declaration specifically outlining what is happening in the other jurisdictions that would preclude further inventory and appraisals. Matter continued to 3/21/13. Mr. Knudson is directed to provide Mr. Lucich notice of the next hearing. The temporary restraining order restraining the trustee's sale is extended to 3/21/13. Continued to 3/21/13.
Cont. from 011713, 032113, 042513, 050913, 062013, 071813, 101713, 120213, 012714	<b>Petitioner states</b> one of the assets of the estate is real property located at 4086 W. San Jose in Fresno, originally appraised at \$275,000.00 at Decedent's date of death. Due to the decline in the real estate market, and based on Internet valuation website, Petitioner believes the house is valued at this time at approx. \$133,000.00.	<b>Minute Order 3-21-13:</b> Ms. Hubbell is appearing specially for Thomas Agawa. Joint request for continuance. Matter continued to 4-25-13. TRO remains in full force and effect and is extended to 4-25-13.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Decedent's spouse Maria Raquel Petrogonas ("Raquel") has continued to reside in the residence and on 8-24-10 was granted a probate homestead.	<b>Minute Order 6-20-13:</b> Mr. Knudson is also appearing specially for Edward Tredler. Mr. Knudson advises the Court that they are still working on settling this matter. Mr. Knudson requests a continuance. Matter continued to 7/18/13. The TRO is extended to 7/18/13. Continued to 7-18-13
✓ Verified	At the date of death, the house was encumbered in the initial amount of \$91,751.00, with the mortgage payable at a rate of \$848.26/month. During the initial period of estate administration, the Administrator made payments from estate funds, and later, Raquel made payments to the Administrator for the mortgage. Raquel's sole source of income is Social Security Disability payments of only \$850/month.	<b>Note: Points and Authorities in Support of Petition were filed 3-19-13 by Attorney Knudson. See file.</b>
Inventory	The property subsequently went into default. Anticipating funds from the sale of properties in Argentinia and/or Greece, Petitioner advanced \$7,650 to cure the default on the loan. When the estate was unable to pay property taxes and/or insurance, the bank subsequently raised the monthly payment to more than \$1,600.00. Petitioner tried on numerous occasions to negotiate a loan modification with Bank of America, who steadfastly refused to consider it.	
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
✓ Notice of Hrg		
✓ Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
✓ Response		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
	The current arrearages are \$19,327.00 and the present balance due is \$47,565.64 (Exhibit C). A Trustee's (foreclosure) sale was set for 11-29-12.	
	Petitioner states the estate has incurred substantial administrative expenses with respect to the administration of this property and brings this petition pursuant to Probate Code §10361.5 to determine the amount of expenses of administration reasonably associated with the administration of the encumbered property, and to determine the expenses of the sale payable from the sales proceeds.	
	In the event the property is sold, whether at Trustee's sale or otherwise, the estate lacks assets to pay administration expenses and seeks an order determining same.	
	<b>SEE PAGE 2</b>	
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 2-4-14
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 3A - Petrogonas

Page 2

**Petitioner states the expenses of administration reasonably related to the administration of the encumbered property are \$46,167.18, computed at Exhibit E, which includes:**

- Estimated statutory fees allocable to the property, based on the estimated current value;
- Extraordinary fees payable to Petitioner and his attorney for the sale of the property at a minimal rate pursuant to Local Rule 7.18;
- Filing fees;
- Additional attorney's fees incurred in bringing this petition, together with costs advanced; and
- Expenses paid for the care preservation and maintenance of said property during the course of administration, including mortgage payments, homeowner's insurance and property taxes.

No additional expenses of sale are requested at this time. If the property is ultimately sold pursuant to the power of sale under the deed of trust, said expenses will be borne by the Bank. However, if Petitioner is successful in negotiating a short sale or otherwise reaching accommodation with the lender, this petition will be amended accordingly.

Petitioner will incur additional charges in serving notice of hearing on this petition and may incur additional attorney's fees for appearing at the hearing(s) on this petition. Said additional fees will be presented in a supplement to this petition prior to the hearing date.

Petitioner requests the Court order that following the hearing and approval of this petition, any proceeds of sale be paid to the clerk of the court to be disbursed as provided in Probate Code § 10362 as follows:

- First in payment of costs of administration attributable to this property;
- Second towards payment of the lien held by Bank of America, and thereafter
- To lenders with secured interests in the property, including Paul A. Dictos (\$7,650.00) and Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud and Romo (\$106,767.00)

**Petitioner requests:**

1. That the Court determine the amount of expenses of administration reasonably related to the administration of the encumbered property;
2. That the Court determine the expenses of sale of said property, if any there be;
3. That the Court order the proceeds from the sale to be paid to the Clerk of the Court to be disbursed as provided in Probate Code § 10362
4. For an order that upon such payment the lien on the property be discharged; and
5. For such further orders that the Court may deem proper.

**Bank of America, N.A., Respondent/Secured Party filed:**

- **Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Response to Petition to Determine Administrative Expenses Pursuant to Cal. Prob. Code §§ 10361.5, 10362**  
Respondent requests the Court deny any order compelling Respondent to accept less than the entire amount due under its security interest and/or deny any order requiring a Reconveyance of its lien, and further deny Petitioner any fees and costs claimed to be related to the sale and administration of the property, particularly any fees and costs derived from proceeds from the sale of Respondent's secured property. See pleading for details.
- **Request for Judicial Notice in Support of its Response to Petition to Determine Administrative Expenses Pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code §§ 452(c), (g), 453 & Appendix of Exhibits**  
12 exhibits provided. See pleading for details.

<b>DOD: 11-23-06</b>	<p><b>PAUL A. DICTOS</b>, Administrator with Limited IAEA and bond of \$100,000.00, filed Petition to Determine Administration Expenses Allocable to Encumbered Property Prior to Satisfaction of Lien, and for Deposit of Purchase Money with Court in Satisfaction of Lien and Expenses, which petition was originally heard on 1-17-13, and continued numerous times, finally to 1-27-14. See Page 3A.</p> <p>The Court also granted a Temporary Restraining Order pending these proceedings, which has been extended to 1-27-14.</p> <p><b>BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.</b>, Respondent / Secured Party, filed a response on 1-14-13.</p> <p>Pursuant to Minute Order 7-18-13, both counsel concur that the petition was premature and continuance was needed to list the property.</p> <p>On 12-2-13, the Court confirmed the sale of the real property for \$165,000.00. On that date, Mr. Knudsen advised the Court that he anticipates a stipulation with regard to the above-referenced petition. The Court continued the petition to 1-27-14 (Page 3A, which is the 9<sup>th</sup> hearing on the petition), and also set this status hearing re stipulation.</p> <p>As of 1-22-14, nothing further has been filed.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Minute Order 1-27-14: Mr. Markeson is appearing specially for Attorney David Knudson. The Court is informed that the stipulation is being circulated.</b></p> <p><b>1. Need written status report per local rules.</b></p>
<b>Cont. from 012714</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> skc
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 2-4-14
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 3B – Petrogonas</b>

**Update:** Status Report filed 1-24-14 states that at the hearing on 12-2-13, the Court confirmed the sale of the property for \$165,000. At the hearing, Attorney Edward Treder for B of A represented that he was reviewing final numbers for the demand for payoff on its promissory note. Final numbers have been reached, and with the assistance of Fidelity Title, a proposed stipulation (attached) is being circulated wherein the parties stipulate to payment of a specific amount for administrative expenses attributable to the real property, as initially urged in the petition, B of A receives its full demand in satisfaction of its promissory note, the promissory note of Paul A > Dictos, representing personal funds advanced for payment of property taxes, shall be paid out of the portion of the funds allocable to the estate, and the balance of proceeds shall be allocated 42% to Atkinson, Andelson, et al towards payment of their promissory note secured by deed of trust against real property, and 48% to Maria Raquel Petragonas, decedent's spouse, in full satisfaction of her homestead rights with respect to the property.

**Note:** A proposed Order Approving Stipulation is provided; however, the stipulation is not yet signed. It appears it would be premature to approve the stipulation at this time, as it appears to deal with issues outside the scope of this petition, possibly having to do with final distribution.

**Additional Note:** Due to the last minute filing of this document, Examiner has not had time to review the stipulation with regard to the allocation of the balance in connection with the Atkinson Andelson et al DOT and homestead rights. It appears this has more to do with some future final distribution than with the petition at hand re expenses allocable from the sale of the property to the estate. The Court may wish to review further. Again, as noted above, we do not have any final I&A and the petition for final distribution has not been filed.

**(1) Fourth & Final and Report of Conservator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Fees to Attorney and (3) for Reimbursement of Expenses to Conservator and (4) Discharge of Conservator and Delivery of Assets**

<b>DOD: 03/29/13</b>	<b>THEODORA MICHAILIDES</b> , Conservator, is Petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>1. Need Affidavit under Probate Code § 13101 signed by Theodora Michailides.</p>
	Account period: <b>10/01/12 – 03/29/13</b>	
	Accounting - <b>\$64,606.22</b>	
	Beginning POH - <b>\$55,016.40</b>	
	Ending POH - <b>\$29,991.23</b>	
<b>Cont. from</b>	Subsequent account period: <b>03/30/13 – 11/30/13</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Accounting - <b>\$31,296.96</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>	Beginning POH - <b>\$29,991.23</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>	Ending POH - <b>\$24,373.08</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>	Conservator - <b>waived</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>	Conservator costs - <b>\$865.84</b> (for funeral expenses)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Attorney - <b>\$2,100.00</b> (12 hours @ \$175/hr.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b> w/	Petitioner requests authority to distribute the remaining assets, upon the filing of an affidavit under Probate Code § 13101, to Theodora Michailides, pursuant to decedent's will. Petitioner states that the remaining assets of the estate were derived from the sale of real property that was specifically devised to Petitioner in decedent's will.	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>2620(c)</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>	<p><b>Petitioner prays for an Order:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Finding that the Conservatorship terminated on 03/29/13, the date of conservatee's death;</li> <li>Approving, allowing and settling the Fourth and Final Account;</li> <li>Authorizing the payment of attorney's fees;</li> <li>Authorizing the reimbursement of costs to the conservator;</li> <li>Authorizing and directing the Conservator to deliver the remaining estate assets (consisting of \$20,416.24 cash, plus \$991.00 receivable from the SSA) to herself; and</li> <li>On filing of the proper receipts and an Ex Parte Petition for Final Discharge, discharging Petitioner as conservator and her bond.</li> </ol>	
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> JF
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 02/05/14
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 4 – Mitchell</b>



Second Amended First and Final Account and Report of Conservator, Petition for  
 Its Settlement, for Attorney Fees and Discharge of Conservator of the Estate

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  <b><u>CONTINUED TO 3-4-14</u></b>  Per Attorney request
DOD:		
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 2-4-14
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 6 – Lozano



Amended and Restated First and Final Account and Petition for Settlement  
Thereof; for Allowance of Statutory Attorneys' Fees; for Allowance of Statutory  
Executor's Fees; for Reimbursement of Costs Advanced; and for Final Distribution

<b>DOD: 1-2-13</b>		<b>MIYUKI LAPPEN</b> , Executor without bond, is Petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Note:</u> In the prior petition, Examiner Notes noted that the accounting was not provided in the proper format required by Probate Code §1060 et seq.</p> <p>This amended petition provides a summary and <u>some</u> schedules; however, they are interspersed with, and sometimes replaced by copies of bank statements.</p> <p>Please note that handwritten notations and asterisks on the copies of the bank statements do not constitute a concise schedule. See Probate Code §1062.</p> <p>The uniform format required by the Probate Code facilitates the Court's review.</p>
		Account period: 1-2-13 through 9-25-13	
		Accounting: \$349,637.39	
		Beginning POH: \$332,464.14	
		Ending POH: \$339,555.78 (cash)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PTC	Executor (Statutory): \$9,791.10	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	Attorney(Statutory): \$9,791.10	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	w	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	Costs: \$1,627.37 (filing, publication, certified letters, appraisal)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	<b>Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters	3-29-13 Miyuki Lappen, Trustee of the Merika Motoyoshi Revocable Trust: \$318,346.21	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
Reviewed by: skc			
Reviewed on: 2-5-14			
Updates:			
Recommendation:			
File 8 – Motoyoshi			

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 17 years	<u>Temporary Expires 2/10/14</u>		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>Note: Petition for Factual Findings Regarding Minor's Eligibility to Apply for Special Immigration Status was filed and is set for hearing on 3/3/14.</b>  1. Need proof of <u>personal</u> service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: a. Marta Luz Iraheta Garcia (mother) b. Francisco A. Arevalo-Iraheta (minor)  2. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: a. Luis Alonso Mebreno Hernandez (paternal grandfather) b. Leonidas Arevalo Viuda de Garcia (paternal grandmother)
	<b>VERONICA AREVALO</b> , paternal aunt, is petitioner.  Father: <b>JUAN ANTONIO AREVALO</b> – consents and waives notice.  Mother: <b>MARTA LUZ IRAHETA GARCIA</b>  Paternal grandfather: Luis Alonso Mebreno Hernandez Paternal grandmother: Leonidas Arevalo Viuda de Garcia Maternal grandfather: Antonio Hernandez – Deceased. Maternal grandmother: Maxima Hernandez – Deceased.		
Cont. from 011314			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓ Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
✓ Notice of Hrg			
✓ Aff.Mail	W/		
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.	X		
✓ Conf. Screen			
✓ Letters			
✓ Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
✓ UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
<b>Petitioner states</b> the minor is estranged from his father who lives in New York. The whereabouts of the mother are unknown. Mom abandoned the minor when he was a baby. The minor's paternal grandparents are living in El Salvador and in failing health and are unable to care for the minor.			
<b>Court Investigator Samantha Henson's Report filed on 1/7/14.</b>			
<b>Reviewed by: KT</b>			
<b>Reviewed on: 2/5/14</b>			
<b>Updates:</b>			
<b>Recommendation:</b>			
<b>File 9 – Arevalo-Iraheta</b>			

**Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)**

<b>DOD: 11/19/2012</b>		<p><b>SHERI L. SINCLAIR</b>, spouse/named executor without bond, is petitioner.</p> <p>Full IAEA – o.k.</p> <p>Will dated: 6/27/2005</p> <p>Residence: Fresno          Publication: Fresno Business Journal</p> <p>Estimated value of the estate:          Real Property - \$250,000.00</p> <p><b>Probate Referee: Rick Smith</b></p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Continued from 1/13/14.</b> Minute order states Jennifer Tierce [daughter] requests a continuance to obtain counsel.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> If the petition is granted, status hearings will be set as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Friday, July 11 2014</b> at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the inventory and appraisal.</li> <li>• <b>Friday, April 10, 2015</b> at 9:00 a.m. in Department 303, for the filing of the first account or petition for final distribution.</li> </ul> <p>Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior the date set the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.</p>
<b>Cont. from 011314</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by: KT</b>	
		<b>Reviewed on: 2/5/14</b>	
		<b>Updates:</b>	
		<b>Recommendation:</b>	
		<b>File 10 – Tierce</b>	

**Amended Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)**

<b>Adrianna (5)</b>		<p><b>TEMPORARY DENIED ON 01/07/2014</b></p> <p><b>LORRE and STEVE WALLACE</b>, Maternal Grandmother and Step-grandfather, are Petitioners.</p> <p>Father: <b>JOHN HANSEN</b> - Personally served 12-12-13</p> <p>Mother: <b>ALLISON HANSEN</b> - Personally served 12-12-13</p> <p>Paternal Grandfather: Unknown (believed deceased) Paternal Grandmother: Jeannette Hansen, served by mail on 12/11/2013</p> <p>Maternal Grandfather: Earl Beever (deceased)</p> <p><b>Petitioners state</b> request immediate guardianship to provide the children with a safe, loving, healthy, drug free environment , enroll them in school, help them to catch up to their grade levels.</p> <p>Petitioners reside in Plumas County. When they originally filed for guardianship there, the parents were constantly moving from place to place living in a motorhome in campsites, and they were unable to serve the mother.</p> <p>When the father moved to transfer the matter to Fresno County, and was ordered to pay the transfer fees, Petitioners paid the fees because they believed he would not pay and delay the proceedings.</p> <p>Petitioners are concerned that the parents are abusing methamphetamine. Lorre Wallace states she and her daughter were always close, but in September 2013, Allison Hansen told her she could not talk to her anymore.</p> <p>After a visit in June 2013, Petitioners are very worried about the children and had to do something to protect them. Petitioners asked to visit because the mother had been constantly asking for money in the preceding months.</p> <p><b>Please see additional page</b></p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Minute Order of 01/07/2014:</b> Ms. Castro-Ayala's objections are noted. The Court indicates to the parties that it has the benefit of the CPS referral whereby the concerns were not substantiated. The Court on its own motion orders a further referral to DSS. The court investigator is ordered to contact DSS immediately. Mother and father are ordered to cooperate with any investigation conducted by the Probate investigator and/or DSS. The petition is denied. The General Hearing remains set for 2/10/14. The Court orders mother and father to submit to a hair follicle test at Choices Drug Facility before the end of business today. In the event a hair follicle test cannot be performed, a comparable test is to be taken.</p> <p><b>Note re history:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The minors reside with the parents in Selma, Fresno County, CA.</li> <li>• Petitioners reside in Quincy, Plumas County, CA.</li> <li>• Petitioners originally filed temporary and general petitions in Plumas County Superior Court on 6-27-13.</li> <li>• The father filed an objection and a petition to transfer the matter to Fresno County.</li> <li>• A Court Investigation was conducted by Plumas County Superior Court and filed 10-4-13.</li> <li>• On 10-29-13, the Court granted the petition to transfer the matter to Fresno County Superior Court. Fresno Superior Court received the transferred file on 12-9-13, and the Petitioners also filed new temporary and general petitions for guardianship on 12-9-13.</li> </ul>
<b>Aiden (7)</b>			
<b>Cont. from</b>			
	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
✓	<b>Verified</b>		
	<b>Inventory</b>		
	<b>PTC</b>		
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b> w/		
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
✓	<b>Pers.Serv.</b> w/		
✓	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
✓	<b>Letters</b>		
✓	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
	<b>Objections</b>		
	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
✓	<b>CI Report</b>		
	<b>9202</b>		
✓	<b>Order</b>		
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
✓	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
	<b>Citation</b>		
	<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> LV</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 02/05/2014</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 11 – Hansen</b></p>	

Petitioners state they sent money because they did not want the family to go without food, but once the requests became regular, they stopped sending money. They offered non-monetary support, but the parents always rejected their offers. Petitioners offered to let Allison and the children come live with them until John got back on his feet, but Allison only wanted money from them.

Petitioners stated they waited a week to visit, per Allison's request, and came to visit on June 16. When they arrived at the address that Allison had given her sister, they learned it was only a temporary residence. Allison appeared frail, pale, and malnourished. Allison appeared open to the idea of coming to stay with them, but did not think John would allow it. John was keeping the children at an undisclosed location. Petitioners later learned that John had threatened her and she was afraid to talk with them because John was monitoring her phone.

Petitioners have never been in this type of abuse situation before and sought help at the Marjaree Mason Center in Fresno. Allison did not accompany them. Late in the evening, John agreed to bring the children back to the house where she was staying at if she made sure that Petitioners were not present.

Petitioners state that while they were in Fresno, they spoke with a Teddy Donaldson, who informed them that the parents were on methamphetamine. Petitioners believe they have been using methamphetamines since 2011.

On June 18, they had not been able to see the children. Petitioners asked Allison if they should stay in Fresno or go back home. Allison informed them by text message that John would let them see the children at a different location. They arrived at a house in Clovis and saw the children. The children did not look healthy. Petitioners asked if they could take the children home to Quincy for a visit, but John refused. Allison could go, but the children could not.

Allison communicated by phone from June 19 through June 21. Petitioners continued to worry and with the assistance of the Marjaree Mason Center arranged a welfare check with the police. The police informed them that they told John Hansen that either he needed to leave, or Allison and the children needed to leave. Late in the evening, Allison told Petitioners that she had called the Marjaree Mason Center, but they told her they would do a drug test, so she was afraid the children would be taken into protective services. Petitioners begged her to let them help, but she refused. Petitioners have had limited contact with Allison since that date.

**The children are being neglected, are malnourished and their educational needs are not being met. The children are being home schooled by their drug abusing parents. They are not receiving proper education and are behind grade level. Petitioners believe they have been reported to CPS on at least two occasions, but the social worker has been unable to locate the family due to their nomad lifestyle. The children desperately need and deserve help and Petitioners are willing to do whatever it takes to be there for them. Petitioners are requesting immediate guardianship and ask the Court to order hair follicle drug test before granting any visitation. Petitioners will pay for the tests.**

**Additional declarations are provided from Petitioner Steven Wallace and Amy Zingaretti, sister of Allison (maternal aunt of the minors).**

**Declaration of Mary Castro-Ayala Re: Court Ordered Drug Test for John Hansen and Allison Hansen** states: she was present in Court on 12/19/13 when the Court ordered that both John and Allison Hansen submit to hair follicle drug tests by 5:00pm that day. Mr. Hansen was present in Court that day but Allison was not. Mr. Hansen had a short buzz cut hairstyle during court and it appeared that, though his hair was short, it was of a sufficient length to provide a hair sample for his Court ordered drug test. Notice has been received that Mr. Hansen failed to complete the court ordered drug test.

**Please see additional page**

**Response to Motion filed 12-19-13 by Attorney Krbechek, attorney for John Hansen, Father.**

**Note: The document is not verified by the father and appears to be a response from the attorney only.**

**Response states notice was not properly served.**

- Examiner notes that Notice of Hearing filed 12-18-13 indicates personal service on the parents on 12-12-13 pursuant to Probate Code §2250(e)

Response provides the Court with an overview of guardianship law and background regarding the motion for change of venue.

Response states both children are doing well in school. Exhibits attached.

Response states Petitioners' claims are based on unfounded lies. Exhibits attached – responses to special interrogatories.

Response concludes that the Court has failed to respect the rights of the parents and the petition is based on lies. Petitioners seek to deprive the parents of custody of their children.

Response concludes with the parental preference doctrine. Cites provided.

**Status Report Regarding Court Ordered Drug Testing for John Hansen filed 01/03/14** states: At the last hearing on 12/19/13 the Court ordered that both parents drug test by a hair follicle test. After the hearing, the father went to take the ordered drug test, but had insufficient hair to complete the follicle test. It was ordered that in the event a hair follicle test could not be obtained from Mr. Hansen that an alternative test be conducted. On 12/21/13, Mr. Hansen went to Everyday Healthcare and had a ten-panel drug test performed. Mr. Hansen tested negative for all substances in that test. Mrs. Hansen was notified of the order to complete the drug screening and to appear at the hearing on 01/07/14. Mrs. Hansen did not complete the drug test. Attorney Krbechek does not represent the mother and she is responsible for her own actions or inactions. On 12/24/13, CPS went to Mr. Hansen's home to conduct its investigation. Mr. Hansen was told that CPS intervention was not necessary. Petitioners do not have any admissible evidence in support of their allegations. Petitioners rely on the investigation report from Plumas County, however, the report is inadmissible hearsay because it was generated after Mr. Hansen's petition to change venue from Plumas County to Fresno County. The Plumas County Court had no authority to order such investigation after Mr. Hansen filed his petition to transfer the action. Mr. Krbechek requests that the Court issue a ruling as to whether this proceeding is a guardianship matter controlled by the Probate Code or whether the Court is undertaking a juvenile dependency proceeding controlled by Welfare & Institutions Code § 300. **Examiner's note:** This matter is a probate guardianship proceeding being held in the Probate Court. It is unclear why Mr. Krbechek is asking for this ruling, the Probate Court does not have jurisdiction over juvenile dependency matters.

**Petitioner's Evidentiary Objections to Status Report Regarding Court-Ordered Drug Testing for John Hansen filed by Attorney Mary Castro-Ayala on 01/06/2014.**

Objection 1: Paragraph 2, Page 1, Lines 23-25 "On December 21, 2013, John Hansen appeared at Everyday Healthcare and had a ten panel drug test performed." "John tested negative for all substances"

Objections:

- Hearsay
- Lacks Foundation
- Lacks Personal Knowledge
- Calls for Speculation
- Prejudicial, Confusing and/or misleading
- Inadmissible lay opinion
- Writing not properly authenticated

**Please see additional page**

Objection 2: Paragraph 4, Page 2, Lines 1-2 "On December 24, 2013, CPS went to John Hansen's residence to conduct its investigation. John Hansen was told that intervention by CPS was unnecessary."

Objections:

- Hearsay
- Lacks Foundation
- Lacks Personal Knowledge
- Prejudicial, Confusing and/or misleading

Objection 3: Paragraph 14, Page 4, Lines 15-18 "By the petition pending before this court, petitioners Lorre Wallace and Steve Wallace, the maternal grandparents of the minors, seek to deprive respondent of custody of his children. Petitioners apparently seek to prove that their daughter, Allison Hansen, has a drug problem."

Objections:

- Lacks Foundation
- Lacks Personal Knowledge
- Calls for Speculation
- Prejudicial, Confusing and/or misleading
- Inadmissible Lay Opinion

Objection 4: Paragraph 15, Page 4, Lines 19-21 "However, Allison is the child raised by petitioners. Their son-in-law has no such problem. Petitioners have no relationship with the children. Petitioners have no legal right to deprive the father of custody of his children."

Objections:

- Lacks Foundation
- Lacks Personal Knowledge
- Calls for Speculation
- Prejudicial, Confusing and/or misleading
- Inadmissible Lay Opinion

**Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Petitioners' Request to Strike the Status Report Regarding Court Ordered Drug Testing for John Hansen in Its Entirety filed by Attorney Mary Castro-Ayala on 01/06/2014.**

**Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report on 01/06/14.**

**Court Investigator Jennifer Young's report filed 02/03/2014.**

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

<b>DOD: 10/23/2013</b>	<b>DEBRA L. HALL</b> is petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	40 days since DOD.	
	No other proceedings.	
<b>Cont. from 012714</b>	Will dated 1/5/10 devises entire estate to Debra L. Hall.	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>	I & A - <b>\$70,000.00</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/ <b>Petitioner requests</b> court determination that Decedent's interest in real property pass to her pursuant to Decedent's Will.	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by: KT</b>
		<b>Reviewed on: 2/5/14</b>
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation: SUBMITTED</b>
		<b>File 13 – Hall</b>

**Petition for Termination of Proceedings Due to Lack of Assets and Inability to Reach the Personal Representative**

<b>DOD: 3/23/2007</b>		<b>NANCY J. LEVAN</b> , attorney, is petitioner.	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>1. Order includes information that was not in the Petition, including discharge of the personal representative. The court cannot grant an order that is not consistent with the Petition. Need new order.</p> <p><b>Question:</b> If, the personal representative was not responding to the attorney as far back as 2007, why didn't the attorney petition the Court at that time to be relieved as counsel? This would have allowed the Court to become involved before the estate got out of hand and all the assets were lost or stolen?</p>
		<b>KEVIN LINDELL MAXWELL</b> was appointed as Administrator with Limited IAEA authority and without bond on 7/3/07 and Letters issued.	
<b>Cont. from</b>		<b>Petitioner states</b> she has made several attempts to reach the personal representative Kevin Maxwell. Letters were sent on 5/18/07, 6/13/07, 8/17/07, 12/12/07, 9/4/09 and 11/4/13. The 9/4/09 correspondence was returned stating "NO ONE BY THIS NAME! RETURN TO SENDER."	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Petitioner states she has not received a response to any of her letters. Petitioner states she attempted to telephone Mr. Maxwell but the number was disconnected with no forwarding number.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>	Petitioner states she has had no contact with Mr. Maxwell since he was appointed and she is not aware of any assets collected into the estate. The Estate of Lycester Wills, case no. 07CEPR00386 is still open. Mr. Maxwell claimed that his mother had assets in Lycester's estate that were her separate property and should come into this estate. However, with no communication with him or of the settling of Lycester's estate, it is unknown if any assets are available for collection into this estate.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/O	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>	Petitioner states she advanced funds totaling \$780.00 to cover costs of the estate and \$1,697.50 in attorney fees.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>	Due to the inability to reach the personal representative and petitioner's belief that there are no assets to administer; <b>Petitioner prays for an order:</b>	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Terminating the Proceedings due to lack of assets;</li> <li>2. Discharging the attorney of record due to the inability to locate the personal representative.</li> </ol>	

**Reviewed by: KT**

**Reviewed on: 2/5/14**

**Updates:**

**Recommendation:**

**File 15 – Wills**

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Executor and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to his Attorney and (3) Reimbursement to Executor for Funeral Expenses and (4) Closing of an Insolvent Estate

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  <b><u>CONTINUED TO 3-18-14</u></b>  Per Attorney Request
DOD:		
Cont. from		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by:
		Reviewed on: 2-5-14
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 16 – Fry



Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardianship of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

		<b>GENERAL HEARING 3-27-14</b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>MARGARET GLORIA SIMENTAL</b> , Maternal Grandmother, is Petitioner.	<p>1. Petitioner states the father is unknown. The Court may require further diligence or notice. Probate Code §2250(e).</p> <p>2. Petitioner states the minor has Native American ancestry (Apache). Therefore, notice pursuant to the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) must be served pursuant to Probate Code §1460.2 and Cal. Rules of Court 7.1015.</p> <p><u>Notice of Child Custody Proceeding for Indian Child (Form ICWA-030)</u> must be served prior to the general hearing, together with copies of petition and all attachments, including this form, on the child's parent; any Indian custodian; any Indian tribe that may have a connection to the child; the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), and possibly the U.S. Secretary of the Interior, by certified or registered U.S. Mail, return receipt requested.</p> <p><u>A blank form is in the file for Petitioner. Petitioner is directed to complete the form and return it to the Probate Clerk's office as soon as possible.</u> The Probate Clerk will mail the notice to the required agencies and parties.</p> <p>Please note that 60 days' notice is required under this section. Therefore, the general hearing may need to be continued for proof of notice.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> This does not affect this <u>temporary</u> hearing, but the ICWA requirements must be met for a general hearing to take place. See Probate Code 1460.2 and Cal. Rules of Court 7.1015.</p>
		Father: <b>UNKNOWN</b>	
		Mother: <b>ALEXIS SIMENTAL BULGARA</b>	
		Paternal Grandfather: Unknown	
		Paternal Grandmother: Unknown	
		Maternal Grandfather: Andy Bulgara	
		<b>Petitioner states</b> temporary guardianship is necessary because his doctors/specialists need legal documents saying Petitioner is his care provider. Some of them do not accept the letter from the mother. The minor has been in Petitioner's care since August 2012. The mother consents and waives notice. Petitioner states the mother does not know who the father is.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	X	
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	X	
✓	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: skc
			Reviewed on: 2-5-14
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 18 – Gonzalez