ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):		FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO: ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA • COUNTY OF FRESNO		
Civil Division		
1130 O Street Fresno, California 93721-2220		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:		
OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR PRETRIAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCE		CASE NUMBER:
Plaintiff(s) Defendant(s) Cross-complainant(s) Cross-defendant(s) Other(s) Opposition to request a Pretrial Discovery Conference filed by on . This opposition relates to:		
A dispute regarding a request for production of documents, set propounded on .		
A dispute regarding form or special interrogatories, set propounded on .		
A dispute regarding	a deposition subpoena directed at	for deposition scheduled for
A dispute regarding a deposition notice, production of documents at a deposition or deposition questions related to the deposition of scheduled for		
A dispute regarding monetary, issue, evidence or terminating sanctions related to .		
Privilege is the basis for the refusal to produce documents and a privilege log is attached which complies with Local Rule 2.1.17(B).		
The parties have engaged in the following meaningful meet and confer efforts prior to filing this opposition:		

(Describe in detail all meet and confer efforts including any narrowing of the issues or resolutions reached via these efforts.)

A brief summary of why the requested discovery should be denied, including the facts and legal arguments in support is as follows:

(Excepting a privilege log if checked above, no pleadings, exhibits, declarations, or attachments shall be attached.)

It is understood that the filing of the Request for a Pretrial Discovery Conference tolls the time for filing a motion to compel discovery on the disputed issues for the number of days between the filing of the request and issuance by the Court of a subsequent order pertaining to the discovery dispute.

Party received the **REQUEST FOR PRETRIAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCE** on:

Date

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.1.17(A)(1), this opposition is being filed within five (5) court days of service of the request for a Pretrial Discovery Conference, extended five (5) days for service by mail, and has been served on the opposing party.

Opposing Party was served with a copy of the **OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR PRETRIAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCE** on:

Date

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.