

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 5/29/2000		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  <b><u>OFF CALENDAR.</u></b> Inventory and appraisal filed on 1/2/13.
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 1 - Landresse

Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)  
 Atty Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)  
 Atty J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)  
 Atty Thornton, Douglas V., of Perkins Mann & Everett (for Objector Julie Banks)

## Status Hearing

Age: 90 years	<p><b>PUBLIC GUARDIAN</b>, Conservator of the Person and Estate appointed 11/5/2008, Petitioned for relief from Respondent, <b>VIRGINIA "GINGER" GREGGAINS</b>, daughter, for breach of fiduciary duty, for conversion of personal property, and for elder abuse, and requested an accounting and payment of damages.</p> <p><b>Minute Order dated 10/16/2012</b> from a Status Conference in this case states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mr. Thornton informs the Court that his client [<b>JULIE BANKS</b>, granddaughter] is objecting to the settlement terms "dismissal with prejudice" as well as the scope of the release; Mr. Thornton requests the matter be set for trial with regards to the settlement agreement;</li> <li>The Court sets a Settlement Conference on <b>11/27/2012</b>; parties are directed to submit their settlement conference statements along with a courtesy copy for the Court by <b>11/20/2012</b>;</li> <li>The matter is set for Trial on <b>12/4/2012</b> with a one hour estimate; Mr. Thornton waives the 30-day rule.</li> </ul> <p><b>Stipulation to Continue Trial Date was filed 11/6/2012</b>, in which the attorneys stipulated to change the existing trial date to <b>1/9/2013</b>, and stipulated continuance of all discovery deadlines in accordance with the new trial date.</p> <p><b>Order Continuing Trial Date signed 11/7/2012</b> [Judge Orozco] finds the 12/4/2012 trial date is vacated, that the Court resets the trial for <b>1/9/2013 at 1:30 p.m. in Dept. 303</b>, and that all applicable discovery and other trial deadlines are based on the new trial date.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Continued from 12/14/2012.</b> Minute Order states counsel informs the Court that they are working on a resolution. Counsel requests that the 1/9/2013 trial date be vacated. Court grants the request. Matter continued to 1/11/2013.</p> <p><b>Page 2B</b> is Status Re: Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty; and (2) for an Accounting and (3) for Conversion of Personal Property and (4) for Elder Abuse and (5) for Damages filed 9/2/2011 by Public Guardian.</p> <p><b>Page 2C</b> is Second Account Current and Report of Conservator, etc. filed 2/14/2012 by Public Guardian.</p> <p><b>Page 2D</b> is Petition for Confirmation of Actions of Attorney-in-Fact, Response to Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, for an Accounting, etc., and Objection to Second Account of Conservator filed 3/26/2012 by Virginia Greggains.</p> <p><b>Page 2E</b> is the Petition Requesting Approval of Settlement Agreement in the Matter of: Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, etc. filed 8/14/2012 by Public Guardian.</p>
Cont. from 021612, 041712, 050912, 071112, 081512, 092612, 101612, 112712, 121412		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Summons		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
Reviewed by: LEG		
Reviewed on: 1/7/13		
Updates:		
Recommendation:		
File 2A - Fly		

Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)  
 Atty Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)  
 Atty J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)  
 Atty Thornton, Douglas V., of Perkins Mann & Everett (for Objector Julie Banks)

Status Re: (1) Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty; and (2) for an Accounting and (3) for Conversion of Personal Property and (4) for Elder Abuse and (5) for Damages

Age: 90 years	<p><b>PUBLIC GUARDIAN</b>, Conservator of the Person and Estate appointed 11/5/2008, is Petitioner.</p> <p><b>Petitioner states:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Conservatee was at all relevant times a dependent adult under the care, custody and control of Respondent, <b>VIRGINIA "GINGER" GREGGAINS</b>, daughter;</li> <li>The Conservatee was not competent or capable of handling her personal finances or financial affairs and was entirely dependent upon Respondent to do so for her;</li> <li>Respondent had a confidential relationship with the Conservatee and her husband, <b>ELMER FLY</b> (DOD 11/8/2008), as their child;</li> <li>Petitioner alleges Respondent was responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged herein and the damages proximately caused thereby;</li> <li>Elmer and the Conservatee executed a <b>DECLARATION OF TRUST, ELMER V. AND JULIA B. FLY</b>, naming Respondent as Successor Trustee; the Trust was amended several times, the latest being the <i>Third Amendment</i> dated 3/24/2008 (copy of Restated Trust and two subsequent amendments attached as Exhibits A, A-1 and A-2); Petitioner has no knowledge if there was an intervening amendment between the <i>Restatement of Trust</i> dated 9/25/2000 and the <i>Second Amendment</i> dated 2/7/2008;</li> </ul> <p><b>~Please see additional page~</b></p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Continued from 12/14/2012.</u></p>	
Cont. from 021612, 041712, 050912, 071112, 081512, 092612, 101612, 112712, 121412			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail			W/
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Summons			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.			W/
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.			W/
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
Order			X
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p>File 2B - Fly</p>	

**Petitioner states, continued:**

- Respondent has been the sole Successor Trustee of the Trust since December of 2007 or early 2008, and she acted in several matters on behalf of the Conservatee and Elmer using General Durable Powers of Attorney (*copy attached as Exhibit B*);
- Petitioner possesses certain transactional documents and forms which Respondent signed in her capacity as "power of attorney in fact" in which she indicated she held the power for both Conservatee and Elmer, and these transactional documents conclusively establish that Respondent was acting in a fiduciary capacity for Conservatee;
- Petitioner possesses copies of a number of checks written on an account in the names of Conservatee, Elmer, and Virginia "Ginger" Greggains (Respondent); the transactions in this account are the prime source of a number of questionable expenditures made by Respondent from Conservatee's funds; the address on the checks is the personal residence of Respondent; a number of checks were written, signed and made payable to Respondent as well as to Respondent's husband, **STEPHEN ROY GREGGAINS**, each in the amount of **\$5,000.00**;
- In early 2008, Respondent contacted **SOUTAS & ASSOCIATES**, a firm engaged in Medi-Cal planning services, and in connection with the consultation, Respondent agreed to purchase an annuity on behalf of Conservatee and signed an application for an annuity with OM Financial Life Insurance on 5/29/2009 of **\$159,983.79**;
- On the annuity application, Respondent stated Conservatee held cash and investment accounts valued at **\$357,000.00**; the application contains handwritten entries detailing **\$82,000** in "Investment Experience and Holdings," **\$200,000** in "Money Market" accounts, and **\$75,000** in "Other Mutual Funds" accounts;
- As of 9/12/2008, the date of **PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S** appointment as temporary conservator, Respondent surrendered **~\$231,000.00** in accounts, and it appears that **\$120,000.00** in cash and investments accounts asserted to have existed by Respondent in May 2008 is missing;
- **Respondent should be ordered to account for all of the cash and investment accounts held by Conservatee from 12/1/2007 to the date Respondent surrendered the assets in her possession to the Public Guardian;**
- Respondent arranged for the removal and disposition of jewelry, motor vehicles, household furniture and furnishings, and several personal property items belonging to the Conservatee contained in her personal residence, in anticipation of the sale of the residence by Respondent; Petitioner alleges Respondent personally took and/or made gifts to family members of a number of the items from the residence, she sold some of the personal property at several yard sales, and she did not account to the principals for any of the proceeds or disposition of the items;
- Petitioner alleges that Respondent made gifts of motor vehicles that belonged to the Conservatee to family members without consideration; she removed and disposed of a number of plants growing on the residential property of Conservatee which are believed at the time to be worth thousands of dollars; she used funds belonging to the Conservatee to purchase and make improvements on her own residence, to make the down payment on a personal vehicle for herself, and to pay off a personal loan that she and her husband owned on a travel trailer;
- The Conservatee's financial status at present is tenuous at best; her annuity payments and monthly income are sufficient to fund her care for ~2 years; Petitioner has been unable to modify the annuity payments from the original terms to allow monthly payments, which combined with her income would sustain payments of **\$5,625.00 per month** to her residential facility; it is anticipated that additional funds will be necessary to sustain the Conservatee in her present environs.

**~Please see additional page~**

Petitioner states, continued:Causes of Action:

1. **Breach of Fiduciary Duty:** Respondent as Successor Trustee owed a fiduciary duty to the Petitioner and Respondent had a duty to exercise the utmost care, integrity, honesty and loyalty in her dealings with Conservatee's property in her capacity as attorney in fact for Conservatee or in her capacity as trustee of Conservatee's Trust; in breach of her fiduciary duty, Respondent deposited Trust funds into her own personal account; she took Conservatee's funds and used them for her own benefit, she took possession of Conservatee's personal property and the proceeds from sale of such property and converted those funds to her own use; the actions of Respondent accrued to the detriment of the Conservatee; Respondent know or should have known that her acts would accrue to the detriment of the Conservatee and that she did all of these acts in patent "bad faith" with the intent of depriving the Conservatee of her property without good and sufficient consideration and in violation of her duty to the Conservatee;
  - Respondent has not rendered an account of her administration of the personal property and funds of Conservatee as required by law, and has not accounted to Conservatee or her legal representative for her actions as to the Conservatee's assets and their disposition; she has provided inaccurate and incomplete information regarding the assets taken for her benefit; **Petitioner requests the Court order Respondent to render a verified detailed account of her handling of the financial and personal affairs of the Conservatee from 12/1/2007 to the present and to serve that account to Petitioner within 90 days of the hearing.**
  - Respondent owed the Conservatee a duty to act in scrupulous good faith and absolute candor; Respondent breached her fiduciary duty to the Conservatee by failing to preserve the Conservatee's property, failing to deal impartially with the Conservatee's assets, failing to administer the affairs in Conservatee's best interest, failing to keep the Conservatee and her representatives reasonably informed, failing to keep Conservatee's property separate from her own property, converting Conservatee's property to her own use and enjoyment, and failing to maintain cash held on behalf of Conservatee in interest bearing accounts; Respondent should be ordered to respond in damages for each and every breach of fiduciary duty, wrongful act and/or both as provided for in the law;
  - Respondent's acts in this matter constitute breach of fiduciary duty, as she engaged in self-dealing, she breached her duties of loyalty and impartiality, and all acts of Respondent alleged herein were patently unfair and prejudicial to the interest of the Conservatee and her estate; Respondent failed to observe the directions and intent of the Settlers as expressed in their Trust, and all acts of Respondent in regards to the Trust were done "in bad faith" with intent to deprive Conservatee of property to which she is rightfully entitled and constitute breach of trust; **Petitioner alleges Conservatee is entitled to damages with interest as provided in the Code, or in the alternative that Respondent be ordered to pay damages in an amount equal to double the value of all property taken, concealed and/or disposed of by Respondent in bad faith according to proof.**
  - Petitioner alleges Respondent did all of the acts alleged with the intent to deprive the Conservatee of her property while she held a fiduciary relationship with Conservatee, and that any and all actions of Respondent were in violation of her fiduciary duty and **should be adjudged voided and set aside, and the assets or the value of the assets should be ordered returned and any loss incurred should be surcharged against Respondent.**

*~Please see additional page~*

**Causes of Action, continued:**

2. **Conversion:** The acts of Respondent as set forth constitute conversion of the Conservatee's property; Respondent without legal claim, privilege or right seized and disposed of the tangible personal property of the Conservatee, the Conservatee and/or her estate sustained damages thereby equal to the value of the property at the time it was converted by Respondent; **Respondent should be ordered to respond in damages proximately caused by her actions.**
  
3. **Abuse of an Elderly Person:** For a time period to be proven at trial, but no later than December 2007, the Conservatee was elderly, suffering from diminished mental capacity and was easily subjected to be taken advantage of by designing persons such as Respondents; with knowledge of this, each Respondent schemed to take advantage of the Conservatee and intended to cheat her out of her interest in the property; in furtherance of said scheme, which each Respondent concealed from the Conservatee, each Respondent exercised complete dominion and control over the Conservatee's assets and gained knowledge of her assets and property; the conduct of each Respondent resulted in the deprivation of Conservatee's assets which are necessary for her care and ongoing maintenance;
  - The conduct of each Respondent constitutes financial abuse under Welfare & Inst. Code § 15657 as defined in § 15610.30; each Respondent is guilty of recklessness, oppression, and fraud, and acted with malice against the Conservatee in the commission of the abuse; the conduct of each Respondent was in no way for the benefit of Conservatee and was willful and wanton, and was intended to cause injury to her; **the Conservatee is entitled to an award of exemplary or punitive damages;**
  - **Under Welfare & Inst. Code § 15657(a), each Respondent is liable to the Conservatee for reasonable attorney fees and costs, including reasonable fees for the services of the Public Guardian, as Conservator of her Estate, and their attorney for his services provided to litigate this claim necessitated by conduct of each Respondent.**
  
4. **Constructive Trust:** Respondent Greggains and the unnamed Respondents each have wrongfully taken, transferred, concealed and otherwise deprived the Conservatee of funds and/or personal property which rightfully belongs to her, and they therefore have become the involuntary trustees of said property for the benefit of the Conservatee; **Respondents should be ordered to surrender and deliver said property to the Conservatee and/or the Petitioner, her legal representative.**

**Petitioner prays the Court Order:**

1. Respondent must render a detailed and correct account for all property held and administered by her, either as Trustee and/or as agent under her power of attorney within 90 days of the date of the initial hearing, for the period from 12/1/2007 to the date she surrendered the Conservatee's funds and property to the Public Guardian;
2. Respondent must respond in damages for all property taken and/or wrongfully appropriated by her, or for funds and/or property that is missing or unaccounted for, together with interest at the legal rate per annum, from the date of the breach of trust and/or fiduciary obligation;
3. Respondent must respond in damages together with interest at the legal rate per annum from the date of breach of trust and/or fiduciary obligation;
4. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents must respond in exemplary damages for their outrageous, reckless, oppressive, fraudulent and malicious conduct in this matter;

***~Please see additional page~***

**Petitioner prays the Court Order, continued:**

5. In the alternative, Respondents must respond in damages in an amount equal to twice the value of property taken, concealed and/or disposed of by her "in bad faith;"
6. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents must respond in actual damages caused to the Conservatee by their conversion of her tangible personal property;
7. Respondent and the unnamed Respondents are found guilty of elder abuse and are assessed all the damages afforded the Conservatee under the law, including actual damages, exemplary damages, and attorney fees and costs;
8. A Constructive Trust is imposed on all assets taken by the Respondent and the unnamed Respondents for any property and sums the Court determines are rightfully due the Conservatee for their wrongful conduct; and
9. Attorney's fees and costs of suit are awarded as provided for in the law.

Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)  
 Atty Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)  
 Atty J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)  
 Atty Thornton, Douglas V., of Perkins Mann & Everett (for Objector Julie Banks)

(1) Second Account Current and Report of Conservator and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorneys (Prob. C. 2620, 2623, 2640, 2942)

<b>Age: 90 years</b>	<b>PUBLIC GUARDIAN</b> , Conservator of the Person and Estate appointed 11/5/2008, is Petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Account period: 1/20/2010 – 1/19/2012	<u>Continued from 12/14/2012.</u>
<b>Cont. from 032712, 041712, 050912, 071112, 081512, 92612, 101612, 112712, 121412</b>	Accounting - <b>\$313,100.83</b> Beginning POH - <b>\$287,627.99</b> Ending POH - <b>\$140,331.40</b> (\$128,831.40 is cash)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>	Conservator - <b>\$3,660.40</b> (26.95 Deputy hours @ \$96/hr and 14.20 Staff hours @ \$76/hr)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>	Attorney (County Counsel)- <b>\$690.00</b> (4.6 hours @ \$150/her)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b> W/	Attorney (Motsenbocker) - <b>\$6,863.83</b> (25.50 hours @ \$250/hr plus filing fee of \$395.00 and Fed Ex copies of \$93.83)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>	Bond fee - <b>\$1,510.50</b> (o.k.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>	<b>Petitioner prays for an Order:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>	1. Approving, allowing and settling the Second Account and Report of Conservator;	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>	2. Authorizing conservator's compensation;	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>	3. Authorizing payment of attorney fees;	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>	4. Authorizing payment of the bond fee.	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		<b>Reviewed by: KT / LEG</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		<b>Reviewed on: 1/7/13</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		<b>Updates:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		<b>Recommendation:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		<b>File 2C - Fly</b>

Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)  
 Atty Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)  
 Atty J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)  
 Atty Thornton, Douglas V., of Perkins Mann & Everett (for Objector Julie Banks)

**Petition for Confirmation of Actions of Attorney-in-Fact, Response to Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, for an Accounting, Etc., and Objection to Second Account of Conservator [Prob. C. 4541 et seq.; 1720 et seq; 850 et seq.; 16440(b) et seq; W & I Code 15657.5]**

<b>Age:</b> 90 years	<b>VIRGINIA GREGGAINS (aka "GINGER")</b> , daughter, is Respondent.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
<b>Cont. from 050912, 071112, 081512, 092612, 101612, 112712, 121812</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>	X	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		
	<p><b>Respondent states:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• She is the only child of Elmer and Julia Fly; prior to Elmer's death on 11/8/2008, he was under a conservatorship with the <b>PUBLIC GUARDIAN</b> as Conservator (Case 08CEPR00829), and those proceedings were concluded in 2010;</li> <li>• Julia continues under conservatorship with the Public Guardian as Conservator of her person and estate;</li> <li>• Elmer and Julia entered into a trust designated as the <b>ELMER V. AND JULIA B. FLY TRUST</b>, in which they declared they held various assets as Trustees;</li> <li>• On 9/25/2000, Elmer and Julia executed an <b>AMENDED AND RESTATED DECLARATION</b> of the Trust (copy attached as Exhibit A);</li> <li>• On 2/7/2008, Julia as Trustor and Trustee signed a purported "Second Amendment" to the Trust (copy attached as Exhibit A-1);</li> <li>• On 3/24/2008, Attorney <b>MELISSA WEBB</b> with [Dowling Aaron], which firm drafted the Flys' restated Trust, met with Julia and at or following that meeting Julia individually and as attorney-in-fact for Elmer executed a "Third" Amendment to the Trust (copy attached as Exhibit A-2);</li> <li>• The Third Amendment reversed the dispositive provisions of the Second Amendment and remains the most recent amendment to the Trust;</li> </ul> <p align="center">~Please see additional page~</p>	<p><u>Continued from 12/14/2012.</u></p> <hr/> <p><b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG/KT/LEG</p> <hr/> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13</p> <hr/> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>File 2D – Fly</b></p>

**Respondent states, continued:**

- Per the Restated Trust as amended by the Third Amendment, all assets are held in trust for the benefit of Elmer and Julia during their lifetimes and during the lifetime of the survivor; following Julia's death, the assets are to be distributed  $\frac{1}{2}$  to **Ginger** and  $\frac{1}{2}$  to the **Settlor's three grandchildren, ERIC (RICK) GREGGAINS, JULIE BANKS and TINA COX in equal shares;**
- Trust provides that on failure of the initial Trustees Elmer and Julia to act as Trustees, Ginger was to act as Successor Trustee, and the original Trust named **JULIE BANKS**, granddaughter, as an alternate, while the Third Amendment named Ginger's spouse, **STEPHEN ROY GREGGAINS** (Roy) as alternate successor trustee to Ginger;
- On 3/21/2008, Julia also executed a General Durable Power of Attorney (POA) (*copy attached as Exhibit B*), which designated Ginger as attorney-in-fact and Stephen as alternate agent;
- In December 2007, Elmer suffered a massive stroke and heart attack, and was moved several times to different care facilities due to his becoming violent and disruptive, and after a second heart attack, he was moved on 3/5/3008 to Alzheimer's Living Center at Elim ("Elim");
- In June 2008, after Julia's condition declined and was taken by ambulance several times to the hospital, she was required to live at Elim, as she could no longer live with Ginger and her husband in their home in the room they had prepared in August 2007 with safety rails and monitors for Julia and Elmer in the event they were no longer able to live independently;
- From the time of Elmer's hospitalization in December 2008, the family was constantly harangued by Ginger's daughter, **JULIE BANKS** and her husband **JOHN BANKS**, and they also had disrupted the living facilities, and had to be asked to leave or restricted from visiting Elmer and Julia at Elim;
- Elmer had asked John Banks after Elmer's hospitalization to remove guns from under Elmer's bed and put them in the gun safe at his residence; after the gun safe was checked at a later time, it was discovered the guns and **\$5,000.00** cash in the safe was missing; this and the Banks' disruptive conduct caused Julia mental suffering and anguish;
- Ginger and her husband have at all times followed the advice of physicians, hospitals and care providers for the care of Elmer and Julia; Ginger's intent was always to keep them well cared for;
- As it became evident Elmer and Julia would not return to their own residence, Ginger and family members with concurrence of Julia began cleaning out the residence to prepare it for sale with proceeds to be used for their care if needed; 50 years of belongings were sorted through;
- Following Julia's hospitalization, Ginger paid Elmer and Julia's bills, as she was a joint account holder with Elmer and Julia that was previously established, and their monthly **\$4,700.00** in social security and pensions was deposited into the account which was used to pay their bills;
- Ginger's action was taken first as daughter to provide care for her parents, and as attorney-in-fact under the POA; she did not specifically take actions as Trustee though she was designated successor trustee;
- **Medi-Cal planning to preserve assets:** Ginger sought advice regarding Elmer qualifying for Medi-Cal and the Elim staff referred her to **SOUTAS & ASSOCIATES**; Ginger followed their recommendations to qualify Elmer & Julia to receive Medi-Cal for their continuing care; Ginger was advised in order to qualify Elmer & Julia to move a substantial portion of their liquid assets, make certain pre-need arrangements, and that other funds could be transferred by gift in ways that would not cause ineligibility for Medi-Cal;

~Please see additional page~

**Respondent states, continued:**

- Julia agreed to the proposed plan and actions taken with her authorization included: prepayment of funeral expenses; payoff of loans, reimburse Ginger and her husband for remodeling expenses done for Elmer and Julia; purchase of annuity (**\$159,983.79**); payment of expenses and transfer of funds; and gifting totaling **\$87,000.00** in amounts not exceeding **\$5,000.00** to family members (*during May, June and July 2008; please refer to summary of dates of gifts and donees attached as Exhibit C*);
- Gifts were made to Ginger her husband Roy (**\$69,000**), Ginger's son Eric and his wife Trina (**\$6,000**), Ginger's daughter, Tina and her husband Curtis (**\$12,000**); because Julie Banks had removed herself from the family and adopted an adversarial position, Julie was not the recipient of any gifts;
- The funds gifted to Ginger and her husband were deposited into the account set aside for her parent's benefit at Washington Mutual and were used to pay certain expenses and costs; (*please refer to summary of transactions in the Washington Mutual account containing the \$69,000 gifted funds to Ginger for the benefit of Elmer and Julia, attached as Exhibit E*);
- Following appointment of Public Guardian as Conservator, the funds in the Washington Mutual account were transferred on 1/13/2009 to Deputy **YOUA HER** along with other accounts in Elmer and Julia's names; unfortunately, by transferring the funds back to Julia's name, the Flys no longer qualified for Medi-Cal;
- **Allegations regarding personal property:** In spring 2008, Ginger and family cleaned up the residence for sale, and held a yard sale where **\$1,400** was received and paid to Eric and Tina and their spouses for the work in readying the property for sale; the payment of **\$1,116** was for hauling away the remaining junk; this information is detailed in an email to Deputy Youa Her on 10/19/2008 (*copy attached as Exhibit G*); Ginger was acting within her POA authority in taking these actions;
- The vehicles were a 1994 truck which was given by Elmer and Julia gave to their grandson Rick and Rick's wife Trina insisted on paying **\$800.00**, and a check was given to Julia when she was managing her own finances; the 2004 Nissan Pathfinder was transferred to Trina in June 3008, as Julia signed it over to Ginger, but Ginger did not need it; the travel trailer acquired in 2006 for taking Julia and Elmer to Idaho had a loan balance due (purchase was made by turning in Ginger and Roy's own trailer as down payment) and per the Souta's recommendation the loan was paid off and trailer sold;
- Respondent (Ginger) is concerned that the Public Guardian's unwinding the annuity has been draining Julia's funds rather than having Julia's expenses paid in part by Medi-Cal, and that the funds will be dissipated more quickly than anticipated; Respondent has been advised that Elmer's pension could be received by Julia and provided this information to the Public Guardian, but they have failed to take action to secure these benefits;
- **Respondent's defense to the accusations of breach of fiduciary duty:** In all of Respondent's dealings she undertook whether under power of attorney or as trustee of her trust, she was in direct communication with her parents concerning the transactions; Julia was fully advised of the transactions and agreed to the gifts, to the Medi-Cal qualification and ratified the gifts and transactions taken on her behalf; Julia (the Conservatee) suffered no detriment as a result of the transactions and in fact Conservatee was benefited by enabling Medi-Cal qualification; at no time did Ginger act recklessly, wantonly or in bad faith, nor did she ever intend to deprive Julia of her property for any purpose; in all actions Ginger took on behalf of her mother and father, she acted with utmost good faith and fairness, with intent to enhance the quality of their living situation and to preserve their assets for their use; any actions taken were authorized under the POA, and all acts and transactions were reported to the Public Guardian Deputy Youa Her and information was fully and completely provided again and again;

~Please see additional page~

**Respondent states, continued:**

- If the Court determines that in some manner Respondent breached her fiduciary duty by an act not authorized by the trust, the POA or by express consent of Elmer or Julia, Respondent requests that any such breach be excused per Probate Code § 16440(b) such that the financial benefit accruing to Elmer and Julia exceeded any possible loss that might have arisen as a result of Respondent's actions which were taken reasonably and in good faith;
- **Conversion. Elder Financial Abuse. Constructive Trust:** Respondent denies that any of her acts as set forth in the petition with respect to Julia's property constitute conversion as claimed and denies any liability therefor; Respondent denies any financial elder abuse, as Julia did not suffer diminished mental capacity and remained aware of her financial affairs; Respondent has made it known to the Public Guardian that Julia was distraught over actions of harassment and physical and financial abuse by the Banks, but they have taken no actions to explore the charges; Respondent denies that she has wrongfully taken, transferred, concealed or otherwise deprived Julia of funds or personal property and denies she is the voluntary trustee of said property;
- **Respondent believes that Petitioner in bringing these allegations is bringing this petition in bad faith, despite having been in possession of the information set forth in this response, and the Public Guardian should be required to pay damages and attorney's fees to Respondent.**

**Respondent's Objection to Petition and to Conservator's Second Account:**

- Respondent renews her objection to the Conservator's Second Account that the Conservator has failed to obtain survivor's benefits due to the Conservatee from the Veteran's Administration;
- Respondent further objects to the bringing of the petition in that at all times since Petitioner's appointment as Conservator, Petitioner has been in possession of much of the information which is once again requested in the petition;
- Respondent further objects to the Public Guardian's petition to the extent that the account requests attorney's fees (which will further dissipate the estate) for the research and bringing of such a petition which will not benefit the Conservatee and will further reduce the assets available for her care, now that the Public Guardian has decimated the Medi-Cal planning that would have preserved assets for the Conservatee's benefit.

**Respondent requests:**

1. That the information set forth herein be accepted by the Public Guardian, and that upon its review the Public Guardian determine that Respondent has adequately and fully accounted for actions taken on behalf of Elmer and Julia Fly;
2. That the request of the Public Guardian for damages of any sort whatsoever be denied;
3. That the Court ratify, confirm and approve all acts taken by Respondent whether as attorney-in-fact under the POA or as trustee of the Elmer V. Fly and Julia Fly Trust as set forth herein; and
4. That the attorney's fees necessarily incurred by Respondent in responding to the allegations of the petition be paid by Petitioner.

Atty Motsenbocker, Gary L., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Public Guardian, Conservator)  
 Atty Knudson, David, sole practitioner (for Respondent Virginia Greggains, daughter)  
 Atty J. Stanley, Teixeira, sole practitioner (Court-appointed for Conservatee)  
 Atty Thornton, Douglas V., of Perkins Mann & Everett (for Objector Julie Banks)

**Petition Requesting Approval of Settlement Agreement in the Matter of: Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and for an Accounting, and for Conversion of Personal Property, and for Elder Abuse, and for Damages**

<b>Age:</b> 90 years	<p><b>PUBLIC GUARDIAN</b>, Conservator of the Person and Estate appointed 11/5/2008, is Petitioner.</p> <p><b>Petitioner requests the Court approve the settlement agreement reached in this matter, based upon the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This matter involved the management, disposition and handling of the real and personal property of <b>ELMER G. FLY</b> (DOD 11/8/2008), and <b>JULIA B. FLY</b> (Conservatee), by their daughter, <b>VIRGINIA "GINGER" GREGGAINS</b>, Respondent;</li> <li>On 8/21/1997, Elmer and the Conservatee executed a <b>DECLARATION OF TRUST, ELMER V. AND JULIA B. FLY</b>, naming Respondent as Successor Trustee; the Trust was amended several times, the latest being the <i>Third Amendment</i> dated 3/24/2008;</li> <li>Pursuant to the Trust, all assets were to be held for the benefit of Elmer and Julia during their lifetimes and the lifetime of the survivor; following the death of the survivor, the assets are distributed ½ to Settlor's daughter Virginia, and ½ to Settlor's three grandchildren, <b>ERIC ("RICK") GREGGAINS</b>, <b>JULIE BANKS</b>, and <b>TINA COX</b>, in equal shares;</li> <li>On 3/21/2008, Julia executed a General Durable Power of Attorney designating Ginger Greggains to act as attorney-in-fact; <b>STEPHEN ROY GREGGAINS</b>, Ginger's husband, was designated as alternate attorney-in-fact;</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">~Please see additional page~</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Continued from 12/14/2012.</u></p>
Cont. from 092612, 101612, 112712, 121412		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓ Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
✓ Notice of Hrg		
✓ Aff.Mail		W/
Summons		
✓ Sp.Ntc.		W/
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
✓ Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
✓ Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p>File 2E - Fly</p>

**Petitioner states, continued:**

- Interested parties, including granddaughter Julie Banks, questioned Greggains' motives for her handling of Elmer and Julia's finances, her placement of Elmer and Julia in a care facility, the proposed sale of the Flys' residence, and the purchase of an annuity; at the request of Julie, proceedings initiated by the **PUBLIC GUARDIAN** as Conservator of the person and estate of Julia against Greggains for financial mismanagement, alleging breach of fiduciary duty and contending the disposition of the couple's assets were ill-advised and in contravention of the Flys' estate plan and existing Trust; Julie Banks insisted a formal accounting was necessary;
- Greggains response detailed the actions taken with the Flys' resources, with respect to Medi-Cal planning, and the purchase of the annuity; she provided an accounting of funds in her possession that were transferred or used for the benefit of Elmer and Julia, and an accounting of funds turned over to the Public Guardian; she objected to the Public Guardian's actions in changing the Annuity making Julia ineligible for Medi-Cal and to the Public Guardian's accounting and fees requested;
- Following several meetings between counsel and clients, the parties concluded it was in the best interest of the Conservatee and her estate that this matter be settled; it became apparent after lengthy discussions that Greggains had little or no liquid assets, the her real property was encumbered in excess of its fair market value, and her only source of income was Social Security benefits, such that she was in effect "judgment proof," and it became apparent that trial costs and expenses would be substantial and could be borne by the estate of the Conservatee, thus unnecessarily depleting her assets.

**Petitioner states the parties entered into an agreement to settle and compromise in full the dispute by and between the Public Guardian and Greggains** in order to avoid further expense, acrimony and controversy in regard to all issues raised and alleged; (*copy of the fully executed Mutual General Release and Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit A*); a brief summary follows:

- (a) Any funds remaining in the Conservatorship estate upon Julia Fly's death, including any proceeds payable on the annuity contract, after payment of fees, costs and expenses, shall be paid to the named Trustee of the Trust to be distributed as part of the Trust;
- (b) The Public Guardian as Conservator of the Person and Estate of Julia withdraws and dismisses with prejudice its *Petition Requesting Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, etc.*, and the Public Guardian waives any further accounting by Respondent Greggains as Trustee of the Trust or as Attorney in Fact for Julia;
- (c) Respondent withdraws and dismisses with prejudice her Objections to the Conservator's Second Account and for payment of attorney fees, Conservator fees and costs, and any and all objections which may be deemed in nature of a cross-complaint raised in her response;
- (d) Public Guardian agrees that it will initiate no further actions or complaints, nor initiate any other proceedings in this matter as to Respondent, including any civil and/or criminal actions.

**Petitioner prays for an Order that:**

1. The settlement of this matter is approved as prayed;
2. Any funds remaining in the Conservatorship estate upon the death of the Conservatee shall be paid to the Trustee of the **Elmer V. and Julia B. Fly Trust**, and that Respondent is to [agree] that any funds received by her, no matter the source, shall be paid to and held by the Trustee of the Trust;
3. The petition filed by the Public Guardian is dismissed with prejudice and any further accounting by Respondent is waived;

*~Please see additional page~*

**Petitioner prays for an Order, continued:**

4. The objections of the Respondent are withdrawn and dismissed with prejudice as to the Conservator's second account and for payment of attorney's fees and costs, as well as all objections raised by the Respondent in her response to the Public Guardian's petition and any and all objections raised by her which might be deemed in the nature of a cross-complaint; and
5. The Public Guardian shall initiate no further actions or complaints or other proceedings in that matter as to the Respondent as to the rights or damages allegedly sustained by the Conservatee.

**Objection to Petition Requesting Approval of Settlement Agreement in the Matter of Petition for Relief for Breach of Fiduciary Duty; for an Accounting; for Conversion of Personal Property; for Elder Abuse; and for Damages filed on 9/21/2012 by JULIE BANKS, granddaughter of Conservatee, states:**

- She objects to the settlement agreement [statements of factual background omitted];
  - In the spring of 2008, following Elmer's stroke and heart attack and move into the Alzheimer's center at Elim, Greggains purportedly determined that Elmer and Julia would not be able to return to their residence and began a systematic theft of all of their property; as part of that scheme, on 3/21/2008, Greggains caused Julia to execute a General Durable Power of Attorney, designating Greggains as attorney-in-fact, and **STEPHEN ROY GREGGAINS**, (Ginger) Greggains' husband, was named as alternate attorney-in-fact;
1. **Theft of Cash through purchase of Annuity:** After obtaining the Power of Attorney, Greggains contacted **SOUTAS & ASSOC. (S&A)** for the purported reason to qualify Elmer and Julia for Medi-Cal for the cost of their care; in reality it was to further her scheme to take assets out of the Trust, to countermand the inheritance directions of Julia and Elmer, and ultimately put money in her own pocket; the Annuity application submitted by Greggains on 3/29/2008 reveals that Greggains purchased a **\$159,983.79** Annuity and she and her husband (Stephen) listed themselves as the primary and contingent beneficiaries to the Conservatee, and did not list Settlor's grandchildren as instructed, nor was the beneficiary listed as the Trust as Greggains stated to the Court in a lie on 8/25/2008 (*copy of Reporter's Transcript attached as Exhibit D to the Declaration of Julie Banks in Support of Objection to Petition Requesting Approval of Settlement Agreement filed 9/21/2012*); Greggains elected the Annuity to pay out **~\$10.00** per month with the balance to be paid in 5 years, in order to maximize the benefit to her and her husband; Greggains' plan was obvious: take the money out of the Trust and hope that Julia and Elmer pass away before the Annuity matured; an Annuity that paid out **\$10.00** per month was not appropriate for a couple with substantial needs in their twilight years.
  2. **Theft of Conservatee's vehicles:** In 2008, Conservatee and Elmer owned and possessed a Nissan Pathfinder (~2004 model), and Objector believes that Greggains transferred the Pathfinder to her son, **ERIC GREGGAINS**, without value;
  3. **Theft of Conservatee's furnishings and personal belongings:** Greggains and her close family took and either sold or kept for themselves the furnishings and personal belongings of Conservatee; Objector believes the Inventory filed by the Public Guardian does not include furnishings and personal property of **~\$75,000.00** (*Declarations previously filed with Court on 10/10/2008 and 10/15/2008 listing the property are attached as Exhibits B and C to Banks' Declaration*);
  4. **Theft of Nevada property:** Conservatee and her husband own 40 acres of land in Nevada, per the parcel number indicated on the property profile of the Annuity application dated 4/24/2009; even though the County of Fresno and Greggains is aware of the Nevada property, it is mysteriously absent from the Inventory without explanation;

**~Please see additional page~**

**Objection to Petition filed on 9/21/2012 by JULIE BANKS, continued:**

- 5. Greggains' Embezzlement and Misuse of the Conservatee's Estate:** Based upon the either missing from the Inventory or simply stolen, there is considerable concern that Greggains has absconded with additional money and personal property, and she cannot be trusted and should not receive a release or dismissal with prejudice of the petition; examples include the Inventory showing cash of **\$10,660.20** as of 9/12/2008, but the Annuity application showing cash and securities of **\$283,000.00** on 5/29/2008; even with the **\$159,983.79** Annuity purchase, there is still an evaporation of funds of **\$112,356.01** that is missing and unexplained; Greggains also stated to the Court on 8/25/2008 that Conservatee had **\$60,000.00** in a checking account, two weeks prior to the 9/12/2008 application; additionally, Greggains again lied to the Court on 8/25/2008 in stating the Flys' residence sale had not closed in responding that just an offer was made that was not accepted, while the *Purchase Agreement (attached as Exhibit F to Banks Decl.)* shows the purchase was signed on 8/21/2008, a mere four days before the hearing, so Greggains knew the purchase was signed on Monday and the hearing was on Thursday (see copy of 8/25/2008 Reporter's Transcript attached as Exhibit D to Banks' Declaration);
- 6. Theft in the form of Financial Gifts and Monies used for Greggains' own purpose:** Greggains gifted at least **\$77,000.00** to herself and other chosen family members during May and June 2008 (see copies checks written by Greggains for gifts to relatives attached as Exhibits G and H); and Greggains used estate money to pay off her travel trailer (**\$15,288.71**), a down payment for Jeep Liberty for herself and her husband (**\$4,000.00**), room remodel reimbursement done long before Flys' illness (**\$6,248.20**), and a carport built in Greggains' backyard for their cash business "Bear Critters" (**\$7,000.00**).

**Objector's Argument:**

**(A) The Settlement Agreement Exonerates Elder Abuse:** Since October 2008, Fresno County has possessed substantial evidence showing Greggains and Stephen committed both civil and criminal crimes of Elder Abuse; Public Guardian retained Attorney Motsenbocker to investigate the allegations and collectability of an action against them; Motsenbocker filed the *Petition Requesting Relief for Breach, etc.* after reviewing the evidence and financial resources of the proposed defendants; after further depleting the estate, Motsenbocker is seeking to settle the case with both a civil and criminal exoneration for Greggains and Stephen; the settlement agreement is apparently based on the lack of financial wherewithal of Greggains and Stephen, even though there is substantial evidence of Elder Abuse.

**The motion to approve the settlement should be denied for the following reasons:**

1. There is no basis to provide the dismissal with prejudice; should Greggains and Stephen obtain significant financial resources, the Public Guardian should retain the right to collect the money and property that has been stolen; it would be more appropriate to dismiss the case without prejudice and sign a tolling agreement so that the claims do not lapse;
2. The settlement agreement provides that Greggains and Stephen will avoid criminal prosecution for their illegal acts because of lack of funds; it would be analogous to a government agency that refuses to file criminal charges against a bank robber because he has spent all of his ill-gotten gains and is again impoverished; Greggains and Stephen are the poster child of individuals that are callous enough to steal from their own parents; if the civil claims are dropped, this matter should be referred to criminal prosecution since it would not be a further drain on the Estate, nor is it dependent on the finances of the defendants; criminal exoneration will only condone the actions of Greggains and Stephen.

~Please see additional page~

**Objector's Argument, continued:**

**(B) The County Failed to do any Due Diligence of the Defendants Financial Wherewithal:** Prior to filing the petition, Motsenbocker represented to Deputy Youa Her that the defendants had the financial wherewithal to satisfy the proposed claims; without explanation, the settlement agreement and motion accept as a fact that Greggains and Stephen have no source of income other than social security and their house is under water; however, there is no evidence that the County performed any due diligence concerning the defendants earning ability or assets; as set forth in [Exhibit I] to the *Declaration of Julie Banks* [filed 9/21/2012] , the defendants have a cash business selling carved wooden bears and other critters to the public, and in fact they used a portion of the stolen Estate money to make improvements to their garage from which to run the business; the motion and settlement agreement accept as a fact a statement from the defendants that they have no assets or income, and this type of blind devotion is not prudent when the statement is coming from the very parties accused of committing Elder Abuse crimes; additionally, there is no accounting of the items that were stolen; who owns the property in Nevada? Why hasn't there been a request that the individual family members of the defendants that received the stolen money and property return the same to the estate? The County knows the check numbers, amounts and recipients, but has the County taken the depositions of defendants or hired an asset investigation company to review the matter? A settlement without adequate investigation is foolhardy at best.

**(C)The Settlement is not in the Best Interest of the Estate:** It appears that the settlement is partially motivated to protect the interests of the County from liability; is the County really concerned that the "impoverished defendants" have the means to prosecute the County for damages? Does the County have liability for actions that it took, or more importantly, did not take? If that is so, should the County give a free pass to the defendants in order to escape liability when there is substantial evidence of their wrong-doing? Should the County and its agents be paid for depleting the Estate's resources without anything accomplished for the Estate? It is obvious that the answer to these questions is a resounding "No."

**Objector concludes:** Elmer and Julia Fly had plenty of assets and no debts; they should have been able to live comfortably through these difficult times and now, according to the Inventory and Appraisal, in just 9 months of Elmer's illness, all that they saved and accumulated for 68 years is almost gone; it makes no sense; this settlement agreement is fundamentally flawed and should not be approved.

Atty Mealer, Sherry M. (Pro Per – Former Administrator – Petitioner)  
 Atty Mealer, Stacy (Pro Per – Successor Administrator)  
 Atty Burnside, Leigh

**Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Accounting (and for Allowance of Statutory Commission)**

<b>DOD: 10-10-08</b>		<p><b>SHERRY MEALER</b>, Daughter and Administrator with full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Accounting is waived.</p> <p>I&amp;A: \$81,732.32                  POH: \$45,732.32 (\$5,732.32 cash plus real property, misc. shop equipment, household furniture)</p> <p>Administrator: Waived</p> <p>Distribution pursuant to intestate succession:</p> <p>Sherry Mealer: Entire estate</p> <p><b>Objection filed 11-6-12 by Leigh Burnside on behalf of Dowling Aaron Incorporated (formerly DAK, Inc.), former attorney for Sherry Mealer, states:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All administration expenses have not been paid as alleged and the estate is not in a condition to be closed. On 5-8-12, Objector refers to attorney fees and costs authorized by Court order on 6-26-12, a total of \$4,205.03, and states that furthermore, interest has accrued.</li> <li>Petitioner failed to address the remaining statutory fee due Dowling Aaron Incorporated. The attorneys rendered valuable services to the estate and statutory compensation should be allowed. Objector is entitled to a statutory commission of \$3,269.29, of which only \$1,500.00 has been paid. A declaration setting forth the ordinary services performed was filed on 5-8-12.</li> </ul> <p><b>Objector prays that:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objector be allowed and credited the remaining statutory attorney fees in the amount of \$1,769.29 as full satisfaction for ordinary services;</li> <li>Petitioner Stacy E. Mealer be directed to pay the costs of administration noted above in the amount of \$4,205.03, and the balance of statutory attorney's fees as ordered by the Court herein;</li> <li>For an award of costs incurred; and</li> <li>Such further orders as the Court may deem proper.</li> </ol>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Continued from 8-16-12, 10-4-12, 11-16-12.</b></p> <p><b>Minute Order 8-16-12:</b> Stacy Mealer advises the Court that Sherry Mealer directed her to appear in court today. She further advises that she's been living in the residence and paying all the upkeep and taxes. The Court is informed that Sherry Mealer has been living in Texas since 1997. Examiner notes are provide to Stacy Mealer at which time she is advised that the defects listed need to be cured. Contd. to 10/4/12.</p> <p><b>Minute Order 10-4-12:</b> Examiner notes are provided to Stacy Mealer. The Court directs Ms. Mealer to cure the defects. The Court removes Sherry Mealer as administrator based on her inability to perform what is necessary for the estate and based on the information that she is residing in Texas. The Court appoints Stacy Mealer as administrator with full powers. Bond is not required. Stacy Mealer provides contact information to the Court. Bond not required/waived. Continued to 11/16/12.</p> <p><b>Minute Order 11-16-12:</b> Examiner notes provided to Ms. Mealer. Ms. Mealer informs the Court that notice was given to the FTB. Upon reviewing a receipt from Ms. Mealer, Ms. Burnside informs the Court that ist appears a partial payment of fees in the amount of \$628 may have been made.</p> <p><b>As of 1-7-13, nothing further has been filed. The following issues remain:</b>  <b>SEE PAGE 2</b></p> <p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 3 - Easley</b></p>
<b>Cont. from 081612, 100412, 111612</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b> w/o		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b> X		

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**

**Note:** The following Examiner Notes were based on the Petition filed by former Administrator Sherry Mealer. On 10-4-12, the Court removed Sherry Mealer and appointed Stacy Mealer as Administrator. Letters issued on 10-29-12. However, based on the Objection and case circumstances, the Court may require an amended Petition. If this petition filed by the former Administrator goes forward, the following issues exist. Otherwise, need amended petition.

1. Petitioner does not state whether notice of administration was given to the Franchise Tax Board pursuant to Probate Code §9202(c).  
*Per Min Order 11-16-12, notice was given; however, that information has not been verified or filed.*
2. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing on Dowling Aaron, Incorporated pursuant to Request for Special Notice filed 1-31-11 (Probate Code §1252).
3. Petitioner was previously represented by Dowling Aaron Incorporated (formerly DAK). On 6-21-12, the Court approved fees to the law firm in the amount of \$4,205.03. Need proof of payment prior to distribution.  
*Per Min Order 11-16-12, partial payment may have been made; however, nothing has been filed.*
4. Petitioner states Property On Hand (POH) includes the same assets, including the same amount of cash, as was listed on the I&A as of the decedent's date of death (10-10-08). Need statement of actual property on hand at this time pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court 7.550.  
(Examiner notes that Administrator was appointed almost four years ago on 12-9-08. One creditor's claim was paid, attorney fees were granted, and the house probably required upkeep. It is unlikely that the POH is the same as originally inventoried.)
5. Petitioner lists the value of the residence at a different amount than previously inventoried. The value is a carry value and should remain the same.

**(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator, and (2) Petition for Its Settlement, for Allowance of Statutory Commissions and for (3) Final Distribution (Prob. C. 10400-10406, 10954, 11600-11645)**

<b>DOD: 6-5-09</b>	<b>ROCKY BUCCI</b> , Former Administrator with Full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<u>Continued from 10-18-12, 11-9-12.</u>
<b>Cont. from 101812, 110912</b>	<i>Note: On 10-18-12, Mr. Bucci was removed and the Public Administrator appointed.</i>	<b>Minute Order 10-18-12:</b> At the request of Ms. Horton, the Court removes Rocky Bucci as administrator finding that there is an inherent conflict. The Court appoints the Public Administrator. Matter continued to 11-9-12; Status set on 11-9-12.
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Account period: 6-24-09 through 8-18-12	<b>Note:</b> It does not appear that Petitioner was present at the hearing on 10-18-12.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>	Accounting: \$64,650.00	<b>Note:</b> Letters issued to the Public Administrator on 10-26-12. Status Reports were filed on 11-6-12 and 1-4-13. See Page 1B.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>	Beginning POH: \$64,650.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>	Ending POH: \$64,650.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>	(Real property, furniture, two vehicles)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Administrator (Statutory): \$2,586.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>	(Based on the correct I&A amount of \$64,650.00)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>	Attorney (Statutory): \$1,293.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	(Petitioner states that Joanne Sanoian, previous attorney for petitioner, filed the Petition for Probate, I&A, but did not do or file the First and Final Account for the estate; therefore, her fees should only be one-half of the statutory amount \$2,586.00.)	<b>Prior Examiner Notes stated:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		<b>Examiner notes that based on the items listed on Page 2, it does not appear that the estate is in a position to be closed at this time. Need amended petition.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		<b>SEE PAGE 2</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>	7-29-09	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>	Petitioner states the estate is insolvent.	<b>Reviewed by:</b> skc
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>	Petitioner has paid all funeral expenses, mortgage payments and property taxes, and all expenses of the estate.	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		<b>Updates:</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		<b>Recommendation:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		<b>File 4A - Bucci</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>	When the estate becomes solvent, Administrator wishes to be reimbursed for the monies he has advanced FBO the estate in the amount of \$27,128.35.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>	Petitioner proposes distribution to the heirs including himself, Dino Bucci, and Anthony Bucci.	

1. The Notice of Hearing was sent without a copy of the petition. Attorney Joanne Sanoian should have received a copy of the petition with her Notice of Hearing pursuant to Probate Code §1252.
2. The Inventory and Appraisal filed 8-5-09 is incomplete at #5 Property tax certificate. Need certification that the requirements of Revenue and Taxation Code §480 have been satisfied by the filing of a change of ownership statement with the county recorder or assessor of each county in California in which the decedent owned property at the time of his death. (See I&A #5.)
3. Petitioner states the estate is insolvent, but that the Ending POH is \$64,500.00 (same as originally inventoried). Need clarification.
4. Petitioner states the three creditor's claims have been cancelled; however, no proof is provided. Need withdrawals of the claims by the creditors or proof of cancellation.
5. Alternatively, need Allowance or Rejection of Creditor's Claim for each claim filed as follows, with service on each creditor.

- Bank of America – Claim filed 7-22-09 in the amount of \$6,952.00
- Phillips & Cohen (for Chase Bank) – Claim filed 9-21-09 in the amount of \$5,186.27
- Phillips & Cohen (for Chase Bnak) – Claim filed 9-21-09 in the amount of 5,903.67

(Note that if rejected, the creditor has 90 days to respond. See Probate Code §8250(c)(8).)

(Note that if allowed, but the creditor will not be paid due to insolvency, notice of this hearing is required pursuant to Probate Code §11000(a)(5).)

6. Petitioner states he has paid \$27,128.35 in estate expenses and requests reimbursement “when the estate becomes solvent. Need clarification. Does Petitioner intend to liquidate the assets?

Petitioner's itemization indicates that he has maintained the assets of the estate including making mortgage payments and vehicle registrations as an expense of administration, but Petitioner does not explain how this was a benefit to the estate or the other heirs. It appears that Petitioner resides at the property. There were no receipts to the estate during the account period (such as rent from Petitioner, etc.). How does this benefit the heirs and creditors of the estate? Are the other heirs in agreement with this situation?

7. It is unclear how Petitioner is requesting distribution. If the creditor's claims have been provided for and the assets are to be distributed among the three heirs, need consents to distribution in undivided interests. However, it is unclear how Petitioner will be repaid the \$27,128.35 that he has contributed to expenses. Need clarification.

<b>DOD: 6-5-09</b>	<b>FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR</b>	<p>was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA without bond on 10-18-12 and Letters issued on 10-26-12.</p> <p><b>On 10-18-12</b>, the Court removed the former Administrator, <b>ROCKY BUCCI</b>, due to conflict and set this status hearing.</p> <p><b>Status Report filed 11-6-12 states</b> Heather Kruthers (County Counsel) was informed by Attorney Lisa Horton that probate was originally opened by her office, but when it became clear that the client did not want to sell the real property because he wanted to live in the house, the office could not close the estate so they substituted out as his attorney. She reported that Mr. Bucci has filed a final account, but there was no distribution plan, and he continued to live in the house and request reimbursement for real property expenses. She reported that the Court found this to be an inherent conflict and removed him.</p> <p>Deputy Public Administrator Noe Jimenez is investigating the matter and waiting for a preliminary report to show what debts are against the residence. All property is intact. He has spoken to Rocky Bucci regarding why he has done nothing with the assets – he has not sold the vehicles or furniture and has resided on the premises for three years. According to him, the Court's issue regarding the real property is that he was to have transferred the property to his name and assume the debt. He failed to do that. Regarding the creditor's claims, Mr. Bucci reported that all three claims were withdrawn. However, Deputy Jimenez intends to confirm this.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>SEE PAGE 2</b></p>
<b>Cont from 110912</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>	10-26-12	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:**

**Minute Order 10-18-12:** At the request of Ms. Horton, the Court removes Rocky Bucci as administrator finding that there is an inherent conflict. The Court appoints the Public Administrator. Matter continued to 11-9-12; Status set on 11-9-12.

**Note:** It does not appear that the Petitioner (former Administrator Rocky Bucci) was present at the hearing on 10-18-12.

**Note:** I&A filed 8-5-09 indicates that the estate contains real property in Sanger, household furniture and furnishings, and two vehicles. Examiner notes that the I&A is labeled as a "Partial #1;" however, the former Administrator's first account appears to treat it as a final. See **NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS** section of Page 1A for additional issues.

**Reviewed by:** skc

**Reviewed on:** 1-7-13

**Updates:**

**Recommendation:**

**File 4B - Bucci**

**Page 2**

**Status Report filed 1-4-13 states** since the last hearing, Deputy Public Administrator Noe Jimenez and Deputy County Counsel Heather Kruthers have been in contact with Rocky Bucci. Ms. Kruthers was also contacted by attorney Bryan Pinion, who had been contacted by Mr. Bucci.

According to the information gathered, the house could not be sold due to the mortgage. Mr. Bucci has maintained the mortgage, taxes, insurance, etc., for the property. Even if it could be sold for a nominal amount, he would be entitled to reimbursement of one-third of the expenses from the other two beneficiaries. However, the PA does not believe the house could even sell as a short sale.

The vehicles and furnishings are still in Mr. Bucci's possession. Although their sale might bring in a small amount, Rocky would be entitled to reimbursement for upkeep.

The PA can make two suggestions:

- 1) That the property can be transferred to Mr. Bucci since it cannot be sold and he has maintained it throughout administration; or
- 2) That Mr. Bucci maintain possession of the vehicles, property and furnishings and the estate remain open for five years to allow either of his brothers to make a claim on the assets. Five years is the amount of time given to beneficiaries to claim the inheritance before it can be distributed to other heirs.

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

Age:		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>OFF CALENDAR.</b> Inventory and appraisal filed on 12/3/12.
DOD:		
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 5 - Quintana

**Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal**

<b>DOD:</b>		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>OFF CALENDAR.</b> Inventory and appraisal filed on 10/2/12.
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202 Order</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>	
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 6 - Lee</b>

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal

<b>DOD:</b>			<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
<b>Cont. from</b>			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202 Order			
Aff. Posting			
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA			
Citation			
FTB Notice			
		<p><b>OFF CALENDAR.</b> Inventory and appraisal filed on 9/11/12.</p>	
		<p>Reviewed by: KT</p>	
		<p>Reviewed on: 1/7/13</p>	
		<p>Updates:</p>	
		<p>Recommendation:</p>	
		<p>File 7 - Johnson</p>	

<b>DOD: 6/15/2012</b>	<p><b>JOE E. ANDERSON</b> was appointed as Executor without bond and with full IAEA authority on 8/1/2012.</p> <p>Minute Order dated 8/1/12 set this status hearing for the filing of the Inventory and Appraisal. Minute order indicates attorney Emily A. Foehr and Executor Joe E. Anderson were both present in court at the hearing.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: Judge Oliver recused himself in this matter. The matter will be heard in Department 71.</b></p> <p>1. Need Inventory and Appraisal or current written status report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5.</p>
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202 Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by: KT</b>
		<b>Reviewed on: 1/7/13</b>
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 8 - Kremen</b>



**Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Twelfth Account**

<b>Age: 57 years</b>	<p><b>SYLVIA GONZALES</b>, sister, is Conservator.</p> <p>The 11<sup>th</sup> account for the account period 5/1/08 through 4/30/10 was approved on 6/20/12.</p> <p>Minute Order dated 6/20/12 set this status hearing for the filing of the 12<sup>th</sup> account. Attorney Ruth Ratzlaff was present in court on 6/20/12.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>Continued from 8/17/12, 9/21/12, 10/19/12, 11/30/12.</p> <p><u>Minute Order 8/17/12:</u> Counsel advises the Court that her client will have the documents ready today. Counsel requests a continuance.</p> <p><u>Minute Order 9/21/12:</u> Counsel advises the court that she received the check register, but she is still waiting for the bank statements. Counsel requests a continuance.</p> <p><u>Minute Order 10/19/12:</u> Counsel informs the Court that she did not receive the bank statements from her client as promptly as she expected. Counsel requests a continuance.</p> <p><u>Minute Order 11/30/12:</u> Counsel informs the Court that the bank has yet to provide her client the checks. The Court sets the matter for an Order to Show Cause on 1/11/13 regarding Sylvia Gonzales' failure to provide the necessary information in her position as conservator, and imposition of sanctions in the amount of \$200.00. The Court orders Sylvia Gonzales to be personally present on 1/11/13. Continued to 1-11-13. Set on 1-11-13 for Order to Show Cause Re: Failure to Provide Information as Conservator; Imposition of Sanctions in the Amount of \$200.00.</p> <p>The following issue remains:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Need 12<sup>th</sup> account or current status report.</li> </ol>
<b>Cont. from 081712, 092112, 101912, 113012</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 9B - Larssen</b></p>

Atty Ormond, John K., sole practitioner (for Rebecca Lewis, Conservator)

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Seventh and Final Accounts

		<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OFF CALENDAR</b></p> <p><i>Seventh and Final Account of Conservator was filed on 11/28/2012, and is set for hearing on 1/24/2013.</i></p>
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 10 - MacIsaac

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal, and Petition for Final Distribution or Release from Ward Pursuant to Probate Code 2627

<b>Age: Now Adult</b>	<b>RHONDA L. MOMMER, Mother, was appointed Guardian of the Estate of Lars Michael Mommer on 4-3-06 and Letters issued on 4-4-06.</b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Ms. Mommer was also appointed Guardian of the Estate of two additional minors, Briana, now an adult also, and Sarah, still a minor.	<b>Minute Order 10-26-12:</b> Ms. Wright advises the Court that there is a third sibling Sarah. She further advises that she will be substituting out of two matters as to the adult children to allow Ms. Amador to file her document(s). Ms. Amador informs the Court that she now has what she needs to move forward.
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	In all three guardianship estates, the order states bond is not required, but the guardian is not authorized to take possession of money or any other property without a specific court order.	<b>Note: An Ex Parte Petition for Final Discharge and Order has been submitted; however, discharge is not appropriate until the guardianship estate is settled and terminated.</b>
<b>Verified</b>	The original petition anticipated that the minors would receive distribution from the Estate of Gordon Mommer 05CEPR01325 and the proceeds would be placed into a blocked account.	<b>As of 1-10-13, the following issue remains:</b>
<b>Inventory</b>	<b>However, since Letters were issued, nothing further was filed in any of the three guardianship cases or in the father's estate case.</b>	<b>1. Need Inventory and Appraisal and Petition for Final Distribution or Release from Ward pursuant to Probate Code §2627. (Examiner notes that although a Status Report was previously filed by Attorney Janet Wright, it was not verified by the Guardian, and it does not contain a release by the Ward indicating settlement of accounts with the guardian pursuant to Probate Code §2627.)</b>
<b>PTC</b>	<b>On 6-28-12, Rhonda Mommer filed a Petition for Leave to Refinance real property of the Guardianship Estate of Sarah Mommer, who is still a minor. Examiner Notes from the hearing on 8-7-12 noted that after Letters were issued in 2006, nothing further occurred in any of the guardianship estates – No I&amp;A was filed, no blocked accounts were established, and no accountings were filed. Also, the father's probate estate also was not completed by the Public Administrator.</b>	<b>Reviewed by: skc</b>
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Examiner Notes noted that because the real property that was the subject of the petition was never inventoried as an asset of the guardianship estate, the Court could not make an order on the Petition.	<b>Reviewed on: 1-10-13</b>
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	It was then learned that distribution of the father's estate had occurred pursuant to a Stipulated Judgment on Reserved Issues dated 6-8-08 between Rhonda Mommer and the Public Administrator in the Dissolution of Marriage case 02CEFL04803 that was opened prior to Gordon Mommer's death. However, as noted above, this was not addressed in the actual probate estate.	<b>Updates:</b>
<b>Aff.Mail</b>	<b>On 9-14-12, the Court set this status hearing for Failure to File Inventory &amp; Appraisal, Account, and Petition fro Final Distribution or Release from Ward pursuant to Probate Code §2627 for Lars and Briana, and also set a status for closing the father's probate estate.</b>	<b>Recommendation:</b>
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	<b>SEE PAGE 2</b>	<b>File 11 – L Mommer</b>
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		

**Status Report of [Former] Counsel for Rhonda L. Mommer Slater filed 10-22-12 states:**

During the pendency of the bifurcated portion of the dissolution action of the minors' parents (02CEFL04803), their father died. A probate estate was initiated by the Public Administrator (05CEPR01325) and the Public Administrator was substituted in place of Mr. Mommer to resolve the property matters in the Dissolution action. It was anticipated that Lars' interest in the father's estate would include an undivided one-third interest in any property confirmed to his father in the dissolution. In anticipation of the minor receiving the interest in the property, a guardianship of the estate was established.

In March 2008, the parties to the dissolution reached a full property settlement and the Court entered a judgment on the reserved property issues on 6-8-08 containing the terms of the settlement (attached).

Pursuant to the terms of the Judgment, Rhonda L. Mommer's interest was 73.339% and the decedent's interest was 26.661% was to be divided between the three children at 8.887% each.

In accordance with the terms of the judgment, the residence was transferred directly to Rhonda Mommer and the three minor children directly, rather than to the probate estate for distribution.

Lars Mommer attained majority in 2008 and as a consequence, currently owns his undivided 8.887% interest in the residence in his individual name.

Attorney Wright is informed and believed that a Petition to Terminate the Guardianship Estate will be filed on behalf of Rhonda L. Mommer in order to conclude administration of the guardianship estate.

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the File Inventory and Appraisal, and Petition for Final Distribution or Release from Ward Pursuant to Probate Code 2627

<b>Age:</b> Now adult	<p><b>RHONDA L. MOMMER, Mother, was appointed Guardian of the Estate of Briana Leigh Mommer on 4-3-06 and Letters issued on 4-4-06.</b></p> <p>Ms. Mommer was also appointed Guardian of the Estate of two additional minors, Lars, now an adult also, and Sarah, still a minor.</p> <p>In all three guardianship estates, the order states bond is not required, but the guardian is not authorized to take possession of money or any other property without a specific court order.</p> <p>The original petition anticipated that the minors would receive distribution from the Estate of Gordon Mommer 05CEPR01325 and the proceeds would be placed into a blocked account.</p> <p><b>However, since Letters were issued, nothing further was filed in any of the three guardianship cases or in the father's estate case.</b></p> <p><b>On 6-28-12,</b> Rhonda Mommer filed a Petition for Leave to Refinance real property of the Guardianship Estate of Sarah Mommer, who is still a minor. Examiner Notes from the hearing on 8-7-12 noted that after Letters were issued in 2006, nothing further occurred in any of the guardianship estates – No I&amp;A was filed, no blocked accounts were established, and no accountings were filed. Also, the father's probate estate also was not completed by the Public Administrator.</p> <p>Examiner Notes noted that because the real property that was the subject of the petition was never inventoried as an asset of the guardianship estate, the Court could not make an order on the Petition.</p> <p>It was then learned that distribution of the father's estate had occurred pursuant to a Stipulated Judgment on Reserved Issues dated 6-8-08 between Rhonda Mommer and the Public Administrator in the Dissolution of Marriage case 02CEFL04803 that was opened prior to Gordon Mommer's death. However, as noted above, this was not addressed in the actual probate estate.</p> <p><b>On 9-14-12, the Court set this status hearing for Failure to File Inventory &amp; Appraisal, Account, and Petition fro Final Distribution or Release from Ward pursuant to Probate Code §2627 for Lars and Briana, and also set a status for closing the father's probate estate.</b></p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Minute Order 10-26-12:</b>        Ms. Wright advises the Court that there is a third sibling Sarah. She further advises that she will be substituting out of two matters as to the adult children to allow Ms. Amador to file her document(s). Ms. Amador informs the Court that she now has what she needs to move forward.</p> <p><b>Note: An Ex Parte Petition for Final Discharge and Order has been submitted; however, discharge is not appropriate until the guardianship estate is settled and terminated.</b></p> <p><b>As of 1-10-13, the following issue remains:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Need Inventory and Appraisal and Petition for Final Distribution or Release from Ward pursuant to Probate Code §2627. (Examiner notes that although a Status Report was previously filed by Attorney Janet Wright, it was not verified by the Guardian, and it does not contain a release by the Ward indicating settlement of accounts with the guardian pursuant to Probate Code §2627.)</b></li> </ol>
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202 Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
<b>SEE PAGE 2</b>		
		Reviewed by:
		Reviewed on:
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 12 – B Mommer

**Status Report of Counsel for Rhonda L. Mommer Slater filed 10-22-12 states:**

During the pendency of the bifurcated portion of the dissolution action of the minors' parents (02CEFL04803), their father died. A probate estate was initiated by the Public Administrator (05CEPR01325) and the Public Administrator was substituted in place of Mr. Mommer to resolve the property matters in the Dissolution action. It was anticipated that Briana's interest in the father's estate would include an undivided one-third interest in any property confirmed to his father in the dissolution. In anticipation of the minor receiving the interest in the property, a guardianship of the estate was established.

In March 2008, the parties to the dissolution reached a full property settlement and the Court entered a judgment on the reserved property issues on 6-8-08 containing the terms of the settlement (attached).

Pursuant to the terms of the Judgment, Rhonda L. Mommer's interest was 73.339% and the decedent's interest was 26.661% was to be divided between the three children at 8.887% each.

In accordance with the terms of the judgment, the residence was transferred directly to Rhonda Mommer and the three minor children directly, rather than to the probate estate for distribution.

Briana Mommer attained majority in 2011 and as a consequence, currently owns his undivided 8.887% interest in the residence in his individual name.

Attorney Wright is informed and believed that a Petition to Terminate the Guardianship Estate will be filed on behalf of Rhonda L. Mommer in order to conclude administration of the guardianship estate.

Status Hearing Re: Filing of Proof of Reduced Bond

		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b><u>OFF CALENDAR.</u></b> Proof of reduced bond filed on 12/13/12.
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202 Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 13 - Mitchell

**14 Kenny Boos (Estate)**

Case No. 08CEPR00524

Atty Jaech, Jeffrey A.

Atty Lastreto, Rene II

Atty Bauer, Paul J.

Continued Hearing by Court Re: First Account and Status Report of Personal Representative

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  <b>Continued to 4/23/13</b> per Order re Stipulation signed on 1/4/13.
DOD:		
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 1/8/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 14 - Boos

Atty Shekoyan, James E., of Baker Manock & Jensen (for John R. Panzak, Jr., Executor)  
 Atty Panzak, Gordon (Self-represented Estate Beneficiary)

**Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution [Prob. C. 12200, et seq.]**

<b>DOD: 3/12/2010</b>	<p><b>JOHN R. PANZAK, JR.</b>, son, was appointed Executor of the estate and <i>Letters</i> issued on 8/11/2010. John Panzak, Jr., has been Trustee of the <b>JOHN ROBERT PANZAK TRUST dated 2007</b> since Decedent's death in March 2010; beneficiaries of the Will are John R. Panzak, Jr., Gordon Panzak, and the Trust; beneficiaries of the Trust are John R. Panzak, Jr., and Gordon Panzak.</p> <p><b>Petitions by Claimants GORDON PANZAK, son, and CHARLES PANZAK, son, were filed on 3/9/2011</b> seeking the Court's determination of ownership of specific items of property including a pick-up truck and real property located in Santa Cruz. Following the filing of demurrers, amended petitions, and amended demurrers, an <i>Order on Demurrer to Second Amended Petition to Determine Ownership of Real Property</i> signed on 1/31/2012 sustains the general demurrer to the second amended petition without leave to amend.</p> <p><b>Status Report of Personal Representative filed 1/8/2013 by John R. Panzak, Jr., states:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The probate estate has a single asset which is a brokerage account with Merrill Lynch; most of Decedent's assets were in his living trust which are not part of the probate estate;</li> <li>Gordon Panzak filed two litigation matters between himself and Petitioner, as the Executor of the estate; one of the litigation matters involves the probate estate;</li> <li>The second matter is a civil litigation action filed by Mr. Panzak (Case #11CECG00789) regarding the Decedent's trust and trust assets; the issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined with the issues in the probate estate;</li> </ul> <p align="center">~Please see additional page~</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>Continued from 9/21/2012.</b> <i>Minute Order</i> states Counsel advises the Court that the Status Report has been filed. He further advises that there is a litigation pending.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Based upon representations in the Executor's 8/23/2012 <i>Status Report</i> regarding the pending litigation trial date of 12/12/2012, Court set this status hearing on 1/11/2013 for filing of the first account or petition for final distribution of this estate.</p>
<b>Cont. from 090712</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Stat. Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13
		<b>Updates:</b> 1/9/13
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 9 - Panzak</b>

**Status Report filed 1/8/2013, continued:**

- The Petitioner was prepared to commence trial in the civil litigation action, which was scheduled to begin on 12/12/2012;
- On 12/6/2012, just six days prior to the scheduled civil litigation action trial date, Gordon Panzak dismissed this case without prejudice, and on the same day [emphasis in original], he filed a new civil litigation action (Case #12CECG03842) citing the same causes of action and the same grievances as alleged in the action he just dismissed – the new complaint is basically a copy of the complaint that was dismissed the same day (please refer to copy of new complaint filed 12/6/2012 attached as Exhibit A);
- As previously stated, the issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined with the issues in the probate estate; as soon as the civil litigation is resolved, Petitioner intends to close the probate estate.
- **Petitioner requests the Court order that the administration of the estate be allowed to continue.**

**Notes for background:**

**The filing of demurrers to the petition and to amended petitions resulted as follows:** Court issued an Order on Demurrer to First Amended Petition to Determine Ownership of Real Property on 11/1/2011, which sustained the demurrer filed by John Panzak, Jr. to the first amended petition filed by Gordon Panzak. Second amended petition to determine ownership was filed by Gordon Panzak on 11/21/2011, and demurrer was filed on 12/30/2011.

**Notice of Status Hearing filed on 7/26/2012** set a status hearing on 9/7/2012 for failure to file a first account or petition for final distribution in the estate. Clerk's Certificate of Mailing shows the notice of status hearing was mailed to Attorney James Shekoyan and John R. Panzak, Jr. on 7/26/2012.

**Status Report of Personal Representative filed by John R. Panzak, Jr. on 8/23/2012 states:**

- The probate estate has a single asset which is a brokerage account with Merrill Lynch;
- Most of Decedent's assets were in his living trust which are not part of the probate estate;

**Status Report of Personal Representative filed 8/23/2012 by John R. Panzak, Jr., continued:**

- There are currently two pending litigation matters between Petitioner and his brother, Gordon Panzak; one of the litigation matters involves the probate estate, and the second matter involves a civil litigation action filed by Gordon Panzak in Case #11CECG00789 regarding Decedent's Trust and Trust assets; the issues in the civil litigation matter are entwined in the probate estate matter, therefore as soon as the civil litigation is resolved, Petitioner intends to close the probate estate;
- A Mandatory Settlement Conference in the civil litigation has been scheduled for **11/13/2012**, and a trial date is set of **12/12/2012**;
- Several creditor's claims were filed with the Court or presented against the estate and have been rejected by Petitioner, in sum as follows: Gordon Panzak filed on 12/8/2010 several claims including ½ interest in Santa Cruz property, rent waste and damage, pick-up truck and furniture, for a claimed value totaling in excess of **\$1 million**, all of which were rejected on 2/1/2011;
- An Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 4/25/2011 showing an estate value of **\$520,693.06** (please refer to Schedule A attached for summary of the inventory);
- The devisee of the estate pursuant to Decedent's Will admitted to probate on 8/22/2010 is John R. Panzak, Jr., Trustee of the John R. Panzak Living Trust;
- **Petitioner requests the Court order that the administration of this estate be allowed to continue.**

**Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal**

<b>DOD: 1-7-11</b>	<b>RANDY HAWKINS</b> , Son, was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA without bond on 10-24-11.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>OFF CALENDAR (16A only)</b>
<b>Cont. from 013012, 073012, 091012, 100512, 111612</b>	On 11-16-12, after numerous status hearings, Mr. Hawkins did not appear, and the Court removed Mr. Hawkins and appointed the <b>FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR</b> .	<u>Continued from 1-30-12, 7-30-12, 9-10-12, 10-5-12, 11-16-12.</u>
Aff.Sub.Wit.		<b>Minute Order 11-16-12: No appearances.</b> The Court on its own motion removes Randy Hawkins as Administrator and appoints the Public Administrator.
Verified		<b>Note:</b> A copy of the minute order was mailed to Mr. Hawkins at his address of record, but the mail was "returned to sender" to the Court as undeliverable.
Inventory	X	<b>Note:</b> On 11-26-12 (after his removal), Mr. Hawkins did file an I&A indicating a total estate value of \$85,820.00 (consisting of real property and misc. personal property items appraised by the Probate Referee).
PTC		<b>Note:</b> On 1-2-13, the Public Administrator submitted the Final I&A, as well as a Reappraisal for Sale of the real property.
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt	X	
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 1-7-13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 16A- Hawkins

**Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account or Petition for Final Distribution**

<b>DOD: 01/07/11</b>	<p><b>RANDY HAWKINS</b>, Son, was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA without bond on 10-24-11.</p> <p>On 10-24-11, the Court set a status hearing for filing of the First Account and Petition for Final Distribution on 10/29/12.</p> <p>On 11-16-12, after numerous status hearings, Mr. Hawkins did not appear, and the Court removed Mr. Hawkins and appointed the <b>FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR</b>.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Continued from 10-29-12, 11-16-12</u></p> <p><b>Minute Order 11-16-12: No appearances. The Court on its own motion removes Randy Hawkins as Administrator and appoints the Public Administrator.</b></p> <p><b>Note:</b> A copy of the minute order was mailed to Mr. Hawkins at his address of record, but the mail was "returned to sender" to the Court as undeliverable.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> On 11-26-12 (after his removal), Mr. Hawkins did file an I&amp;A indicating a total estate value of \$85,820.00 (consisting of real property and misc. personal property items appraised by the Probate Referee).</p> <p><b>Note:</b> On 1-2-13, the Public Administrator submitted the Final I&amp;A, as well as a Reappraisal for Sale of the real property.</p> <p>1. Need petition for final distribution. (Examiner notes that the Public Administrator was just appointed on 11-16-12.)</p>	
<b>Cont. from 102912, 111612</b>			
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<b>Verified</b>			
<b>Inventory</b>			
<b>PTC</b>			
<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<b>Aff.Mail</b>			
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<b>Letters</b>			
<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<b>Objections</b>			
<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<b>CI Report</b>			
<b>9202</b>			
<b>Order</b>			
<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<b>Citation</b>			
<b>FTB Notice</b>			
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> skc
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13
	<b>Updates:</b>		
	<b>Recommendation:</b>		
	<b>File 16B - Hawkins</b>		

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First and Final Account

	<p><b>MYRNA M. BOWMAN</b> was appointed as conservator of the person and estate without bond on 9/28/11.</p> <p>Letters issued on 10/7/11.</p> <p>Inventory and appraisal filed on 11/9/12 shows the estate valued at \$253,939.39</p> <p>Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to attorney Gary L. Winter on 11/16/12.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>1. Need first and final account or current written status report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5.</p>
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
	Reviewed by: KT	
	Reviewed on: 1/8/13	
	Updates:	
	Recommendation:	
	File 17 - Robbins	

Atty Keeler, William J., of Garvey Schubert Barer in Portland, OR, and Burnside, Leigh W., of Dowling Aaron Incorporated in Fresno, CA (together, for Michelle L. Eacret, Administrator)

Atty LeVan, Nancy for Robert Jones and Denise Jones Co Administrators of the Estate of Sharon Rutherford

Status Conference

<b>DOD:</b>	<p><b>MICHELLE L. EACRET</b>, Niece and Administrator with Full IAEA with bond of \$200,000.00 (<b>Estate of James L. Rutherford</b>), requested an order directing that a citation be issued to <b>ROBERT JONES</b>, as an individual and as Personal Representative of the <b>Estate of Sharon Rutherford</b>, and <b>DENISE JONES</b>, as an individual and as Personal Representative of the <b>Estate of Sharon Rutherford</b>, under Probate Code §§ 8770(a) and 8873(a).</p> <p>At Settlement Conference hearing on 10-29-12, the parties reached agreement on the record; Mr. Keeler to submit stipulation and order. Trial date of 11-30-12 vacated and replaced with this Status hearing.</p> <p><b>Status report filed 12-7-12</b> states Mr. Keeler prepared the settlement and provided it to Attorney LeVan for review. At this time, no proposed changes have been provided. An email was sent on 12-6-12 requesting the status of Ms. LeVan's review; however, no response has been received.</p> <p><b>Status report filed 12-14-12</b> states the agreement was expected to be signed and mailed on Friday or Saturday, but that the family had difficulty getting together as they do not live in the same residence. The agreement is being signed today. The original will be forthcoming in the mail and will be provided to Ms. Burnside and filed with the Court as soon as it is received.</p> <p><b>Status report filed 1-3-13</b> states that after the status hearing on 12-14-12, Ms. Burnside's office emailed a revised settlement agreement to Ms. LeVan with the changes discussed at the hearing. At this time, no response has been received as to whether her clients will sign it.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Note:</u> <b>ROBERT JONES</b> and <b>DENISE JONES</b> were appointed Co-Administrators on 3-16-12 of the Estate of Sharon Rutherford (this Decedent's spouse) in 12CEPR00101.</p> <p><u>Continued from 11-30-12, 12-14-12</u></p> <p><b>Minute Order 12-14-12:</b> Mr. Keeler is appearing via conference call. Ms. Burnside informs the Court that the agreement was circulated and signed by Ms. LeVan's client however, an issue arose and a change was subsequently submitted to Ms. LeVan by Mr. Keeler. Ms. LeVan advises the Court that her clients do not want to sign the changed document. After a brief discussion in court, parties agree that the matter should resolve with the signature as discussed in open court. Continued to 1-11-13.</p> <p>1. Need Stipulation and Order per minute order 10-29-12.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> On 12-13-12, an Ex Parte Order for Increase of Bond of Administrator Michelle Eacret based on I&amp;A values to 228,163.00.</p>
<b>Cont. from 113012, 121412</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
	<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 18 - Rutherford</b></p>	

<b>DOD: 5/18/2002</b>	<p><b>COLLEEN (KELLY) M. GOVIER</b> was appointed Executor without bond and with full IAEA authority on 7/26/12.</p> <p>Letters issued on 1/8/13</p> <p>Minute Order dated 7/26/12 set this status hearing for the filing of the Inventory and Appraisal.</p>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202 Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>	<b>Reviewed on: 1/7/13</b>	
<b>UCCJEA</b>	<b>Updates:</b>	
<b>Citation</b>	<b>Recommendation:</b>	
<b>FTB Notice</b>	<b>File 19 - Govier</b>	

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

Age:		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b><u>OFF CALENDAR.</u></b> Inventory and appraisal filed on 9/10/12.
DOD:		
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202 Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 20 - Pawlik

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOD:			
Cont. from			
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202 Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/7/13
UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation	Recommendation:		
FTB Notice	File 21 - Nagahama		

**OFF CALENDAR.** Bond filed on 12/14/12.

**Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account or Petition for Final Distribution**

<b>DOD: 09/26/08</b>	<b>CHRIS JOHNSON</b> , son, was appointed Executor and Letters were issued on 10/21/10.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
Cont. from 121511, 020912, 052412, 071212, 080912, 100512, 111612	<b>Minute Order from hearing on 10/21/10</b> set this matter for status for filing the First Account or Petition for Final Distribution on 12/15/11.	<b>CONTINUED FROM 11/16/12</b> Minute order from 11/16/12 states: Mr. Johnson advises the Court that his paralegal will be filing some documents. He further advises that he was informed by his paralegal that he owes some fees to the court. Mr. Johnson requests a continuance.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	<b>An Inventory &amp; Appraisal was filed 01/26/11.</b>	As of 01/07/13, the petitioner has not filed any new documents.
Verified	<b>Clerk's Certificate of Mailing filed 05/29/12</b> states that Chris Johnson was mailed a copy of the 05/24/12 minute order and Order to Show Cause on 05/29/12.	1. <b>Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.</b>
Inventory	<b>Clerk's Certificate of Mailing filed 07/27/12</b> states that Chris Johnson was mailed a copy of the 07/12/12 minute order and Order to Show Cause on 07/27/12.	Reviewed by: JF
PTC	<b>Minute Order from hearings on Order to Show Cause and Status re Filing of the Account on 08/09/12</b> state: Mr. Johnson informs the court that he is in the process of preparing the accounting <b>and continued the status hearing to 10/05/12.</b>	Reviewed on: 01/07/13
Not.Cred.		Updates:
Notice of Hrg		Recommendation:
Aff.Mail		File 22 – Johnson
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		

**Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal; Proof of Bond**

<b>Age: 82</b>	<p><b>CHARLOTTE A. YOUNG</b>, daughter, was appointed Conservator of the Person and Estate of Acie Lee Hopkins on 8-29-12.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><u>Continued from 11-16-12</u></p> <p><b>Minute Order 11-16-12: Ms. Young advises the Court that she is having issues obtaining a bond. She further advises that she is seeking to retain counsel and has spoken to Mr. Fanucchi. Matter continued to 1/11/13. If the bond is filed by 1/11/13, no appearance will be necessary. Continued to 1-11-13 at 9am in Dept 303.</b></p> <p><u>As of 1-7-13, nothing further has been filed.</u></p> <p>1. Need proof of bond of \$20,875.16 pursuant to Court order 8-20-12, Probate Code §2320 and Cal. Rules of Court 7.207.</p>
<b>Cont from 111612</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<p>At hearing on 8-20-12, the Court set bond at \$20,875.16 and set this status hearing for the filing of proof of bond and filing of Inventory and Appraisal.</p>	
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>	<p>Letters of Conservatorship of the Person only issued on 8-28-12. Letters of Conservatorship of the Estate have not yet issued, as bond has not yet been filed.</p>	
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 1-7-13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 23 - Hopkins</b></p>

Pro Per Watkins, James Ray (Pro Per Executor)

**Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal**

<b>DOD: 12/3/2011</b>		<p><b>JAMES RAY WATKINS</b>, son, was appointed Executor with Full IAEA authority without bond on 7/31/2012, and Letters issued on that date.</p> <p><i>Final Inventory and Appraisal</i> was due 11/30/2012.</p> <p><i>Minute Order</i> dated 7/31/2012 from the hearing on the appointment of personal representative set this status hearing on 1/11/2013 for filing of the final inventory and appraisal.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>1. Need <i>Final Inventory and Appraisal</i> pursuant to Probate Code § 8800(b), or verified status report and proof of service of notice of the status hearing pursuant to Local Rule 7.5(B).</p>
<b>Cont. from</b>			
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<b>Verified</b>			
<b>Inventory</b>			
<b>PTC</b>			
<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<b>Aff.Mail</b>			
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<b>Letters</b>			
<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<b>Objections</b>			
<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<b>CI Report</b>			
<b>9202</b>			
<b>Order</b>			
<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<b>Citation</b>			
<b>FTB Notice</b>			
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG	
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 1/7/13	
		<b>Updates:</b>	
		<b>Recommendation:</b>	
		<b>File 24 - Watkins</b>	

Atty Wagner, Bryan N., of Wagner & Wagner (for Henry T. Perea, Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 5/8/2012		<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><b>OFF CALENDAR</b></p> <p><i>Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 11/13/2012.</i></p>
Cont. from		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 25 - Trevino

Atty Bagdasarian, Gary, sole practitioner (for Sue Ellen Rohde and Steven Rohde, Co-Executors)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 5/23/2012		<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>OFF CALENDAR</b></p> <p><i>Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 1/2/2013.</i></p>
Cont. from		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 1/7/13
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 26 - Rohde

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal

<b>DOD: 02/25/11</b>		<p><b>SUSAN K. MEDINA</b>, daughter, was appointed Executor without bond on 07/31/12. Letters were issued on 08/14/12.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>OFF CALENDAR</u></b>  <b>Revised Inventory &amp; Appraisal filed 01/09/13</b></p>																																													
<p><b>Cont. from</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>Aff.Sub.Wit.</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Verified</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Inventory</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>PTC</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Not.Cred.</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Notice of Hrg</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Aff.Mail</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Aff.Pub.</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Sp.Ntc.</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Pers.Serv.</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Conf. Screen</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Letters</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Duties/Supp</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Objections</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Video Receipt</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>CI Report</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9202</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Order</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Aff. Posting</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Status Rpt</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>UCCJEA</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Citation</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>FTB Notice</td><td></td></tr> </table>				Aff.Sub.Wit.		Verified		Inventory		PTC		Not.Cred.		Notice of Hrg		Aff.Mail		Aff.Pub.		Sp.Ntc.		Pers.Serv.		Conf. Screen		Letters		Duties/Supp		Objections		Video Receipt		CI Report		9202		Order		Aff. Posting		Status Rpt		UCCJEA		Citation		FTB Notice
Aff.Sub.Wit.																																																
Verified																																																
Inventory																																																
PTC																																																
Not.Cred.																																																
Notice of Hrg																																																
Aff.Mail																																																
Aff.Pub.																																																
Sp.Ntc.																																																
Pers.Serv.																																																
Conf. Screen																																																
Letters																																																
Duties/Supp																																																
Objections																																																
Video Receipt																																																
CI Report																																																
9202																																																
Order																																																
Aff. Posting																																																
Status Rpt																																																
UCCJEA																																																
Citation																																																
FTB Notice																																																
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> JF</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 01/07/13</p> <p><b>Updates:</b> 01/09/13</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 27 - Medina</b></p>																																														