



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Atty Kruthers, Heather H., of County Counsel's Office (for the Public Guardian)

(1) Fourteenth Account Current and Report of Successor Conservator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Successor Conservator and Attorney (Prob. C. 2620; 2623; 2640; 2942)

Age: 63 years DOB: 3/29/1948	PUBLIC GUARDIAN , Conservator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Account period: 8/20/2009 – 10/21/2011	
	Accounting - \$329,345.16	
	Beginning POH - \$223,062.88	
Cont. from	Ending POH - \$229,748.27	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	(all cash)	
✓ Verified	Conservator - \$1,910.80	
Inventory	(12.70 Deputy hours @ \$96/hr and 9.10 Staff hours @ \$76/hr; itemization includes services related to the sale of Conservatee's real property as requested in the <i>Petition for Authorization to Sell</i> and confirmed in the <i>Order Confirming Sale of Real Property</i> signed on 9/6/2011;)	
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
✓ Notice of Hrg	Attorney - \$2,000.00	
✓ Aff.Mail	(per Local Rule)	
Aff.Pub.	Bond fee - \$1,374.28 (o.k.)	
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
Letters	1. Approving, allowing and settling the Fourteenth account;	
Duties/Supp	2. Authorizing the conservator and attorney fees and commissions; and	
Objections	3. Authorizing payment of the bond fee.	
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
	Reviewed by: LEG	
	Reviewed on: 1/17/12	
	Updates:	
	Recommendation:	
	File 1 - Hernandez	

(1) Second Account Current, Report of Conservator and Petition for Its Settlement,
 (2) for Allowance of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, (3) for Instructions on How to
 Handle Conservatee's Separate Account and Social Security Income [Prob. C.
 §§1060-1064, 2620, 2640]

Age: 65	<p>JOSEPH KALASHIAN, Brother and Conservator of the Person and Estate with bond of \$122,345.28, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Account period: 8-1-09 through 9-30-11</p> <p>Accounting: \$650,105.17 Beginning POH: \$571,031.05 Ending POH: \$570,343.39 (\$71,150.56 is cash)</p> <p>Conservator: Waived</p> <p>Attorney: \$6,202.50 (for 6.4 attorney hours @\$225-330/hr and 37.1 paralegal hours @ \$120-125/hr in connection with the preparation, service and filing of the first account, attendance at the hearing on the first account, advise Petitioner regarding possible LPS conservatorship, research regarding lease of Conservatee's residence, preparation and recording of documents to remove Conservatee's deceased mother from real property title, research and review of additional requirement, incl. bond)</p> <p>Costs: \$449.02</p> <p>Petitioner states Conservatee had previously incurred substantial credit card debt which was paid off during the first account period. Conservatee now resides in a group care home and Petitioner provides her with an allowance and she keeps her own separate checking account to maintain a feeling of independence. That account is not included in this account.</p> <p>However, Petitioner learned during this account period that Conservatee also receives Social Security income (currently \$397/month) directly to this separate account. Petitioner states he monitors Conservatee's expenditures and, to the best of his knowledge, the money is not being used inappropriately; however, Petitioner requests instruction from the Court regarding this separate account and the social security income.</p> <p>Petitioner states the current bond of \$122,345.28 is insufficient based on property, income and cost of recovery, and additional bond of \$56,288.87 is necessary.</p> <p>Petitioner prays for an Order:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approving, allowing and settling the account; 2. Authorizing the attorney fees and costs; 3. Instructing Petitioner on the issues of the separate account and social security income; 4. Increasing the bond by \$56,288.87 to a total bond of \$178,634.15. 	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Petitioner states Conservatee has her own checking account and maintains limited control over her finances. He was unaware until this account period that in addition to his disbursements from her conservatorship account, she also receives Social Security benefits directly deposited to this separate account.</p> <p>Petitioner believes the Conservatee desires to continue to receive these payments into her account and requests instructions.</p> <p>The proposed order continues to allow the Social Security payments to be received into that account without the requirement to account for such receipts.</p> <p>The Court may require the income to be included as receipts going forward, regardless of its ultimate disposition or destination, and may also require basic information regarding the Conservatee's separate account.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SEE PAGE 2</u></p> <p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 1-17-12</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 3 - Kalashian</p>
DOB: 4-19-46		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2620(c)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Continued):

- 2. Examiner notes that the cash distributions to Conservatee do not appear to be a consistent monthly amount; rather, they appear to be \$25 and \$50 here and there, and sometimes larger amounts.**

The Court may require clarification as to how the allowance/cash distributions are disbursed.

- 3. Examiner notes that there is a \$16 monthly service charge on the Bank of America checking account and additional charges for checks, etc. Fees for this account period total \$395.58. The Court may require clarification as to whether other account options are available, since approx. \$70,000.00 is held in savings at another institution (EECU). Is there a reason why this account with maintenance fees is beneficial or convenient?**

- 4. Need itemization of costs \$449.02 for reimbursement.**

Notice of Motion and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Cal. Code Civ. Pro. §§ 1021, 1033.5; Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code §§ 15657, 15657.5)

	<p>SARAH SPAULDING, Successor Trustee of the Barbara Forrest Matlock 2002 Revocable Living Trust, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Petitioner moves the Court for an order granting her Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs on the ground that Respondent RANDALL FORREST ("Forrest") was found liable on all of the alleged causes of action, and the Court granted all relief as prayed.</p> <p>Petitioner now seeks an order from the Court directing Forrest to pay reasonable attorney's fees and costs to Petitioner, based on a "lodestar adjustment" of \$87,615.86 multiplied by a 1.2% adjustment modifier for total reasonable attorney's fees and costs of \$105,203.03.</p> <p>Filed in support of the Notice of Motion and Motion, with reference to Cal. Code Civ. Pro. §§ 1021, 1033.5; Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code §§ 15657, 15657.5 are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Memorandum of Points and Authorities • Declaration of Jared C. Marshall (of DAK) • Memorandum of Costs (Summary) • Request for Judicial Notice <p>Points and Authorities state the instant motion relates to a lawsuit filed by Petitioner against Forrest for, among other things, the financial elder abuse and neglect of Barbara Forrest Matlock under sections 15657 and 15657.5 of the California Welfare and Institutions Code. Under both of these code sections, a prevailing plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the defendant. In this case, Forrest was found liable on all of the alleged causes of action, and the Court granted all relief as prayed. As a result, Petitioner now seeks an order from the Court directing Forrest to pay \$105,203.03 in reasonable attorney's fees to Petitioner.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Note:</u> In its Statement of Decision entered 9-22-11, the Court entered judgment in favor of Petitioner and found that Petitioner is entitled to cover reasonable attorney fees and costs to be requested in a separate noticed motion.</p>
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		<p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 1-18-12</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 4 - Matlock</p>

SEE PAGE 2

Points and Authorities (Continued): In its Statement of Decision, the Court made all requisite findings with regard to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021 et seq., and California Welfare and Institutions Code section 15657. Consequently, Petitioner is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees from Forrest.

[Examiner's note: Not all citations are included in this summary – please refer to the filed document.]

California Courts generally apply the "lodestar-adjustment" method to determine the amount of reasonable attorney's fees that are warranted in any given fee-shifting scenario. See *Serrano v. Priest* (1977) 20 Cal.3d 25, 48. Under *Serrano*, a lodestar figure must first be calculated by multiplying the number of hours reasonably spent by each billing professional by the reasonable hourly rate for each biller.

After calculating the initial lodestar amount, the Court may then consider other factors to adjust – either increase or decrease – the initial lodestar amount. The Court quantifies these factors into a number by which the figure is multiplied. For instance, if the factors merit increasing the lodestar amount by 50%, the court would then apply a 1.5 modifier to the lodestar, to fix a fee at the fair market value for the particular action. In effect, the court determines, retrospectively, whether the litigation involved a contingent risk or required extraordinary legal skill justifying augmentation of the unadorned lodestar in order to approximate the fair market rate for such services.

There is no exhaustive list of factors that the court may consider, and trial courts generally have considerable discretion in determining the applicability and amount of any modifier. However, Petitioner provides the considerations discussed in *Serrano*, including: the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved and the skill displayed in presenting them; the extent to which the litigation precluded other employment of the attorney; the contingent nature of the award; the fact that the award against the state would eventually fall to the taxpayers; the public or charitable funding of the attorneys; and that the money would not accrue to the individual attorneys, but to their organizations. Such factors are not exclusive or exhaustive. The trial court has wide discretion to consider nearly any factor related to the litigation in determining whether to apply a multiplier, and if so, what the multiplier should be.

DAK was retained on 8-6-09, and since that time, seven attorneys, two paralegals, one case assistant and one law clerk have billed over 519.7 hours to the case and its related actions on compensable pre-litigation and litigation activities. See Declaration of Jared C. Marshall. **The lodestar figure relevant to this motion is \$87,615.86.**

Here, the lodestar should be multiplied by a 1.2 modifier. DAK secured an extraordinary result for Petitioner over multiple actions in unusual circumstances. The result was accomplished while dealing with multiple parties, multiple properties, and an opposing party who frequently sought to evade service failed to appear at hearings, and failed to provide adequate discovery responses. Consequently, the fair market value of the services provided by DAK is in excess of what was actually billed. **The result is that Petitioner should recover \$105,203.03 from Forrest.**

Declaration of Jared C. Marshal (of DAK) states DAK initiated two actions on behalf of Petitioner: an unlawful detainer action and the Petition for Elder Abuse & Neglect, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, To Determine Validity or Effect of Document, For Accounting, For Surcharge, For Constructive Trust, For Conveyance or Transfer of Property, To Enforce No-Contest Provision, and To Declare Trust Portion Already Received. DAK secured the ejectment of Forrest and his son in the unlawful detainer action and \$104,407.43 in compensatory damages, \$75,000.00 in pain and suffering, and \$100,000.00 in the lawsuit on Petitioner's First Amended Verified Petition (attached). Over the course of both lawsuits, Forrest frequently sought to evade service, failed to appear at various hearings, failed to provide adequate discovery responses, and generally appeared to be attempting to make the litigation of Petitioner's claims as difficult and as time-consuming as possible. DAK expended time as follows:

SEE PAGE 3

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, January 25, 2012

2nd Additional Page

- William J. Keeler, Jr., a shareholder and officer of DAK, billed 10.9 hours @ \$330.00/hr, 0.3 hours @ 166.67/hr, 2.0 hours @ \$165.00/hr, 0.2 hours @ \$150.00/hr, 0.2 hours @ \$200.00/hr, 0.4 hours @ \$350.00/hr, and 14.6 hours free of charge, which time consisted primarily of case management, court appearances, client conferences, review of pleadings, and conferences with other attorneys
- Leigh W. Burnside, a shareholder of DAK billed 0.9 hours @ \$295.00/hr, 1.8 hours @ \$310.00/hr, 11.10 hours @ \$320.00/hr, and 0.2 hours free of charge, which time consisted primarily of case management, review and preparation of pleadings, and conferences with other attorneys
- Heather Mardel Jones, a former associate attorney of DAK, billed 35 hours @ \$225.00/hr, 2.0 hours @ \$216.00/hr, 2.5 hours @ \$120.00/hr, 67.2 hours @ \$250.00/hr, and 1.9 hours free of charge, which time consisted primarily of preparing motions and pleadings, legal research, appearing at hearings, conferences with client and opposing counsel, preparation and review of written discovery, and depositions
- Matthew T. Besmer, an associate attorney of DAK, billed 57.6 hours @ \$195.00/hr and 7.8 hours @ \$200.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of preparation of pleadings, legal research, interviews with witnesses, conferences with client, conferences with opposing counsel, and initiation of the unlawful detainer proceedings.
- Mara M. Erlach, an associate attorney of DAK, billed 0.4 hours @ \$200.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of conferences with other attorneys.
- Paul M. Parvanian, an associate attorney of DAK, billed 0.6 hours @ 185.00/hr and 17.2 hours @ \$200.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of initiating and litigating the unlawful detainer action
- Jared C. Marshall, an associate attorney of DAK, billed 65.6 hours @ \$150.00/hr and 71.2 hours @ 165.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of client conferences, legal research, trial preparation, conferences with other attorneys and attendance at trial.
- Terri M. Ellis, paralegal at DAK, billed 129.9 hours @ 125.00/hr and 9.2 hours @ 130.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of conducting discovery, investigation, client conferences, and assisting with trial preparation
- Linda M. Powell, paralegal at DAK, billed 1.9 hours @ \$120.00/hr, 0.1 hour at \$125.00/hr and 0.9 hours free of charge, which time consisted primarily of trial preparation, investigation, and assisting with all issues relating to administration of the trust, including the civil standby action by which trust property was recovered
- Chelsea R. Maclsaac, paralegal and formerly a case assistant, at DAK, billed 1.2 hours @ \$50.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of case assistance
- Joshua H. Escovedo, formerly a summer associate at DAK, billed 5.0 hours @ \$110.00/hr, which time consisted primarily of trial preparation and legal research

Memorandum of Costs (Summary) reflects a total of \$4,161.27 in costs as follows:

- \$955.00 in filing and motion fees
- \$735.95 in deposition costs
- \$2,330.32 in service of process costs
- \$140.00 "Other 14 CD's with property images requested by Randall Forrest"

Atty Des Roches, Charles, of Noland Hamerly Etienne & Hoss (of Salinas, for Norman Metzler)

Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for Its Settlement, (2) for Allowance of Compensation to Attorneys for Ordinary Services and (3) for Final Distribution

DOD: 5/9/2011		NORMAN METZLER , brother and Administrator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: Caption of the petition and the <i>Notice of Hearing</i> on the petition should reflect this petition is for final distribution on waiver of account, based upon Paragraph 11 of the petition stating the person entitled to distribution (the Petitioner) waives an account. CA Rules of Court 7.102 requires that the title of each pleading and of each proposed order clearly and completely identify the nature of all the relief sought or granted. Petitioner is the sole heir of the estate and notice of this petition as it has been given does not adversely affect or prevent this petition from proceeding as prayed. The proposed order is captioned correctly.
		I & A - \$767,327.72 POH - \$767,327.72 (all cash and bonds)	
Cont. from			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Administrator - waives	
✓	Verified		
✓	Inventory	Attorney (statutory) - \$18,346.55	
✓	PTC		
✓	Not.Cred.	Closing - \$10,000.00 (reserve for accountant's fees for final fiduciary tax returns, and miscellaneous closing expenses)	
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	Distribution pursuant to intestate succession is to: • NORMAN METZLER – 100% of the estate consisting of cash and savings bonds.	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters	Distribution pursuant to intestate succession is to: • NORMAN METZLER – 100% of the estate consisting of cash and savings bonds.	
	071911		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
✓	9202	Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 1/17/12 Updates: Recommendation: SUBMITTED File 6 - Metzler	
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
✓	FTB Notice		

Petition for Settlement of First and Final Account and Report of Trustee and Final Distribution and Termination of Trust [Probate Code 16063 and 17200 (b)(5)]

		PATRICIA E. MAHONEY , Trustee, is petitioner.	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 11/16/11. Minute order states Ms. Burnside advises the Court that she and Mr. Nahigian have been communicating well and exchanging settlement offers.</p> <p>1. Need current status of settlement negotiations.</p>
		Account period: 7/7/03 – 12/31/10	
		Accounting - \$2,753,171.11	
		Beginning POH - \$2,101,332.48	
		Ending POH - \$ 134,047.53	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified	Trustee - \$20,774.00 (already paid)	
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.	Attorney - \$52,354.77 (already paid)	
		Petitioner prays for an Order of this Court:	
✓	Notice of Hrg	1. Settling and allowing the First and Final Account as filed;	
✓	Aff.Mail	2. The administration of the Trust be brought to a close and the Trust be terminated;	
	Aff.Pub.	3. Ratifying, confirming, and approving all of the acts and transactions of Petitioner as Trustee;	
	Sp.Ntc.	4. Ratifying the payment of the accounting, legal, financial and other professional services described in the account;	
	Pers.Serv.	5. Ratifying the payment to Petitioner for her services as Trustee;	
	Conf. Screen	6. Ratifying the distributions that have been made to Susan L. Gratz; Barbara D. Eggbrouwer and Patricia E. Mahoney;	
	Letters	7. Ratifying the cash distributions made to Annelise Eggbrouwer, Lou Eggbrouwer, Eric Gratz, Rick Gratz, Lance Mahoney and Michael Mahoney and authorizing the distribution of the balance of the coins on hand;	
	Duties/Supp	8. Authorizing and directing Petitioner to reserve the balance of cash on hand after the payment of expenses for insurance, property taxes, electrical and other expenses related to Lazy B Ranch real property; that Michael Mahoney be designated as agent for payment of these expenses.	
✓	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
<i>Please see additional page</i>			
		Reviewed by: KT	
		Reviewed on: 1/17/12	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 7 - Beasley	

7 (additional page 1 of 2) Wilbur & Barbara Beasley Trust 8/24/01 (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00627

Objections to Petition for Settlement of First Account and Request for Removal of Trustee, Surcharge of Trustee and other Remedies filed by Barbara D. Beasley-Eggbrouwer and Susan L. Gratz on 11/1/10.

Objectors allege the Trustee breached her duty to administer the Trust according to the terms of the trust instrument and Objectors request that Patricia E. Mahoney be removed as trustee of the Trust, because of the following:

- a. The Trust required the Trustee to segregate and divide the trust estate into two trusts upon the death of Barbara J. Beasley on 7/7/03, and to hold and administer such trusts as separate trusts. The Trustee failed to make that segregation and division.
- b. As a result of the failure to divide the trust estate into two separate trusts, the Trustee did not determine what property should be included in Wilbur S. Beasley's estate for federal estate tax purposes and whether a federal estate tax return should have been filed for him.
- c. Objectors believe that the federal estate tax returns filed by the Trustee are inaccurate and fail to report all income and deductions of the trust estate.
- d. The Trustee failed to inventory home movies that are part of the Trust estate. Objectors believe that the Trustee has possession of the home movies. Objectors request that the Trustee make copies of all home movies and distribute one copy to Patricia E. Mahoney, Susan Gratz and Barbara Beasley-Eggbrouwer.
- e. Objectors object to the Trustee's proposal for distribution of the coin collection of the trust estate. Wilbur S. Beasley left instructions on how to divide and distribute the coin collection and the Trustee should follow those instructions.
- f. The Trustee included "baseball cards" in the inventory and has not yet distributed the baseball cards.

Objectors state the Trustee breached her fiduciary duty to keep trust property separate from other property not subject to the Trust. The Trustee included in trust administration life insurance proceeds, qualified retirement account proceeds, and mutual fund investments which either should have passed by beneficiary designation or have been subject to probate administration.

Objectors object to the proposed reserve of \$92,854.92 and transfer of the reserve amount to Michael Mahoney, as agent for Lazy B Ranch, which is not a trust asset. Objectors request the entire reserve amount be distributed in equal shares to Patricia E. Mahoney, Susan Gratz and Barbara Beasley-Eggbrouwer. Objectors believe the Trustee is willing to distribute the reserve as requested.

Please see additional page

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, January 25, 2012

The Objectors allege:

- a. The Trustee breached her fiduciary duty to administer the trust solely in the interest of the trust beneficiaries. Objectors believe that during the Trust administration, the Trustee and her husband, Lance Mahoney, were separated and getting a divorce. The Trustee allowed Lance Mahoney to live in the trust real property without paying rent. Objectors request the Trustee be surcharged for such breach, according to proof.
- b. The Trustee made a payment on 3/13/2007 of \$4,675 to Mahoney Financial, a financial service company owned by the Trustee's former spouse, for services that Mahoney Financial agreed to provide without charge. Objectors request that Trustee be surcharged for the improper payment, according to proof.

Objectors object to:

- a. Approving monthly payments of \$286.24 from 8/4/03 – 7/8/04, to GMAC Mortgage, totaling \$3,435.90. Objectors believe their parents were not indebted to GMAC Mortgage. Objectors request that the Trustee be surcharged for the improper payments to GMAC, according to proof.
- b. The approval of Trustee's fees of \$20,744.00 because the Trustee has not demonstrated that such amount is reasonable compensation for services. Objectors request that the Trustee be surcharged for the improper payment of trustee compensation, according to proof.
- c. Approving the following expenditures and that the Trustee be surcharged according to proof unless the Trustee can demonstrate that the following expenditures were reasonable and were either (1) properly incurred in the administration of the Trust (2) if not properly incurred, benefitted the Trust;
 - 1) Payment to Harvey Armas, CPA in the total amount of \$7,300.00;
 - 2) Payments to Baker, Manock & Jensen as attorneys for the Trustee in the total amount of \$4,325.75;
 - 3) Payments to Dowling, Aaron & Keeler as attorneys for the Trustee in the total amount of \$52,354.77.

Wherefore Objectors Pray for an order of this Court that:

1. Patricia E. Mahoney be removed as Trustee of the Trust;
2. Copies of home movies be made and distributed to Patricia E. Mahoney, Susan Gratz and Barbara Beasley-Eggbrouwer;
3. The coin collection be distributed according to instructions left by Wilbur W. Beasley;
4. Payment of compensation to the Trustee described in the account be denied;
5. Patricia E. Mahoney be surcharged according to proof;
6. The Trustee be directed to distribute the amounts received from the surcharge and the proposed cash reserve of \$92,854.92 in equal shares to Patricia E. Mahoney, Susan Gratz and Barbara Beasley-Eggbrouwer.

Atty Simonian, Jeffrey D., of Penner Bradley & Simonian (for Petitioner Beverly L. Boline)

Spousal or Domestic Partner Property Petition (Prob. C. 13650)

DOD: 4/9/2011	BEVERLY L. BOLINE , surviving spouse, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	No other proceedings.	
	Will dated 2/14/2001 devises:	
Cont. from	Article Third: His interest in all personal property to his spouse , the Petitioner.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory	Article Fourth:	
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC	(A) His interest in real property APN 030-111-18 to his daughters SHARON M. STRUSZ and DEBORAH E. BERINGER , and	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.	(B) The residue of the estate to his spouse , the Petitioner.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg	Petitioner states:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decedent and Petitioner were married on 5/17/1975 in Fresno, and were married at the time of Decedent's death, residing in California; Decedent died testate leaving his Will dated 2/14/2001 which leaves all of Decedent's interest in personal property and estate residue to her if she survives him for 60 days; Paragraph A of Decedent's Will provides for the distribution certain real property to Decedent's daughters, and said real property is not part of this Petition as it is subject to a separate <i>Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property</i>; Paragraph B of Decedent's Will provides for the distribution of the rest, residue and remainder of Decedent's estate to her if she survives him for 60 days. She has satisfied the 60 day survivorship condition in Decedent's Will and she is entitled to receive the real and personal property described in the Attachments to this <i>Petition</i> that was held by Decedent at the date of Decedent's death. 	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order	Petitioner requests:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Court determination that (a) Decedent's 17.18% interest in real property located at 42241 Elderberry Lane, Shaver Lake, APN 120-211-13; and (b) Decedent's ½ interest in all personal property passes to her; and Court confirmation that Decedent's ½ interest in all personal property held by Decedent and Petitioner at the date of Decedent's death belongs to her. 	
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 1/17/12
		Updates:
		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
		File 8 - Boline

Status of Sale Confirmation

	<p>ANTONETTE FREGOSO, Daughter, was appointed Administrator with full IAEA without bond and Letters issued on 6-15-04.</p> <p>Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 8-13-04 reflects a total estate value of \$264,250.00 as of the date of death (1-26-04), which included a small amount of cash from the register of a restaurant (Decedent's one-half interest in the business), a vehicle, personal property (furniture and furnishings), a residence valued at \$145,000.00, and an undivided one-half interest in a business and real property valued at \$112,500.00.</p> <p>Inventory and Appraisal ("Reappraisal Final Dist") filed 1-3-11 reflects revised values for the real properties as follows: residence \$90,000.00; undivided one-half interest in business and real property \$70,000.00 (total: \$160,000.00).</p> <p>Minute Order 11-10-10 (Status hearing re Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution): Atty LeVan represents to the court that EDD has a claim against the estate, that the estate is now insolvent, and that Steven Diebert has destroyed his files related to this matter. Ms. LeVan is waiting for the new Inventory and Appraisal to send to EDD to see if they will drop their claim or choose to foreclose. The court orders Ms. LeVan to file a written status report by 1-12-11 regarding these representations and further orders her to communicate with Don McKinney of EDD and advise him that the court wants to know their position for the 1-12-10 report. Matter continued to 1-19-11.</p> <p>Minute Order 1-19-11 (Status hearing re Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution): Counsel advises the court that they are waiting for financing and the sale to close. Matter set for Status of Sale Confirmation on 3-16-11.</p> <p>Minute Order 3-16-11: Counsel advises the Court that an offer was finally received. Counsel requests a continuance.</p> <p>Status Report filed 8-26-11 states the property will be sold for \$125,000.00 as soon as a release is received from the Labor Dept.</p> <p>Notice of Proposed Action filed 9-22-11 attaches an estimated closing statement for the sale of one of the properties.</p> <p>Minute Order 11-2-11: Ms. LeVan informs the Court that she received a fax from the title officer indicating that the appraisal was done and all documents would be signed by 11-15-11. The Court continued the matter to 1-25-12.</p> <p>As of 1-17-12, no final account/petition for distribution has been filed.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Minute Order 1-19-11: Counsel advises the court that they are waiting for financing and the sale to close. Hearing was set on 3-16-11 for status of sale confirmation.</p> <p>Minute Order 3-16-11: Counsel advises the Court that an offer was finally received. Counsel requests a continuance.</p> <p>Minute Order 5-4-11: Counsel advises the Court that they are making progress, but will need a continuance.</p> <p>Minute Order 7-6-11: Counsel advises the Court that progress is being made and the EDD matter should be resolved very soon.</p> <p>Minute Order 8-31-11: Counsel advises the Court that papers are being signed today and escrow will be closing in 10 days. Matter continued to 11-2-11.</p> <p>Minute Order 11-2-11: Ms. LeVan informs the Court that she received a fax from the title officer indicating that the appraisal was done and all documents would be signed by 11-15-11. The Court continued the matter to 1-25-12.</p> <p>As of 1-17-12, a final account has not been filed. The following issue remains from prior hearings:</p> <p>Note: Examiner was previously unable to tell from the prior status report <u>which</u> property was in negotiations. The Status report filed 1-11-11 stated that the property value is \$160,000.00 and an offer received was \$150,000.00; however, I&A filed 1-3-11 indicates that the <u>two</u> properties (residence and ½ interest in business property) have a <u>combined</u> total value of \$160,000.00.</p> <p>Status report filed 8-26-11 and Notice of Proposed Action filed 9-22-11 indicate that <u>only the ½ interest in the business property is subject to the sale.</u></p> <p>The following issue remains:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need final account/petition for distribution. <p>Updates:</p> <p>Contacts: Reviewed 10-27-11</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>File 9 - Canales</p>
DOD: 1-26-04		
Cont. from 090210, 110410, 111010, 011911, 031611, 050411, 070611, 083111, 110211		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt	X	
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

Probate Status Hearing Re: Termination of Proceeding for Deceased Conservatee
 (Prob. C. § 1860, et seq.)

DOD: 1/2011	<p>ROSE MARY TORRES is conservator of the person and estate.</p> <p>This status hearing was set for the filing of termination of proceedings for deceased conservatee.</p> <p>Status Report filed on 9/9/2011 states the conservatee passed away in January, 2011. The Conservator states that just after her mother’s funeral she had surgery and was unable to function for approximately 4 months. Now she is looking at another surgery. Conservator states she met with her attorney on 9/3/11 and now that the information has been delivered to her attorney she will be able to prepare the accounting. Conservator requests the status hearing be continued for an additional 45 days.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>OFF CALENDAR. Status Hearing vacated per minute order of 1/17/12 and rescheduled for 2/28/12.</p>
Cont. from 091911, 110311		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		<p>Reviewed by: KT</p> <p>Reviewed on: 1/17/12</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 10 - Rodriguez</p>

Age: 16 years DOB: 6/2/1995	MARY MORALES, maternal grandmother, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Please see page 10B Mary Morales's Petition to be appointed as Guardian.
	ESPIRIDION FLORES, maternal uncle and VIRGINIA FLORES, maternal aunt, were appointed guardians of the person and estate on 9/17/09. All funds were ordered into a blocked account. – both Guardians consent and waive notice.	1. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice of Hearing or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence</i> on: a. Peter Garcia (father) – if court does not dispense with notice. b. Paternal grandparents
Cont. from	Father: PETER GARCIA – declaration of due diligence filed on 11/22/11.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Mother: SUSAN MORALES – deceased.	Court Investigator Dina Calvillo to provide: 1. Court Investigator's Report.
✓ Verified	Paternal grandparents: Unknown Maternal grandfather: Deceased	
Inventory	Minor: Gerald Ray Morales – consents and waives notice.	
PTC	Petitioner states the child wishes to reside with his maternal grandmother. The guardians agree and wish the maternal grandmother be guardians of the person and estate.	
Not.Cred.		
✓ Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail X		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		Reviewed by: KT
Aff. Posting		Reviewed on: 1/18/12
Status Rpt		Updates:
UCCJEA		Recommendation:
Citation		File 11A - Morales
FTB Notice		

Atty Flores, Virginia Marie (pro per Guardian/maternal aunt)

Atty Flores, Espiridion (pro per Guardian/maternal Uncle)

Atty Morales, Mary (pro per Petitioner/maternal grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 16 years DOB: 6/2/1995	MARY MORALES, maternal grandmother, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 2. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice of Hearing or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence</i> on: c. Peter Garcia (father) – if court does not dispense with notice. d. Paternal grandparents 3. Pursuant to the court file it appears that the guardianship estate has \$42,199.72 in a blocked account and that the minor receives additional sums deposited into the blocked account monthly. Court may require more information as to the actual amount currently in the blocked account and the amount deposited monthly.
	ESPIRIDION FLORES, maternal uncle and VIRGINIA FLORES, maternal aunt, were appointed guardians of the person and estate on 9/17/09. All funds were ordered into a blocked account. – both Guardians consent and waive notice.	
Cont. from	Estimated value of the estate: \$500.00	Court Investigator Dina Calvillo to provide: 1. Court Investigator’s Report. 2. Clearances
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Father: PETER GARCIA – declaration of due diligence filed on 11/22/11.	
✓ Verified	Mother: SUSAN MORALES – deceased.	Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 1/18/12 Updates: Recommendation: File 11B – Morales
Inventory	Paternal grandparents: Unknown Maternal grandfather: Deceased	
PTC	Minor: Gerald Ray Morales – consents and waives notice.	
Not.Cred.	Petitioner states the minor is currently under guardianship. All parties involved are in agreement that the Mary Morales be appointed as successor guardian.	
✓ Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
✓ Conf. Screen		
✓ Letters		
✓ Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
✓ UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

DOD: 7/11/10	<p>JONATHAN BEYER was appointed Administrator with full IAEA without bond on 9/15/10.</p> <p>Inventory and Appraisal filed 2/28/11 reflects a total estate value of \$353,473.00, including \$67,773.00 cash, real properties, a vehicle, furnishings and personal effects, and a sole proprietorship (“Boxcar Cafe”).</p> <p>On 9/15/11, the court set a status hearing for filing of the first account or petition for final distribution.</p> <p>Minute Order from 1/9/2011 states there were no appearances at the status hearing. The court set the matter for an Order to Show Cause and ordered Jonathan Beyer to be present on 1/25/10 and have the first account filed.</p> <p>A copy of the Minute Order was mailed to Jonathan Beyer on 11/18/11.</p>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
	<p>Reviewed by: KT</p> <p>Reviewed on: 1/18/12</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 12 - Beyer</p>	

Petition for Termination of Guardianship

Elijah age: 7 years DOB: 5/7/04		<p>ELIZABETH VASQUEZ, mother, is petitioner.</p> <p>ABRAHAM ELIZONDO and LISA ELIZONDO, maternal grandparents, and REBECCA ELIZONDO, maternal aunt, were appointed co-guardians on 9/7/11.</p> <p>Father: MICHAEL JAY VASQUEZ</p> <p>Paternal grandfather: Unknown Paternal grandmother: Yvette Martinez</p> <p>Petitioner states she is physically and mentally capable of taking care of her children.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i>. 2. 3. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice of Hearing</i> on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Abraham Elizondo (guardian/maternal grandfather) b. Lisa Elizondo (guardian/maternal grandmother) c. Rebecca Elizondo (guardian/maternal aunt) d. Michael Jay Vasquez (father) e. Yvette Martinez (paternal grandmother) <p>Court Investigator Samantha Henson to provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Court Investigator's Report
Elaina age: 5 years DOB: 5/14/06			
Cont. from			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓ Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg	X		
Aff.Mail	X		
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: KT	
		Reviewed on: 1/17/12	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 13 - Vasquez	

DOD: 9/4/1995	<p>CARL ALBERT THOMPSON, son, is petitioner.</p> <p>40 days since DOD.</p> <p>No other proceedings.</p> <p>Will dated: ??</p> <p>I & A - \$75,000.00</p> <p>Petitioner requests Court determination that decedent's interest in real property and personal property pass to him pursuant to decedent's Will.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>Continued from 11/29/11.</p> <p>Minute order dated 1/18/11 states the petitioner informs the court that he has a copy of the examiner notes. The petitioner is directed to cure the defects. Upon inquiry by the Court, the Petitioner advises the Court that there is a predeceased child. As of 1/17/12 the following issues remain:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> #14 of the petition does not include the name and date of death of the deceased spouse. Local Rule 7.1.1D. Petition states the decedent died testate. A copy of the decedent's will was not attached to the petition as required. Inventory and appraisal was not completed by the probate referee as required.
Cont. from 101811, 112911		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Aff.Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 1/17/12
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 14 - Thompson

Atty Bailey, Christina (pro per Petitioner/mother)

Atty Bailey, Les (pro per Petitioner/step-father)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

Age: 18 years DOB: 12/21/1993	<p>THERE IS NO TEMPORARY. No Temporary was requested.</p> <p>CHRISTINA BAILEY, mother, and LES BAILEY, step-father, are petitioners.</p>	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		<p><u>Continued to 2/12/12</u> at the request of the Petitioners.</p>
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/18/12
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 15 - Stoneburner

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardianship of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

Age: 12 years DOB: 7/11/1999	<p align="center"><u>GENERAL HEARING 3/12/12</u></p> <p>LINDA HICKSON, maternal grandmother, is petitioner.</p> <p>Father: JOHN McCORVEY</p> <p>Mother: LATONDA COMPANGO</p> <p>Paternal grandfather: Not listed Paternal grandmother: Not listed Maternal grandfather: Curtis Harper</p> <p>Petitioner states mom has started using drugs and stealing. Mom is in a domestic violence relationship and Petitioner fears for the safety of the minor.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Petitioner's fee waiver was denied. A filing fee of \$225.00 is now due. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i>. Need proof of personal service of the <i>Notice of Hearing</i> along with a copy of the <i>Petition</i> or <i>Consent and Waiver of Notice</i> or <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> John McCorvey (father) LaTonda Compango (mother) Destyni McCorvey (minor age 12) <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p>Pursuant to the UCCJEA the minor lives with her mother in Fresno.</p> <p>Petitioner is a resident of Los Banos.</p>	
Cont. from			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓ Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			X
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			X
✓ Conf. Screen			
✓ Letters			
✓ Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
✓ UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
		Reviewed by: KT	
		Reviewed on: 1/18/12	
		Updates:	
		Recommendation:	
		File 16 - McCorvey	

Age: 3 months DOB: 10/16/2011	<p align="center"><u>GENERAL HEARING 3/12/2012</u></p> <p>MARY ANN LINDSEY, paternal grandmother, is petitioner.</p> <p>Father: JOHNATHAN LINDSEY</p> <p>Mother: CRISTAL PEREZ – <i>consents and waives notice.</i></p> <p>Paternal grandfather: Frank Lindsey Maternal grandparents: not listed.</p> <p>Petitioner states she needs a temporary guardianship so that she can take the minor to the doctor for his check-ups and infant care. Mom is on drugs and is not able to care for the minor.</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Petition contains conflicting information. Petitioner lists herself and a non-relative friend and also as the paternal grandmother. Petition also lists Johanthan Lindsey as the father but the a Declaration of Due Diligence filed on 1/12/12 lists the father as unknown. Need clarification of Petitioner’s relationship to the minor and of the identity of the minor’s father. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i>. Need proof of personal service of the <i>Notice of Hearing</i> along with a copy of the <i>Petition</i> or <i>Consent and Waiver of Notice</i> or <i>Declaration of Due Diligence</i> on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Johnathan Lindsey (if he is the father) <i>or</i> Unknown father – <i>unless the court dispenses with notice.</i> UCCJEA is incomplete. It must state the dates, address and with whom the child has resided since birth. 	
Cont. from			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓ Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			X
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			X
✓ Conf. Screen			
✓ Letters			
✓ Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
✓ UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
Reviewed by: KT			
Reviewed on: 1/18/12			
Updates:			
Recommendation:			
File 17 - Perez			